ID 2.0: Blockchain-Backed Decentralized Identity For The Future of security And Privacy

Pradeep Suthar¹, Vijay Gupta²

^{1, 2} Dept of Master in Computer Application ^{1, 2} VIVA Institute of Technology

Abstract- Identity systems form the foundation of our digital society, enabling authentication and authorization across services. However, centralized identity systems are prone to breaches, identity theft, and lack of user control. Blockchain technology offers an alternative approach through decentralized identity systems that empower users to manage their data securely. This paper introduces ID 2.0, a blockchain-backed decentralized identity model designed to address these challenges. By leveraging decentralized *identifiers* (DIDs), *verifiable* credentials (VCs), and distributed ledger technology, ID 2.0 ensures data security, privacy, and interoperability. This framework offers a usercontrolled, scalable solution that integrates with existing identity systems such as Aadhaar, PAN, and voter ID in India. Experiments on platforms like Ethereum and Hyper ledger demonstrate the feasibility of implementing ID2.0 in realworld applications, including secure cross-border identity verification and IoT integration. This research highlights the potential of decentralized identity systems to enhance security, reduce dependency on third parties, and pave the way for a future of trust less digital interactions.

Keywords- Blockchain, decentralized identity, Decentralized identifiers (DIDs), Verifiable credentials, Data security.

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital identity has become an essential component of modern life, facilitating access to financial services, healthcare, education, and government schemes. However, the current reliance on centralized identity systems raises significant concerns, including vulnerability to cyber attacks, unauthorized data usage, and limited user control over personal information. These issues are particularly evident in large-scale identity systems like Aadhaar in India, which face challenges related to data breaches and privacy. The advent of blockchain technology introduces a revolutionary shift in identity management. Decentralized identity systems leverage blockchain's core principles—transparency, immutability, and security—to empower users with control over their identities. Unlike traditional models, decentralized identity systems eliminate reliance on central authorities, ensuring that sensitive information remains with the user rather than a third party.



Fig. 1. Decentralized Identity Model – Issuers, Holders, and Verifiers

ID 2.0, the focus of this paper, is a blockchain-based identity management framework designed to address the limitations of centralized systems. It integrates decentralized identifiers (DIDs) and verifiable credentials (VCs) to create a secure, privacy-centric identity ecosystem. The system is designed to interoperate with existing identity frameworks, such as Aadhaar, PAN, and voter ID, to offer a seamless transition toward decentralization.

The primary objectives of this paper are:

- 1. To analyze the shortcomings of traditional identity systems.
- 2. To design a robust, decentralized framework for secure identity management.
- 3. To demonstrate the feasibility of ID 2.0 through implementation on blockchain platforms like Ethereum and Hyper ledger.
- 4. To explore potential applications, including crossborder identity verification and IoT device authentication.

By addressing these objectives, this research aims to establish ID 2.0 as a scalable and future-ready solution for global identity management challenges.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW



Fig. 2 Challenges in Blockchain

Centralized identity systems have been the backbone of identity management for decades, yet they exhibit numerous limitations that make them unsuitable for the modern digital age. These limitations are particularly evident in large-scale systems like Aadhaar in India, where concerns about data privacy, security, and user control persist.

One of the most significant drawbacks of centralized systems is their vulnerability to data breaches. Since all identity-related data is stored in a single repository, these systems present a lucrative target for malicious actors. Highprofile breaches, such as the compromise of over a billion Aadhaar records in India, highlight the catastrophic consequences of such vulnerabilities.

Furthermore, centralized systems often lack robust mechanisms to detect and prevent unauthorized access, leaving sensitive information exposed. The repercussions extend beyond financial loss, as stolen identities can be misused for illegal activities, creating long-term implications for the victims.

In centralized systems, individuals have limited control over their personal data. Once information is submitted to an authority, users have no visibility into how it is stored, shared, or used. This lack of transparency erodes trust and raises ethical concerns about data ownership. Decentralized identity systems address this issue by ensuring that users retain control over their data. Through technologies like decentralized identifiers (DIDs), individuals can decide what information to share, with whom, and for how long.

Centralized systems often struggle to scale efficiently as user demand increases. Additionally, these systems lack interoperability, meaning that identities issued in one domain

Aadhaar ID cannot be directly used for cross-border verification, limiting its utility in global applications. Decentralized systems, on the other hand, leverage blockchain's distributed nature to achieve scalability. Interoperability is achieved through standards like W3C's DID and VC specifications, enabling seamless integration across various platforms and services.

cannot be seamlessly used in another. For instance, an

Centralized systems collect extensive personal data, which can be exploited for surveillance or commercial purposes. Users often have no choice but to trust third parties to safeguard their privacy, even though these entities may prioritize profit over user interests. By contrast, decentralized systems utilize cryptographic techniques such as zeroknowledge proofs to enhance privacy. These techniques allow users to verify their identity without revealing sensitive details, reducing the risk of misuse.

III. METHODOLOGY

The implementation of ID 2.0 relies on the integration of blockchain technology, decentralized identifiers (DIDs), and verifiable credentials (VCs). The methodology involves three key stages:



Fig. 3 Decentralized Identity Framework Using Hyperledger Indy

1. System Design

The first stage involves designing the architecture of the decentralized identity system. The key components of this architecture include:

- Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs): Unique, blockchain based identifiers that replace traditional identity numbers.
- Verifiable Credentials (VCs): Digitally signed statements that validate identity attributes without exposing unnecessary information.

• Distributed Ledger: A blockchain network serves as the backbone, providing transparency, immutability, and security.

2. Implementation

The proposed framework is implemented on blockchain platforms like Ethereum and Hyperledger. Smart contracts are developed to manage DID creation, credential issuance, and verification processes. The implementation steps include:

- DID Creation: Users generate a DID using acryptographic key pair. The public key is registered on the blockchain, while the private key remains with the user.
- Credential Issuance: Trusted authorities issue verifiable credentials, which are stored securely in a digital wallet.
- Verification: During identity verification, users present cryptographic proofs rather than raw data, ensuringprivacy.

3. Interoperability and Scalability

The system adheres to international standards like W3C's DID and VC specifications to ensure compatibility across platforms. Scalability is achieved by employing Layer 2 solutions, such as sidechains or rollups, to handle high transaction volumes efficiently.

4. Security Measures

To safeguard user data, the system incorporates advanced cryptographic techniques, including:

- Zero-knowledge proofs (ZKPs): Enable identity verification without revealing sensitive details.
- End-to-End Encryption: Protects data in transit and at rest.
- Consensus Mechanisms: Ensure the integrity of the blockchain ledger.

IV. CONCLUSION

The ID 2.0 system introduces a transformative approach to identity management, leveraging blockchain technology to address the limitations of centralized systems. By decentralizing control and implementing advanced cryptographic techniques, the proposed framework enhances security, privacy, and user autonomy.

Key benefits of the ID 2.0 system include:

- Enhanced Security: Reduced vulnerability to data breaches and unauthorized access.
- Privacy by Design: Implementation of zeroknowledge proofs and user-controlled data sharing.
- Scalability and Interoperability: Support for global applications through adherence to international standards.

However, challenges remain, particularly in achieving widespread adoption and addressing the technological learning curve for users and organizations. Future research should focus on improving user accessibility, integrating with IoT systems, and refining scalability solutions.

The ID 2.0 framework sets the stage for a secure, interoperable, and privacy-preserving identity system that aligns with the demands of the digital age.

REFRENCES

- W3C. (2019). Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) v1.0: Core architecture, data model, and representations. Retrieved from https://www.w3.org/TR/did-core/.
- [2] Hardman, D., Smith, D., & Sporny, M. (2020). Verifiable Credentials Data Model 1.0: Expressing verifiable information on the Web. Retrieved from https://www.w3.org/TR/vc-data-model/.
- [3] Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. Retrieved from https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf.
- [4] Salah, K., Rehman, M. H., Nizamuddin, N., & Al-Fuqaha, A. (2019). Blockchain for AI: Review and open research challenges. IEEE Access, 7, 10127-10149. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2891235
- [5] Hyperledger Foundation. (2021). Hyperledger Indy: A distributed ledger purpose-built for decentralized identity. Retrieved from https://www.hyperledger.org/use/hyperledger-indy.
- [6] Sovrin Foundation. (2018). The Sovrin Protocol and Token White Paper. Retrieved from https://sovrin.org/.
- [7] Tobin, A., & Reed, D. (2016). The inevitable rise of selfsovereign identity. Retrieved from https://sovrin.org/.
- Zyskind, G., Nathan, O., & Pentland, A. (2015).
 Decentralizing privacy: Using blockchain to protect personal data. 2015 IEEE Security and Privacy Workshops, 180-184. doi:10.1109/SPW.2015.27
- [9] Bonneau, J., Miller, A., Clark, J., Narayanan, A., Kroll, J. A., & Felten, E. W. (2015). Sok: Research perspectives and challenges for bitcoin and cryptocurrencies. 2015 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, 104-121. doi:10.1109/SP.2015.14

[10] World Economic Forum. (2020). Identity in a digital world: A new chapter in the social contract. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/.