To Study The Performance of The Players In The IPL Seasons Using Predictive Analytics

Dr. S. Suresh V¹, Mr. Chandhu Prakash², Dr A.Pappurajam³

¹Asst Professor

^{1, 2, 3} St. Joseph's Institute of Management

Abstract- IPL is the most famed T20 cricket celebration in the world in which 8 teams give their Best Performance to reach the top squad in the tournament. In such a contest there are many players from different nations playing for different teams. As we know that only a certain number of players can play one match, so there is a problem for squad selection to choose the decent combination of players for the match. In this paper, we are calculating the Most Valuable Player (MVP) by using the Player Batting Points (PBT) and Experience, IPL Stats is used to classify the Matches Played into victories and lost. Co-variance analysis is used to find the similarity among players. Eventually, multiple regression is used to analyze the statistics of players by their strike rate, runs, and total score. After opting the best players, we are forecasting individual strike rates with total team scores. This paper is going to give them a result to shutting out non-performing players using the customized techniques of their performance analysis in earlier matches, assembling a decent player for any match using revolutionary techniques, and deciding batting order in an effective manner.

Keywords- MVP (Most Valuable Player), IPL stats, Co-variance Analysis, Multiple Regression, Player Prediction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Indian Premier League (IPL) is a Twenty20 (T20) cricket game started by BCCI (Board of Control for Cricket in India) in 2008, which is held annually in the month of April-June. The first season of IPL was financed by DLF, which is a leading real estate company in India. The original season of the event took place from 18 April-1 June 2008. The challenge is that during an IPL purchase only named players can play the justice match; hence the team holder must opt the optimal combination of players. As of now, there is no foolsubstantiation result to this challenge nor is there any result ranging from the selection of bowlers to the selection of batsmen maintaining experience within the team. A possible methodology consists of trying to choose the swish payer to buy among all the actors in an IPL sale for the team using a measure called MVP. MVP is dynamic in nature, which implies that comparison criteria change over the proceedings of the sale. We also classify players according to their complete performance measured points, called TCP. Also, we essay to choose the swish set of bowlers and batsmen using the MVP generality.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Barr and Kantor. (2019) states that "How to Compare and Select Cricketers in Overs Cricket,". In this paper, the author outlines the main criteria for comparing the selection of strikers in limited sodalities. This paper shows a clear 2D representation of the strike rate on one axis and the probability of exit, i.e., P (exit) on another axis. We are erecting a strike selection strategy grounded on this 2D frame that combines scale and strike rate as an illustration of this operation we use this principle in beating the 2003 World Cup performance to show the strong and harmonious performance of batsmen playing in Indian and Australia brigades.

Prakash. (2016) proposed the ranking methodology for ranking the batsmen and bowlers in the fray in the IPL IX is described that imposes an ordering on the players in terms of their fur and bowling capabilities. This ranking scheme is grounded on a machine approach using Recursive Point elimination to produce a comprehensive performance indicator for fur and another for bowling.

Jhanwar and Paudi. (2016) forecasted the outgrowth of a justice match by comparing the strengths of the players. For this, they measured the performances of individual players. They developed an analysis to model the performances of batsmen and bowlers where they determine the eventuality of a player by examining his career performance and his recent performances.

Kumar and Sindhu. (2014) discussed some prevailing criteria for assessing players performance in justice is reviewed along with their limitations and strengths. The Random Timbers approach and the new criteria evolved using this machine literacy approach are presented.

Sankaranarayanan, Sattar, and Lakshmanan. (2014) discusses modeling homeruns and non-home runs vaticination algorithms and considers taking runs, lattices, frequency of

being each- out as literal features into their vaticination model. But they have not abused bowler's features and have given further emphasis to batsmen.

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Proposed System

After studying the research papers, it has been observed that the prediction is usually done without considering the dynamic nature of the cricket game. We are proposing a system for Prediction that can consider the dynamic nature of the game. The accuracies of the models used by authors are slightly on the lower side. We are trying to improve the accuracy of the results by considering various parameters.

3.2 Study Design:

An Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) technique is being implemented. The comparison is made for data sets such as PBT (Player Batting Points), MVP (Most Valuable Player), Co-Variance Analysis, Multiple Regression, to determine the prediction of best players.

3.3 MVP Calculation:



Most Valuable Player = (Player Batting Points + Experience)

3.4 Co-Variance Calculation:

Co-Variance =
$$\left(\frac{Sum(A * B)}{10}\right) - (Average of A * Average of B)$$

3.5Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the dynamic nature of MVP Calculation of Each Player's Selection Process.
- To analyze and find similarity & dis-similarity among players to predict the best batsman.

3.6Variables considered

IPL Stats - Matches Played, Matches Won, Matches Lost.

Most Valuable Player (MVP) – Player Batting Points, Experience.

Co-Variance Analysis – Runs.

Multiple Regression – Runs, Total Score, Strike Rate.

Player Prediction - Runs, Average, Strike Rate.

3.7Tools used for Data Analysis

- Data Cleaning MS Excel
- Data Visualization MS Excel
- Data Analysis MS Excel
- Predictive Modelling Techniques IPL Stats, MVP (Most Valuable Player) calculation, Co-Variance Analysis, Multiple Regression, and Player Prediction.

3.8Data Collection

The type of data which is used in the study is Secondary data. The data is collected from multiple websites. Websites are major source of data collection place where large amount are data are collected and converted into useful information. In this study variety of data sets were taken for analysis. Mainly the datasets from cricket websites gave a good representation and wide knowledge about the widespread. The secondary source of data collected need to be cleansed, looked up for missing variables and then need to be analyzed.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

4.1 MVP Calculation:



From the above chart it is understood that from IPL season 2017-2021 Andre Russell stands as the top player of all other players. He holds 10.05 of the most valubale points in the batting. Here he is selected as the Most Valuable Player

among the other batsman. Then the second most valuable player in batting is Rishabh Pant he holds points of 8.82.

4.2 CO-Variance Analysis

| Co-Variance Analysis of 2 Players | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|---------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | A (KL Rahul) | | B (Kane Williamson) | | | | | |
| A (KL Rahul) | 915.89 | | | | | | | |
| B (Kane Williamson) | 62.56 | | 329.64 | | | | | |
| Manual Calculation | | | | | | | | |
| Average (A) | | 46.9 | | | | | | |
| Average (B) | | 26.6 | | | | | | |
| Sum of A*B | | 13101 | | | | | | |
| Avg of A* Avg of B | | 1247.54 | | | | | | |
| Sum/10 | | 1310.1 | | | | | | |
| (Sum/10) - (Average of A * Average of B) | | 62.56 | | | | | | |

From the above table it is Understood that there is a strong bond between the two players KL Rahul and Kane Williamson. The Co-Variance between the two players is a positive value of 62.56. By this we can say that they are the consistent players in IPL.

| Co-Variance Analysis of 2 Players | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Andre Russell | Rishabh Pant | | | | |
| A (Andre Russell) | 324.01 | | | | | |
| B (Rishabh Pant) | -32.58 | 184.24 | | | | |

| Manual Calculation | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Average (A) | 18.3 | | | |
| Average (B) | 23.6 | | | |
| Sum of A*B | 3993 | | | |
| Avg of A* Avg of B | 431.88 | | | |
| Sum/10 | 399.30 | | | |
| (Sum/10) - (Average of A * | 32 58 | | | |
| Average of B) | -32.30 | | | |

From the above table it is Understood that there is no strong bond between two players Andre Russell and Rishabh Pant. The Co-Variance between the two players is a negative value of -32.58. By this we can say that they are non-consistent players in IPL.

4.3 Regression Statistics of KL Rahul

| Regression Statistics | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Maltinla D | 0.8859 | | | | | | | | |
| Multiple R | 1683 | | | | | | | | |
| R Square | 0.7848 | | | | | | | | |
| | 4864 | | | | | | | | |
| Adjusted | 0.7233 | | | | | | | | |
| R Square | 7682 | | | | | | | | |
| Standard | 24.527 | | | | | | | | |
| Error | 9989 | | | | | | | | |
| Observatio | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| ns | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ANOVA | | | | | | | | | |
| | df | SS | MS | F | Signific ance F | | | | |
| Regressio | 2 | 15362.5 | 7681.2 | 12.76 | 0.00461 | | | | |
| n | 2 | 7738 | 8869 | 7617 | 96 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Coeffi | Standar | t Stat | Р- | | | | | |
| | cients | d Error | | value | | | | | |
| Intercept | 95.275 | 54.8239 | 1.7378 | 0.125 | | | | | |
| | 3232 | 4461 | 4145 | 8041 | | | | | |
| (Y) Runs | 1.3914 | 0.31311 | 4.4438 | 0.002 | | | | | |
| | 3371 | 4513 | 493 | 9938 | | | | | |
| (Z) Total Score | - 0.2449 56 | 0.41970 2909 | - 0.5836 414 | 0.577 7747 | | | | | |

From the above table we can see that the significance value is 0.004, which is below 0.05. So, there is a statistically significant difference between the runs and the total score. Therefore, it affects the player's strike rate.

V. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

In this study I used predictive analytics and found the results to choose the best and continuous performing players in the IPL seasons using various analysis. This creates a best team when every individual player is analyzed by their performance in the previous matches played by them.

- ✓ MVP calculation for player points.
- \checkmark Co-Variance analysis for two different players.
- \checkmark Multiple Regression for each player.

5.1 Recommendation on the Findings

This study recommends using various types of analysis used for the data analytics. It results to selection of best-balanced team for the team management. The random selection of batsmen and batting order may give a better result in the ever-unpredictable game.

5.2 Suggestion for the further study

Researcher took limited amount of data, and this gave a result which is somewhat acceptable but having more datasets will help the researcher for perfect forecast of future outbreak. We discussed IPL Stats, MVP (Most Valuable Player), Co-Variance Analysis, Multiple Regression and Score Prediction. Further research proceeding must be concerned with taking more data into account.

5.3 Conclusion

This paper focuses on the colorful bracket of forecasting modeling approaches. we demonstrate the dynamic changing demand of a player in the duration of a purchase, it results in the selection of the best-balanced squad for the squad handling. When a team has a full set of players, the system classifies them grounded on their part and performance using MVP computation. This will give further options for the captain to use his bowling strength during the match. Also, the system utilizes the differences and parallels in performance of batsmen using friction to constrain the possible accept cases for better affair. The arbitrary selection of batsmen and fur order may give a better result in the ever-changeable game. The total score forecasting's delicacy using regression shows the reliance of a platoon's fur on an individual player.

REFERENCES

- Khandelwal, M., Prakash, J., & Pradhan, T. (2016). An Analysis of Best Player Selection Key Performance Indicator: The Case of Indian Premier League (IPL). In Intelligent Systems Technologies and Applications (pp. 173-190). Springer, Cham.
- [2] Singh, S; Gupta, S; & Gupta, V. (2011). 'Dynamic bidding strategy for players auction in IPL,' International Journal of Sports Science and Engineering, 05(01), 03-16.
- [3] Singh, S. (2011), 'Measuring the performance of teams in the Indian Premier League,' American Journal of Operations Research, 01, 180-184.
- [4] PankushKalgotra, Ramesh Sharda, Goutam Chakraborty, 'Predictive Modelling in sports leagues: an application in Indian Premier League,' in SAS Global Forum 2013, pp. 019-2013.

- [6] Sonali B. and Shubhasheesh B, 'Auction of players in Indian premier league: the strategic perspective' International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, ISSN 2231 5780.
- [7] H. Saikia and D. Bhattacharjee, 'On classification of allrounders of the Indian premier league (IPL): A Bayesian approach', Vikalpa 36 (4), 25-40.
- [8] Santra, A., Mitra, A., Sinha, A., & Das, A. K. (2021). Prediction of Most Valuable Bowlers of Indian Premier League (IPL). In Data Management, Analytics and Innovation (pp. 211-223). Springer, Singapore.
- [9] Ghosh, A., Sinha, A., Mondal, P., Roy, A., &Saha, P. (2021, January). Indian Premier League Player Selection Model Based on Indian Domestic League Performance. In 2021 IEEE 11th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC) (pp. 0277-0282). IEEE.
- [10] Sankaran, S. (2014). Comparing pay versus performance of IPL Bowlers: an application of cluster analysis. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 14(1), 174-187.
- [11] Chakraborty, S., Sen, A. K., & Bagchi, A. (2015). Combinatorial auctions for player selection in the Indian Premier League (IPL). Journal of Sports Economics, 16(1), 86-107.
- [12] Kansal, P., Kumar, P., Arya, H., &Methaila, A. (2014, November). Player valuation in Indian premier league auction using data mining technique. In 2014 international conference on contemporary computing and informatics (IC3I) (pp. 197-203). IEEE.
- [13] Ghosh, A., Dey, M., Guha, B., Jana, S., & Sarkar, A.
 (2021). Performance evaluation & rankings of players in IPL 2019 by DEA & SEM. Malaya Journal of Matematik, Vol. S, (1), 46-56.
- [14] Prakash, J., Khandelwal, M., & Pradhan, T. (2015, September). Evaluation of IPL teams and players using association, correlation and classification rules. In 2015 International Conference on Computer, Communication and Control (IC4) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [15] Amin, G. R., & Sharma, S. K. (2014). Cricket team selection using data envelopment analysis. European journal of sport science, 14(sup1), S369-S376.
- [16] Dey, P. K., Banerjee, A., Ghosh, D. N., & Mondal, A. C. (2014). AHP-neural network-based player price estimation in IPL. International Journal of Hybrid Information Technology, 7(3), 15-24.
- [17] Patel, N., & Pandya, M. (2019). IPL Player's Performance Prediction. International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering, 7, 478-481.

- [18] Singla, S., & Shukla, S. S. (2020). Integer Optimization for Dream 11 Cricket Team Selection. International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering.
- [19] Prakash, C. D. (2016). A new team selection methodology using machine learning and memetic genetic algorithm for ipl-9. Int. Jl. of Electronics, Electrical and Computational System IJEECS ISSN.
- [20] Lamsal, R., & Choudhary, A. (2018). Predicting Outcome of Indian Premier League (IPL) Matches Using Classification Based Machine Learning Algorithm. CoRR.
- [21] Kashid, D., Mehta, S. N., &Basotia, V. (2019, October). A Hybrid AHP-TOPSIS Mathematical Multi Criteria Decision-Making Model for Players Performance Evaluation and Selection in IPL a Case Study. In National Conference on" New Frontiers of Innovation in Management, Social Science, and Technology and their Impact on Societal Development" AU-FAIT (Vol. 5).
- [22] Singh, S., & Kaur, P. (2017). IPL visualization and prediction using HBase. Procedia computer science, 122, 910-915.
- [23] Dey, P. K., Ghosh, D. N., & Mondal, A. C. (2011). A MCDM approach for evaluating bowlers' performance in IPL. Journal of emerging trends in Computing and Information Sciences, 2(11), 563-573.
- [24] Prakash, C. D., Patvardhan, C., & Lakshmi, C. V. (2016). Team selection strategy in ipl 9 using random forests algorithm. International Journal of Computer Applications, 975, 8887.