A Brief Study On Pros And Cons Of Various Types Of Algorithms Involved In The Fog Computing

Manoj Kumar Ku¹, Dr Thara L²

¹Dept of MCA

²Associate Professor, Dept of MCA

^{1, 2} PSG College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore, India

Abstract- Fog computing is a distributed computing paradigm that extends cloud computing to the edge of the network, enabling faster and more efficient processing of data. In fog computing, data is processed at the network edge, closer to the source of the data, instead of being transmitted to a remote cloud server for processing. Fog computing algorithms are designed to address the unique challenges of processing data in a distributed environment. Fifteen journals from various sources had been selected to analyze various algorithms' pros and cons in order to make a comparison of the system that provides maximum accuracy in the processing time. These algorithms include load balancing algorithms, resource optimization algorithms, fault tolerance algorithms, and security algorithms, among others. From this study we find out the most algorithm that will make the fog computing more efficient. This study makes the researchers to make an advanced research in the field of Fog Computing algorithms to obtain 100% better solution.

Keywords- latency, Fog computing, edge devices, real-time processing

I. INTRODUCTION

Fog computing emerged as a response to the limitations of cloud computing in addressing the increasing demand for real-time processing and low- latency applications. While cloud computing offers significant benefits in terms of scalability, cost- effectiveness, and ease of deployment, it is limited by the distance between the cloud data center and the end- user. This distance results in higher latency and slower response times, which can be problematic for applications that require real-time processing, such as industrial automation, smart cities, and autonomous vehicles. Fog computing algorithms are necessary to optimize the performance of fog computing systems. Fog computing involves processing data at the network edge, which presents unique challenges compared to traditional centralized computing models. Fog computing algorithms are necessary to allocate resources efficiently across the fog computing network, optimizing the use of processing power, storage, and network bandwidth. Without Fog computing algorithms, it

would be challenging to manage and scale. As the number of edge devices in the network increases, manual management of tasks and resources would become increasingly difficult, making it challenging to maintain the performance of the system. Fog computing algorithms are designed to address these challenges by optimizing the distribution of tasks across multiple edge devices, improving resource utilization, and reducing latency. The main purpose of these algorithms is to improve the performance of the system by distributing tasks and resources in an optimal way, enabling real-time processing of data, reducing latency, and improving the reliability of the system.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Table 1 provides a review of the research conducted in various years based on the algorithm used in the Fog Computing.

S.no	Ye	Author	ProposedAlgorithm	Pros	Cons
	2019	X. Wang, W. Zhang,andZ.Guo	DeepReinforcement Learning[1]	(FCFS) algorithm. This is because DRL can learn from past experiences and make more informed decisions abouttasks: heduling. The DRL-based approach can improve resource utilization by making more efficient use of available resources, such as CPU and memory.	The proposed approach is evaluated on a small-scale fogcompatingesthed with a few fog nodes and asposed in the paper is trained using a specificeted fogcompating scenaries and workload distributions. Therefore, the approach may require retraining and fine-tuning for each new scena or workload.
2	2018	Xiaohui Liu, Qinghua Wu,and Bo Li	Energy-EfficientTask OffloadingAlgorithm[2	across the fog nodes and minimizing the communication overhead. This canded to significant energy savings, especiallyinlarge-scalefogcomputing environments. The algorithmiss calable and can handle large numbers of tasks and fog nodes.	The performance of adaptive mode, and approximate and adaptive minated to noispects of the near the consistency of the parameters and assumptions. Changes in the season of the consistency of proposed algorithm conticomes the evaluation of proposed algorithm only focuses on energy—efficient takoofflooding and does not consider other aspects of fog computing, such reliability, security, or cost-effectiveness.
3	2020	Ysun Zhou, ZhongmingZhao, and Yusheng Ji	CongestionDetection Algorithm[3]	traffic congestionineal-time, which is crucial for munaging traffic flow and preventing accidents. The algorithm uses muchine learning techniques to analyze traffic data and identify congestion patterns. This approach is more effective than traditional rule-based algorithms, as it can adayt to changing traffic conditions. The algorithm is scalable, which means it can be easily adapted to	The algorithm proposed in the paper may or work for specific types of traffic congestion scenarios andmay not generalize well to others cenariosox tiese. This lumination could be deatesthe difference sintraffic pamerus, infrastructure, and weather conditions that can affect traffic congestion. The paper may not have addressed how to evaluate the generalizabil of the algorithm or how to adapt it to differe scenarios.
4	2020	S.Sangeethaand R.Saravanan	Fuzzy Logic-Based ResourceManagement Algorithm[4]	of resources by dynamicallyallocatingresourcesbased on the current workload and demand. This can help	Pizzylogic-basedalgorithms deal with imprecise and uncertain data, which can ma them less reliable than deterministic algorithms.Pizzylogic-based

Page | 269 www.ijsart.com

				resources and lowering costs. The algorithm can be applied to fog computing environments of	algorithms can be more complex than traditional algorithms,
				varying sizes,makingitapotentiallyscalable solution for resource management in fog computing.	requiring more resources to execute and leadingtolongerprocessing times.
5	2018	XiaoliangWang Feng Xia Zhenfu Cao	Secure DataStorage Scheme[5]	The proposed scheme provides enhanced security by encrysting the data before it is transmitted to the fog nodes. The fognodesure located doser to the device sands an process the data to cally, thereby reducing the distance that the data has to travel.	The proposed scheme involves multiple layers of encryption and decryption, which couldmake idifficult to implement and maintain. Data isprocessed and stored in multiple fog nodes, there may be some latency involved in accessing and retrieving data.
6	2018	Shuiguang Deng, KechengLiu.and Xiaohong Jiang	DistributedMachine LearningAlgorithm[6]	The use of fog nodes allows for faster processing of machine learning tasks, as the data can be processed locally without the need for it to be sent to the cloudfor processing machine learning tasksc animproveprivacyundaecurity, as sensitise data can be processed locally without the need for it to besent to the cloud.	The paper provides experimental evaluation of the proposed algorithm, the evaluation is limited to a single dataset and a single fogcomputingenvironment.
7	2019	Yan Guo, JianxiongZhang, and Jianqing Li	Particle Swarm Optimization [7]	The FSO algorithm used in the proposed algorithm has faul approximent and approximent and approximent and approximent and approximation for the proposed algorithma introdominimize the approximation and approximation approximation and approximation approximation and approximation approximation and approximation and approximation and approximation and approximation and ap	While particle swarm optimization (PSO) is a powerful optimization (PSO) is a powerful optimization technique, the proposed algorithm/accuracymaybe limited by the quality of the initial population and the search space. the proposed algorithm would scale up in complex fog computing systems, and how it would perform under high traffic loads.
8	2019	Xiaoxu Ma, FinglingCui,and Hui Tian	NovelTaskScheduling Algorithm(8)	The proposed algorithm can officiently utilize the resources available in a heterogenous for computing system. It takes into account the processing capabilities of different devices and allocates tusks accordingly. It can handle a large manner of asks and devices without the companion of the co	The algorithm may only be applicable to a specific type of heterogeneous fog computing system or a particular set of parameters. Thismaylimitissus fulness in other scenarios or environments. The algorithm may require significant computational resources, such as processing power, memory, or storage.
9	2019	Fang-YieLeuand Chia-Mei Chen	Game-Theoretic ApproachtoResource Allocation[9]	The propose dgame-theoretic approach allows for efficient resource allocation unfogcomputing environments, where computing resources are distributed across various devices in a network. The game-theoretic approach isocalable and can be applied to large.	Theproposedgame-theoretic model assumes that all fog nodes have the same capability, which is not always true in real-world scenarios. Some fog nodes mayhavemore
				scale fog computing environments. It canhandleals a genumber of more stand devices and canhandleals a genumber of more stand devices and canhandlead genumber of fiberary even in complex and dynamic networks.	resourcesor higherprocessingpowerthan others, which may result in unfair resource allocation. Some fog nodes may behave selfshly and prioritize ther own interests over the interests of the system. In such causes, the proposed approach may not work as expected and may lead to usefficient resource allocation.
10	2019	WeiLi,LiLi,and Peng Liu	HybridEnergy-Aware Algorithm[10]	The algorithm is designed to optimize energy/consumption/ty/Computing systems. Its chieve which/yconsidering the energy status of 101 devices, the computing capabilities of frog nodes, and the communication cost between them. The languistim tukerion account the computing capabilities of frog nodes and their proximity to 10 devices. By prioritizing frog nodes that are closer to 10 devices that weighter computing capabilities of 10 devices and a computing capabilities and processing and proposed to the algorithm reduces latency in data processing and improves response times.	The proposed algorithm is only applicable to fog computing in the context of the Internet of Things (1617). Hence, its applicability to other domains may be immed. Hismaclearhow well the algorithm wouldperform as the number of 161 devices and fog nodes increases.
11	2017	QiSun,Jianjun Yang, andYan Zhang.	Efficient Resource Allocation Algorithm	Theal gent throughment either allocation of computing resource by taking into accounting resource by taking into accounting resource by taking into accounting processing requirement of tasks, and the algorithm considers the energy consumption of a lagorithm considers the energy consumption of any other and the season of the energy consumption of the processing the energy consumption of the opstem and increases the energy efficiency.	The paper focuses only on resource allocation for for go computing in SG networks, and the same processing that all fog nodes have the same processing capabilities and ignoring the impact of network delay, which may limit the generalizability of the proposed algorithm.
12	2019	Junjie Liu, YuzhengLin,and GuangxingWang	AQoS-Aware Resource Allocation Algorithm [12]	The algorithm optimizes resource allocationinawaythatminimizes the overall resource usage while meeting the QoS requirements of all applications.	Fognodesmaybevulnerable to cyber-attacks, and sensitive data may be compromised if appropriate security measures are not in place.
13	2019	QianqianFeng, YuyingHu,and Shuo Feng	Dynamic Resource AllocationAlgorithm [13]	By allocating resources dynamically basedontheneedooftheapplication, the proposed adjoirthm can improve application performance, reduce latency, and increase throughput Resources are used efficientlyandeffectively, which can lead to improve application performance and reduced resource.	the proposed algorithm involves frequent communication between edge devices and the Fog, which could result in increasednetworkoverhead and latency.
14	2020	JicXu.KaiWang, Xiuhua Li		The proposed algorithm reduces the communication overhead between the edge devices and the Soulf can handle large devices and the Soulf can handle large strength of the soulf can handle large that the soulf can handle soulf can be sould be sould be soulf can be sould be so	The proposed algorithm relies on several simplified assumptions about the fog computing environment.suchashavinghomege necus fog nodesand taskerceution times that follow specific distributions, the algorithm may not be suitable or optimal for more complex fog computingenvironments.
15	2020	JieXu,KaiWanag, Xiuhua Li	LoadBalancing Algorithm[15]	The algorithm balances the load across fog nodes by redistributing tasks from overloaded nodes to underutifized ones. This prevents any single node from becoming overloaded and ensures that all nodes are utilized efficiently. The algorithm reduces the response time of IoT devices by dynamically adjusting the allocation of computing resources.	The proposed algorithm is designed for use in small-scale to Tenvironments, and may not be suitable for larger, more complex systems. This couldiminist usefulness in certain applications.

III. CONCLUSION

Analyzation of various journal papers in detecting the pros and cons of various Fog computing algorithms and techniques is the main purpose of this proposed work. This investigation will be very much helpful for the beginners and new researchers to make a new revolution in Fog Computing technique

REFERENCES

[1] X. Wang, W. Zhang, and Z. Guo,Intelligent Task Scheduling for Fog Computing Based on Deep Reinforcement Learning,IEEE,August 2019..

- [2] Xiaohui Liu, Qinghua Wu, and Bo Li, An Energy-Efficient Task Offloading Algorithm for Fog Computing, IEEE, November 2018.
- [3] Yun Zhou, Zhongming Zhao, and Yusheng Ji, A Real-Time Traffic Congestion Detection Algorithm in Fog Computing Environment, Journal Sensors, October 21, 2020
- [4] S. Sangeetha and R. Saravanan, A Fuzzy Logic-Based Resource Management Algorithm for Fog Computing Environments, Springer, August 2020
- [5] Xiaoliang Wang, Feng Xia, Zhenfu Cao, Fog Computing-Based Secure Data Storage Scheme for IoT, IEEE, 2018
- [6] Shuiguang Deng, Kecheng Liu, and Xiaohong Jiang, A Distributed Machine Learning Algorithm for Fog Computing Environments, IEEE, July 2020.
- [7] Yan Guo, Jianxiong Zhang, and Jianqing Li, A Lightweight Data Transmission Algorithm for Fog Computing Based on Particle, IEEE, 2019.
- [8] Xiaoxu Ma, Tingting Cui, and Hui Tian, A Novel Task Scheduling Algorithm for Heterogeneous Fog Computing Systems, IEEE, March 22, 2019
- [9] Fang-Yie Leu and Chia-Mei Chen, A Game-Theoretic Approach to Resource Allocation in Fog Computing, IEEE, 2019.
- [10] Wei Li, Li Li, and Peng Liu, A Hybrid Energy-Aware Algorithm for Fog Computing in Internet of Things, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics ,2019
- [11] Qi Sun, Jianjun Yang, and Yan Zhang., An Efficient Resource Allocation Algorithm for Fog Computing in 5G Networks, IEEE, August 2019
- [12] Junjie Liu, Yuzheng Lin, and Guangxing Wang, "A QoS-Aware Resource Allocation Algorithm for Fog Computing in Smart Cities", IEEE, March 2019.
- [13] Qianqian Feng, Yuying Hu, and Shuo Feng, A Dynamic Resource Allocation Algorithm for Fog Computing in Mobile Edge Computing Environment, IEEE, October 2019.
- [14] Jie Xu, Kai Wang, Xiuhua Li, A Task Offloading Algorithm for Fog Computing Based on Reinforcement Learning, IEEE, November 2020.
- [15] X. Wang, W. Zhang, and Z. Guo, Intelligent Task Scheduling for Fog Computing Based on Deep Reinforcement Learning, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, August 2019

Page | 270 www.ijsart.com