Barriers To Online Learning: A Students' Standpoint

Marvin DG. Garcia¹, Jessie T. Gonzales², Ellen Jane G. Reyes³

^{1, 2, 3}Faculty Member of College of Information and Communications Technology ^{1, 2, 3}NEUST, San Isidro Campus, Philippines

Abstract- The Coronavirus pandemic overwhelmed the world. Worldwide, everything has halted. Ventures have been deferred, working environments shut, and schools closed. The world appears to have come to a standstill due to the novel coronavirus. The onset of this pandemic has indeed progressed the learning method in higher education institutions in the Philippines. Instructing and learning exercises typically completed with actual classroom settings have moved into the virtual setup in different internet learning applications and platforms. The objective of this study was to identify barriers that affect the online learning experience of students. Descriptive method of research was utilized in this study. Data gathering was done using a questionnaire that was developed using Google Forms and used Facebook Page to distribute the link to the respondents from the College of Information and Communications Technology-NEUST San Isidro Campus. The responses gathered in the questionnaire were tabulated based on frequency and percentage.

Keywords- Bachelor of Science in Information Technology, COVID-19, Online Learning, Barriers

I. INTRODUCTION

The Coronavirus pandemic overwhelmed the world. Worldwide, everything has halted. Ventures have been deferred, working environments shut, and schools closed. The world appears to have come to a standstill due to the novel coronavirus. The onset of this pandemic has indeed progressed the learning method in higher education institutions in the Philippines. Instructing and learning exercises typically completed with actual classroom settings have moved into the virtual setup in different internet learning applications and platforms. This New Now in instruction required students and educators to participate in web-based education, a sort of schooling framework that permits students to learn about any particular course or subject from practically any place by just having access to a good internet connection and digital technology. This online learning depicts methods of directions, both synchronous and asynchronous.

Online learning is used to refer to web-based training, e-learning, distributed learning, Internet-based learning, web-based instruction, cyber learning, virtual learning, or net-based learning (Urdan & Weggen, 2000). Online learning is a subset

of distance education and embraces a wide set of technology applications and learning processes including, computer-based learning, web-based learning, virtual classrooms, and digital collaborations (Urdan & Weggen, 2000).

Digital technology has already changed the way colleges and university's function, but no matter how significant those changes feel today, real transformation is just beginning. Online learning is taking place at just about every college and university in the nation. Students and faculty have embraced learning management systems to distribute digital content, access multimedia material from outside the institution, and facilitate student-to-student and teacher-to-student interaction (Bacow, L. S. et al. 2012). Educational institutions adopted technology-based learning by shifting from conventional teaching methods to the online teaching method. Institutions that employ ICT in the teaching and learning process will develop better e-communication between students and teachers besides the online teaching method (Aljaraideh, Y., & Al Bataineh, K. 2019).

The most obvious benefit of distance learning is the ability to learn from anywhere. Online and distance learning methods provide the convenience of time and space since students and teachers do not have to physically be in space and, depending on the method used, they do not have to be together in time as well (Srichanyachon, N. 2014). Another side of the coin is that learners confronted difficulties as they acquire advanced education through online learning modalities. A portion of these hindrances was web -based learning was troublesome and befuddling to acclimate to as they had not been set up through simulations or practices heretofore. Something else is that students partaking in webbased learning tracked down that the responsibility of online classes is more extensive than that of regular classes. A lack of social interaction was the most severe barrier as perceived by students overall, and social interaction is strongly related to online learning enjoyment, effectiveness of learning online, and the likelihood of taking another online class (Muilenburg and Berge 2005).

It is undeniable that in the age of the Covid-19 pandemic, online learning plays a crucial role in the education sector. Opting for online education or an online classroom is one of the means of continued learning. However, there are

Page | 122 www.ijsart.com

different challenges or barriers which are faced by students while trying to study in an online classroom.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary objective of the study was to identify barrier that affect online learning experience of BSIT Students of NEUST San Isidro Campus, specifically, this study aimed the following:

- 1. To identify the demographics of the respondents;
- 2. To explore and identify barriers encountered by the students;
- To develop and intervention program that will beneficial to online learning experience of the students.

III. METHODOLOGY

Research Design. The research method that was used in the course of this study was descriptive method of research. Descriptive method of research involves the description, recording, analysis and interpretation of the present nature, composition or processes of phenomena which focuses on prevailing conditions, or how a person, group or thing behaves of functions in the present. It often involves some type of comparison or contrast. In other words, descriptive research maybe defined as a purposive process of gathering, analyzing, classifying and tabulating data about prevailing conditions, practices, beliefs, processes, trends and cause effect relationships and then making adequate and accurate interpretation about such data with or without the aid of statistical methods (Calderon, 2012).

Respondents of the Study. The respondents of the study were the BSIT students of NEUST San Isidro Campus. A total of four hundred sixty-two (462) students were reached out after the final examination of First Semester Academic Year 2020-2021.

Research Instrument. The researchers used a questionnaire that was based to the instrument used by Baticulon et. al (2020) which compared self-assessment of capacity for online learning and enumerate barriers of online learning categorized into technological, individual, domestic, institutional and community barriers. The instrument used a 4- point liker scale to select how respondents frequently encountered each barrier (never, sometimes, often, and always). An open-ended question was also provided for the respondents to mention other barriers.

The questionnaire was created with the use of Google Forms and was distributed by providing a link (https://tinyurl.com/barriers-online-learning) in the Facebook Page of all BSIT students of NEUST, San Isidro Campus. The collection of data was done also thru Google Forms and the respondents were given ample time to answer and to think about the questions.

Statistical Treatment of Data. Upon completion of the data gathering procedure, responses were tabulated to facilitate the analysis during the interpretation of data. The data gathered were tallied, analyzed, and interpreted. Descriptive statistics such as frequency counts and percentages.

IV. RESULTS

Table 1. Demographics of the Respondents

| Category | Subgroup | Frequency (N=462) | Percentage |
|---------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| | 16-18 Yrs. Old | 60 | 12.99 |
| | 19-21 Yrs. Old | 330 | 71.43% |
| | 22-24 Yrs. Old | 62 | 13.42% |
| Age | 25-27 Yrs. Old | 7 | 1.52% |
| _ | 28-30 Yrs. Old | 2 | 0.43% |
| | 31-33 Yrs. Old | 1 | 0.22% |
| | 34-36 Yrs. Old | 0 | 0.00% |
| | | | |
| Gender | Male | 321 | 69.48% |
| Centre | Female | 139 | 30.09% |
| | Prefer not to say | 2 | 0.43% |
| | | | **** |
| | Single Married/Parmend | 441 | 95.4% |
| | (with Children) | 11 | 2.38% |
| Family | Married/Partnerd | | |
| Relationships | (without | 3 | 0.65% |
| | Children) | - | 0.0376 |
| | With Children | 7 | 1.52% |
| | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | - ' | 1.3276 |
| | Rich | 7 | 1.52% |
| | Upper Income but | 9 | 1.95% |
| | not Rich | | |
| | Upper Middle | 1 | 0.22% |
| | Income | | |
| | Middle Middle | 18 | 3.90% |
| Family Income | Class | | 8.01% |
| | Lower Middle | 37 | 8.01% |
| | Class Low Income but | 133 | 28.79% |
| | not Poor | 133 | 20.1976 |
| | Poor | 257 | 55.63% |
| | 2001 | 231 | 33.0376 |
| | | | |
| | First Year | 112 | 24.24% |
| Year Level | Second Year | 199 | 43.07% |
| rear nevel | Third Year | 112 | 24.24% |
| | Fourth Year | 39 | 8.44% |
| | | | |
| Self-Reported | Highest 25% | 32 | 6.93% |
| Academic | Middle 50% in | 327 | 70.78% |
| Ranking | the Year Level | 103 | 22 222 |
| | Lowest 25% | 103 | 22.29% |

Table 1 presents data for the respondent's demographic profile. Majority of the respondents were aged 19-21 years old or 71.93% of the total number of respondents. 321 or 69.48% were male while 139 or 30.09% were female and 2 or 0.43% prefer not to say their gender. Among the respondents, 441 or 95.4% were single, 11 or 2.38% were married/partnered with children, 3 or 0.65% were

Page | 123 www.ijsart.com

married/partnered without children and 7 or 1.52% had children. Most of the respondents come from poor family with 257 or 55.63% of the total respondents. More respondents come from second year level with 199 or 43.07%, both first year level and third year level have 112 or 24.24% and fourth year level with 39 or 8.44%. Majority of the respondents were come from the middle or 50% in the year level with 327 or 70.78% of the total respondents.

Table 2. Technological Barriers

| Barriers | Always | | Often | | Sometimes | | Never | |
|---|--------|-----|-------|-----|-----------|-----|-------|----|
| | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % |
| Lack of devices or limited access due to gadget sharing | 40 | 9% | 120 | 26% | 262 | 57% | 40 | 9% |
| Unreliable, slow, or no internet access | 75 | 16% | 119 | 26% | 262 | 57% | 6 | 1% |
| Lack of technical skills | 21 | 5% | 147 | 32% | 261 | 56% | 33 | 7% |
| Is suas with online learning platforms | 41 | 9% | 139 | 30% | 251 | 54% | 31 | 7% |

Table 2 shows technological barriers to respondents online learning. From lack of devices or limited access due to gadget sharing barrier, more than half of the respondents rarely experienced this (sometimes, 57%; never 9%) while the rest of the respondents frequently experienced this barrier (often, 26% and always 9%). In unreliable, slow, or no internet access barrier, it is uncommon to respondents to experience this barrier (sometimes, 57% and never, 1%) while the remaining of the respondents were often (26%) and always (16%) confronted with this barrier. Lack of technical skills were sometimes experienced by majority of the respondents (56%), 32% often experienced this barrier, 7% never experienced, and 5% always encountered this barrier. On issues with online learning platform, 54% responded that sometimes they have been affected by this barrier, 30% or 139 respondents often affected, 9% were always affected, and 7% were never affected by this barrier.

Table 3. Individual Barriers

| Barriers | Always | | Often | | Sometimes | | Never | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----|-------|-----|-----------|-----|-------|-----|
| | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % |
| Difficulty adjusting learning styles | 79 | 17% | 153 | 33% | 213 | 46% | 17 | 4% |
| Mental health issues | 53 | 11% | 128 | 28% | 203 | 44% | 78 | 17% |
| Physical health issues | 35 | 8% | 129 | 28% | 221 | 48% | 77 | 17% |
| Practical concerns | 40 | 9% | 139 | 30% | 249 | 54% | 34 | 7% |

Table 3 presents data for the individual barriers of respondents on online learning. The data shows that from difficulty adjusting learning styles, half of the respondents hardly confronted with this barrier (sometimes-46%, never 4%) while the other half frequently affected by this barrier (often-33%, always-17%). On mental health issues, majority of the respondents were uncommonly encountered this barrier (sometimes-44%, never-17%) and the remaining of the respondents were frequently encountered this barrier (often-28%, always-11%). From physical health issues, more respondents rarely experienced this barrier (sometimes-48%, never-17%) while the rest of the respondents were common with this barrier (often-28%, always-9%). For barrier on practical concerns, more than half of the respondents sometimes (54%) or never (7%) encountered it in their online

learning while the remaining of the respondents often (30%) or always (9%) encountered the barrier.

Table 4. Domestic Barriers

| Barriers | Always | | Often | | Sometimes | | Never | |
|--|--------|-----|-------|-----|-----------|-----|-------|-----|
| Darriers | f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % |
| Limited space conducive for studying | 85 | 18% | 137 | 30% | 85 | 18% | 137 | 30% |
| Need to fulfill responsibilities from home | 147 | 32% | 141 | 31% | 147 | 32% | 141 | 31% |
| Conflicts within the family | 53 | 11% | 113 | 24% | 53 | 11% | 113 | 24% |
| Financial distress within the household | 65 | 14% | 131 | 28% | 65 | 14% | 131 | 28% |
| Need to work for extra income | 101 | 22% | 115 | 25% | 101 | 22% | 115 | 25% |
| Lack of basic needs | 64 | 14% | 116 | 25% | 64 | 14% | 116 | 25% |

Table 4 shows the data for domestic barriers of respondents on online learning. From limited space conducive for studying, 48% or 221 respondents experienced the barrier, 30% or 141 respondents were often affected, 85 or 18% respondents were always affected, and 19 or 4% respondents never experienced this barrier on online learning. For need to fulfill responsibilities from home, more than half of the respondents frequently encountered this barrier (always-32%, often-31%) while the remaining respondents rarely encountered this barrier (sometimes-37%, never 1%). In conflicts within the family, majority of the respondents sometimes (48%) or never (16%) confronted by this barrier. While the rest of the respondents often (24%) or always (11%) confronted by this barrier. Data from financial distress within the household shows that 50% or 231 of the respondents sometimes affected by this barrier, 28% or 115 respondents often affected, 14% or 65 respondents always affected, and 8% or 35 respondents never been affected by this barrier. In need to work for extra income, more than half of the respondents sometimes (40%) or never (13%) encountered by this barrier. The remaining respondents, often (25%) or always (22%) encountered this barrier. For lack of basic needs, majority of the respondents rarely experienced this barrier (sometimes-51%, never-10%) while the remaining respondents often (25%) or always (14%) experienced this barrier during their online learning.

Table 5. Institutional Barriers

| Aiways | | Often | | Sometimes | | Never | |
|--------|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| f | % | f | % | f | % | f | % |
| 31 | | 122 | 26% | 256 | 55% | 53 | 11% |
| 41 | 9% | 134 | 29% | 260 | | 27 | 6% |
| 24 | 5% | 109 | 24% | 253 | 55% | 76 | 16% |
| 34 | 7% | 122 | 26% | 259 | 56% | 47 | 10% |
| 37 | 8% | 137 | 30% | 252 | 55% | 36 | 8% |
| 35 | 8% | 137 | 30% | 257 | 56% | 33 | 7% |
| 44 | 10% | 129 | 28% | 262 | 57% | 27 | 6% |
| 31 | 7% | 115 | 25% | 276 | 60% | 40 | 9% |
| | 31 41 24 34 37 | f % 31 7% 41 9% 24 5% 34 7% 37 8% 35 8% 44 10% | f % f 31 7% 122 41 9% 134 24 5% 109 34 7% 122 37 8% 137 35 8% 137 44 10% 129 | f % f % 31 7% 122 26% 41 9% 134 29% 24 5% 109 24% 34 7% 122 26% 37 8% 137 30% 43 137 30% 30% 44 10% 129 28% | f % f % f 31 7% 122 26% 256 41 9% 134 29% 260 24 5% 109 24% 233 34 7% 122 26% 259 37 8% 137 30% 252 35 8% 137 30% 252 44 10% 129 28% 262 | f % f % f % 31 7% 122 25% 256 55% 41 9% 134 19% 260 56% 41 9% 134 19% 260 56% 34 7% 122 26% 259 56% 37 8% 137 30% 252 55% 35 8% 137 30% 252 55% 34 10% 129 28% 262 57% | f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f % f 34 134 122 268 256 55% 53 41 34 134 139% 260 56% 27 26 34 76 122 226% 253 55% 47 37 886 137 30% 252 55% 36 35 896 137 30% 257 56% 33 44 10% 129 28% 262 57% 32 |

Table 5 presents data for institutional barriers of the respondents on online learning. In administrative issues and lack of organization, 55% or 256 respondents sometimes been affected, 26% or 122 respondents often affected, 11% or 53 respondents never been affected, and 7% or 31 respondents always affected by this barrier. From poor communication between learners and educators, more than half of the respondents rarely encountered this barrier (sometimes-56%, never-6%), while the rest of the respondents frequently

Page | 124 www.ijsart.com

encountered this barrier (often-29%, always-9%). Data from inadequate skills of educators shows that 256 or 55% of the respondents sometimes experienced, 109 or 24% of the respondents often experienced, 76 or 16% of the respondents never experienced, and 14 or 5% of the respondents always experienced this barrier in the course of their online learning. For poor quality of learning materials, majority of the respondents sometimes (56%) or never (10%) challenged by this barrier, while the remaining of the respondents often (26%) or always (7%) challenged by this barrier. From gaps in knowledge and skills from current teaching method, 55% or 252 respondents sometimes affected, 30% or 137 respondents often affected, 8% or 37 respondents always affected, and 8% or 36 respondents never affected by this barrier in their online learning. In excessive cognitive load, more than half of the respondents rarely challenged by this barrier (sometimes-56%, never-7%) while the remaining of the respondents frequently challenged by this barrier (often-30%, always-8%). From limited opportunities to interact with peers, 57% of the respondents sometimes encountered, 28% of the respondents often encountered, 10% of the respondents always encountered, and 6% of the respondents never encountered this barrier in online learning. For policies and practices that neglect student welfare, majority of the respondents sometimes (60%) or never (9%) experienced this barrier while the rest of the respondents often (25%) or always (7%) experienced this barrier.

Table 6. Domestic Barriers

| Barriers | | Always | | Often | | Sometimes | | ver |
|---|----|--------|-----|-------|----|-----------|-----|-----|
| | | % | f | % | f | % | f | % |
| Mobility restrictions due to community lockdown | 67 | 15% | 142 | 31% | 67 | 15% | 142 | 31% |
| Power interruptions | 52 | 11% | 132 | 29% | 52 | 11% | 132 | 29% |
| Socio political concerns | 33 | 7% | 119 | 26% | 33 | 7% | 119 | 26% |

Table 6 shows the data for community barrier to respondents online learning. From mobility restrictions due to community lockdown, 52% of the respondents sometimes experienced, 31% often experienced, 15% always experienced, and 3% never experienced this barrier. power interruptions, higher than half of the respondents sometimes (58%) or never (2%) encountered by this barrier while the rest of the respondents often (29%) or always (11%) encountered by this barrier. In socio political concerns, majority of the respondents rarely affected by this barrier (sometimes-59%, never-8%) while the remaining of the respondents frequently affected by this barrier (often-26%, always-7%).

V. DISCUSSIONS

This study, Barriers to Online Learning: A Students' Standpoint, shows that almost all students, in all walks of life, were being affected by several barriers in their pursuit of

learning during online class. Meeting with these barriers have incapacitated some of the students while other tried to cope up in this new world of learning. Thru this study, a better approach will be given to those students that have difficulty in online learning.

Most well reason why students have difficulty adapting to online learning is because of the new technology. It is always an issue that online learning equates to technological considerations (Liang, et al 2012). A large part of online learning is the utilization of technology. In this study, more than half of the respondents do not have an issue regarding technology as their means to online learning. Students have different access or have other means to use computers. From the survey conducted by the Department of Information and Communications Technology in 2019, from 23,360,9060 households in the Philippines, 11,516,960 households have access to computers or devices either from school or work, thru relatives, friends or neighbors, and computer shops. A study conducted by Reyes and Gonzales (2020), majority of the BSIT students (463 out of 477 respondents), owned a smartphone. Respondents of the said study was also the subject of this study.

Promoting student readiness is essential for successful learning experiences. Students need to be prepared for changing demands related to online learning with respect to technology, learning management, pedagogical practice and social roles (Vonderwell, 2004). Based from this study, respondents were divided in terms of the difficulty adjusting learning styles. Many students are still adjusting when it comes to what learning styles should be applicable in online setup. Online learning for them is a new concept that requires much attention not only mentally but also physically and emotionally as well.

Online learning allows students to work at a time and a place that is compatible with their learning needs (Gilbert, 2015). The flexibility of online setup gives ample time to students to do other things. Although it is viewed as an advantage, home environment greatly influenced student's participation during online class. From this study alone, more than half of the respondents encountered the need to fulfill responsibilities from home during the course of their online class. In the study conducted by Henaku, 2020, participants of the study explained that home is not conducive for online learning. Because they have encountered different forms of distraction such as cooking for the family and taking care of younger siblings which in turn prevents them from participating in online lectures.

Page | 125 www.ijsart.com

Another challenge that affects the online learning experience of the students are the readiness of the teachers and the institutions. Because of this pandemic, institutions implemented a sudden change in the education of the students from being face-to-face to online classroom. The transition to online learning becomes chaotic because the online learning has been not clearly defined (Singh and Thurman, 2019). And because of this, many teachers are struggling on how to teach the online concept. In this study, many of the institutional barriers were being encountered by the students. Since the online concept is new to both the teachers and the students, it is possible that many teachers are still learning on how to conduct online classroom and how it is to be implemented based on guidelines provided by the institution.

REFERENCES

- [1] 2019 ICT Household Survey retrieved from: https://dict.gov.ph/ictstatistics/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/HH-010.pdf
- [2] Aljaraideh, Y., & Al Bataineh, K. (2019). Jordanian Students' Barriers of Utilizing Online Learning: A Survey Study. International Education Studies.
- [3] Bacow, L. S., Bowen, W. G., Guthrie, K. M., Long, M. P., & Lack, K. A. (2012). Barriers to adoption of online learning systems in US higher education.
- [4] Baticulon, R., Alberto, N.R., Baron, M.B., Mabulay, R.E., Rizada, L.G., Sy, J.J., Tiu, C.J., Clarion, C., Reyes, J.C. (2020). Barriers to Online Learning in the Time of COVID-19: A National Survey of Medical Students in the Philippines.
- [5] Calderon, J.F. and Gonzales, E.C. (1993). Methods of Research and Thesis Writing. Great Books Trading.
- [6] Gilbert, B. (2015). Online Learning Revealing the Benefits and Challenges. Education Masters. Paper 303.
- [7] Henaku, E.A. (2020). COVID-19: Online Learning Experience of College Students: The Case of Ghana. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Advance Technology.
- [8] Liang R., Chen D.T.V (2012). Online Learning: Trends, Potential and Challenges. Science Research Journal.
- [9] Muilenburg, L. Y., & Berge, Z. L. (2005). Student barriers to online learning: A factor analytic study. Distance education.
- [10] Reyes, E.J., Gonzales, J., (2020). BSIT Students Readiness: An Assessment of Students' Engagement in Online Learning at Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, San Isidro Campus during COVID-19 Pandemic. International Journal for Science and Advance Research in Technology.
- [11] Singh, V., & Thurman, A. (2019). How many ways can we define online learning? A systematic literature review

- of definitions of online learning (1988–2018). American Journal of Distance Education.
- [12] Srichanyachon, N. (2014). The barriers and needs of online learners. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education.
- [13] Vonderwell, S., Savery, J. (2004). Online Learning: Student Role and Readiness. The Turkish Online Journal of Education Technology.
- [14] Weggen, C. (2000). How Business Schools Are Tapping Virtual Markets. On the Horizon.

Page | 126 www.ijsart.com