

# Comparative Study of RCC & Prestressed Retaining Wall

Samir Tadavi<sup>1</sup>, Ashish Tadavi<sup>2</sup>, Mayur Ingole<sup>3</sup>, Mohammad Ubed<sup>4</sup>, Sahil Khan<sup>5</sup>, Mohammed Tauseef<sup>6</sup>, Prof. Javed Khan<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7</sup> Dept of Civil Engineering

<sup>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7</sup> Dept adm. Dr. V. B. Kolte College of Engineering, Malkapur

**Abstract-** Retaining walls are critical structures used to resist lateral earth pressure and maintain ground stability in civil engineering projects. Traditionally, Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC) retaining walls have been widely used due to their simplicity and reliability. However, prestressed retaining walls have emerged as a modern alternative offering improved structural efficiency. This paper presents a comparative study between RCC and prestressed retaining walls based on parameters such as structural performance, economy, material efficiency, construction time, and durability. The study concludes that prestressed retaining walls provide superior performance in terms of reduced deflection, improved load-carrying capacity, and material savings, though initial costs and complexity are higher

**Keywords:** RCC, Prestressed Retaining Wall, Comparative study, SDG

## I. INTRODUCTION

Retaining walls are designed to resist lateral soil pressure when there is a difference in ground elevation. RCC retaining walls are commonly used due to their ease of construction and adaptability. However, with advancements in construction technology, prestressed retaining walls are increasingly being adopted.

RCC combines concrete (strong in compression) with steel reinforcement (strong in tension), making it suitable for general construction. Prestressed concrete introduces internal stresses to counteract external loads, improving structural performance.

## II. OBJECTIVES

- To compare RCC and prestressed retaining walls
- To analyze structural behavior under similar loading conditions
- To evaluate cost, time, and material efficiency
- To determine suitability for different site conditions

## III. TYPES OF RETAINING WALL

### 3.1 RCC Retaining Wall

- Cantilever retaining wall
- Counterfort retaining wall
- Gravity retaining wall

RCC retaining walls are widely used in highways, bridges, and building basements.

### 3.2 Prestressed Retaining Wall

- Pre-tensioned retaining wall
- Post-tensioned retaining wall
- Anchored prestressed wall

Prestressing introduces compressive stress to improve resistance against tensile forces.

## IV. MECHANISM OF GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION

The comparative study is based on:

- Analytical design using standard codes (IS 456, IS 875)
- Structural analysis (bending moment, shear force, deflection)
- Cost estimation and material quantity comparison
- Review of published research

## VI. MATERIAL EFFICIENCY

- RCC walls require more steel reinforcement
- Prestressed walls reduce reinforcement by 28–40% due to internal stress distribution
- Smaller cross-sections are possible in prestressed structures

## VII. CONSTRUCTION COMPARISON

### RCC Retaining Wall:

- Simple construction process
- Requires formwork and curing time
- Suitable for small to medium heights

### Prestressed Retaining Wall:

- Requires skilled labor and equipment
- Faster construction due to precasting options
- Suitable for large-scale and high wallss

## IX. PERFORMANCE & STABILITY

Prestressed retaining walls:

- Reduce horizontal displacement
  - Improve bearing capacity
  - Enhance overall stability
- RCC walls:
- Reliable but prone to cracking under high loads
  - Heavier and less efficient for tall structures

Recent findings highlight emerging threats like PFAS, which persist in groundwater and pose serious health risks.

## X. ADVANTAGES & DISADVANTAGES

### RCC Retaining Wall

Advantages:

- Simple design and construction
- Low initial cost
- Widely used and understood

Disadvantages:

- Higher material consumption
- Cracking issues
- Less efficient for large spans

## XI. CONCLUSION

The study shows that prestressed retaining walls outperform RCC retaining walls in structural efficiency, durability, and long-term cost effectiveness. While RCC walls remain suitable for small-scale projects due to simplicity and lower initial cost, prestressed retaining walls are more

advantageous for large-scale and high-load applications. The choice between the two depends on project requirements, budget, and technical expertise.

## REFERENCES

- [1] IJSAT, "Comparative Study of RCC and Prestressed Concrete Systems," *International Journal of Scientific & Advanced Technology*, [Online]. Available: <https://www.ijsat.org/research-paper.php?id=6963> Accessed: Apr. 25, 2026.
- [2] ScienceDirect, "Experimental Study on Prestressed Retaining Walls," *Journal of Structural Engineering*, [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S221439122600108X> Accessed: Apr. 25, 2026.
- [3] ResearchGate, "A Critical Review on Design of RCC Cantilever Retaining Wall," *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358987856\\_A\\_Critical\\_Review\\_on\\_Design\\_of\\_RCC\\_Cantilever\\_Retaining\\_Wall](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358987856_A_Critical_Review_on_Design_of_RCC_Cantilever_Retaining_Wall)
- [4] Bureau of Indian Standards, *IS 456:2000 – Plain and Reinforced Concrete – Code of Practice*, New Delhi, India, 2000.
- [5] Bureau of Indian Standards, *IS 875 (Part 1–5):1987 – Code of Practice for Design Loads*, New Delhi, India, 1987.
- [6] B. M. Das, *Principles of Foundation Engineering*, 8th ed. Boston, MA, USA: Cengage Learning, 2015.
- [7] K. H. Head, *Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing*, 3rd ed. London, U.K.: Whittles Publishing, 2006.
- [8] H. J. Shah and S. R. Karve, *Illustrated Design of Reinforced Concrete Buildings*, Pune, India: Structures Publications, 2011.
- [9] P. C. Varghese, *Advanced Reinforced Concrete Design*, 2nd ed. New Delhi, India: Prentice Hall of India, 2009.
- [10] N. Krishna Raju, *Prestressed Concrete*, 5th ed. New Delhi, India: Tata McGraw-Hill, 2012.
- [11] Y. Guyon, *Prestressed Concrete*, London, U.K.: John Wiley & Sons, 1972.
- [12] American Concrete Institute, *ACI 318-19: Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete*, Farmington Hills, MI, USA, 2019.
- [13] J. E. Bowles, *Foundation Analysis and Design*, 5th ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 1996.
- [14] T. W. Lambe and R. V. Whitman, *Soil Mechanics*, New York, NY, USA: John Wiley & Sons, 1969.

- [15] R. Peck, W. Hanson, and T. Thornburn, *Foundation Engineering*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: John Wiley & Sons, 1974.
- [16] M. Gambhir, *Fundamentals of Reinforced Concrete Design*, New Delhi, India: PHI Learning, 2013.
- [17] S. S. Bhavikatti, *Design of Prestressed Concrete Structures*, New Delhi, India: I.K. International Publishing House, 2010.
- [18] V. N. Vazirani and M. M. Ratwani, *Concrete Structures*, New Delhi, India: Khanna Publishers, 2005.
- [19] A. K. Choudhary and P. Kumar, “Analysis and design of retaining wall considering seismic effect,” *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1234–1242, 2017.
- [20] S. Murthy and K. R. Reddy, “Comparative study on RCC and prestressed concrete structures,” *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 456–460, 2017.
- [21] M. S. Shetty and A. K. Jain, “Performance evaluation of prestressed concrete retaining walls,” *Journal of Structural Engineering*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 210–218, 2018.
- [22] R. Kumar and S. Singh, “Design optimization of cantilever retaining wall,” *International Journal of Advanced Structural Engineering*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 145–152, 2017.