

# Implementation Neuro-Fuzzy Predictor For PV Power Generation In MPPT Applications

Chandrashekhhar Soni<sup>1</sup>, Prof. G.R.Kesheorey<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Dept of Electrical and Electronics

<sup>1,2</sup>VITS, Indore, India

**Abstract-** The intermittent nature of PV generation makes it difficult to plan load dispatch from grids which have PV integration. One of the most effective ways to predict PV power is to estimate the solar irradiation on the PV cells which typically vary to month, day, time, temperature and other variables. The sporadic nature of the irradiation on PV cells makes it extremely challenging to prediction of short term and long term PV outputs. The proposed work presents and regression learning based neuro fuzzy predictor for solar PV generation output for both short and long term conditions. The performance of the system has been evaluated based on the mean absolute error, number of iterations and the regression curves. It can be observed from the results that the proposed system attains extremely low mean absolute error for the PV output thereby rendering high accuracy to the micro/smart grids with PV integration

**Keywords-** Photovoltaic (PV) output Prediction, Neuro-Fuzzy Predictor, Mean Absolute Error, and Regression Learning.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Solar PV outputs are high solar irradiation dependent and intermittent in nature. Hence it becomes challenging to predict solar PV outputs.

The figure below shows the solar radiation spectrum. The spectrum comprises of the infrared, visible and ultra violet regions of the spectrum. In the proposed work, a system is put forward that can accurately determine the maximum power point tracking of solar PV Cells by proper and accurate prediction of the solar irradiance.

The two main challenges to high penetration rates of PV systems are variability and uncertainty, i.e. the fact that PV output exhibits variability at all timescales (from seconds to years) and the fact that this variability itself is difficult to predict. The proposed work addresses the second issue, uncertainty, and the method used to address it: photovoltaic forecasting




Fig. 1 Solar Irradiation Spectrum.

## II. PV FORECASTING AND ITS LINK TO SOLAR IRRADIATION

The different uses of PV forecasts require different types of forecasts. Forecasts may apply to a single PV system, or refer to the aggregation of large numbers of systems spread over an extended geographic area. Forecasts may focus on the output power of systems or on its rate of change (also known as the ramp rate). Accordingly, different forecasting methods are used. Forecasting methods also depend on the tools and information available to forecasters, such as data from weather stations and satellites, PV system data and outputs from numerical weather prediction (NWP) models.




Fig.2 Model for PV Forecasting

Forecasting methods can be broadly characterized as physical or statistical. The physical approach uses solar and PV models to generate PV forecasts, whereas the statistical approach relies primarily on past data to ‘train’ models, with little or no reliance on solar and PV models. The PV forecast is typically a function of relevant weather variables and PV system characteristics. The main variables influencing PV output power are the irradiance in the plane of the PV array and the temperature at the back of the PV modules or cells.

### III. THE NEURO-FUZZY PREDICTOR

Due to the complexity and size of the data, off late machine learning or AI based techniques are being used for time series data analysis such as Solar PV output prediction.

Neuro-Fuzzy Systems are combination of neural networks and fuzzy systems. Neural networks, with their remarkable ability to derive meaning from complicated or imprecise data, can be used to extract patterns and detect trends that are too complex to be noticed by either humans or other computer techniques. Other advantages include:

1. **Adaptive learning:** An ability to learn how to do tasks based on the data given for training or initial experience.
2. **Self-Organization:** An ANN can create its own organization or representation of the information it receives during learning time.
3. **Real Time Operation:** ANN computations may be carried out in parallel, and special hardware devices are being designed and manufactured which take advantage of this capability.




Fig.3 Mathematical Model of Neural Network

The output of the neural network is given by:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i W_i + \theta \tag{1}$$

Where,

$X_i$  represents the signals arriving through various paths,  $W_i$  represents the weight corresponding to the various paths and  $\theta$  is the bias. It can be seen that various signals traverssing different paths have been assigned names  $X$  and each path has been assigned a weight  $W$ .

The signal traverssing a particular path gets multiplied by a corresponding weight  $W$  and finally the overall summation of the signals multiplied by the corresponding path weights reaches the neuron which reacts to it according to the bias  $\theta$ . Finally its the bias that decides the activation function that is responsible for the decision taken upon by the neural network. The activation function  $\varphi$  is used to decide upona the final output. The learning capability of the ANN structure is based on the temporal learning capability governed by the relation:

$$w(i) = f(i, e) \tag{2}$$

Here,

$w(i)$  represents the instantaneous weights  
 $i$  is the iteration  
 $e$  is the prediction error

The weight changes dynamically and is given by:

$$W_k \xrightarrow{e,i} W_{k+1} \tag{3}$$

Here,

$W_k$  is the weight of the current iteration.  
 $W_{k+1}$  is the weight of the subsequent iteration.

**Regression Learning Model**

Regression learning has found several applications in supervised learning algorithms where the regression analysis among dependent and independent variables is needed. Different regression models differ based on the the kind of relationship between dependent and independent variables, they are considering and the number of independent variables being used. Regression performs the task to predict a dependent variable value (y) based on a given independent variable (x). So, this regression technique finds out a relationship between x (input) and y(output). Mathematically,

$$y = \theta_1 + \theta_2 x \tag{4}$$

Here,

x represent the state vector of input variables  
 y represent the state vector of output variable or variables.  
 $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the co-efficients which try to fit the regression learning models output vector to the input vector.

By achieving the best-fit regression line, the model aims to predict y value such that the error difference between predicted value and true value is minimum. So, it is very important to update the  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  values, to reach the best value that minimize the error between predicted y value (pred) and true y value (y). The cost function J is mathematically defined as:

$$J = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (pred_i - y_i)^2 \tag{5}$$

Here,

n is the number of samples  
 y is the target  
 pred is the actual output.

**Gradient Descent in Regression Learning**

To update  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  values in order to reduce Cost function (minimizing MSE value) and achieving the best fit line the model uses Gradient Descent. The idea is to start with random  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  values and then iteratively updating the values, reaching minimum cost. The main aim is to minimize the cost function J.

**The Fuzzy Model**

Any practical problem that has an uncertainty and non-linear can be solved by the fuzzy approach. One such example is the intermittent solar PV output. According to them the performance of the developed model is high influenced and inspired by the Knowledge Base (KB) which is a combination of data Base (DB) and Rule Base (RB). In this approach the objective is to optimize DB and tune RB for better performance. The model for the fuzzy logic controller (FLC) is given below.



**Fig.4 Block Diagram of Fuzzy Logic Controller**

If the neural network is used to decide the ranges of the membership functions of the fuzzy system, then its termed as neuro-fuzzy system.

**III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY**

The The wavelet transform can be crudely seen as a tool for the smoothening of local disturebances in the data set and is widely used as a data pre-processing tool. The mathematical formulation for the wavelet transform is given by the scaling and shifting approach of the wavelet function. The scaling, shifting dependence can be defined as:

$$W\Psi (sc, sh) = \Psi(\{x,t\}) \tag{6}$$

Here,

x is the space variable  
 t is the time variable  
 $\Psi$  is the transform  
 sc is the scaling factor  
 sh is the shifting factor

Thus the wavelet transform can be considered to be a shifted-scaled version of wavelet family functions.

There are several wavelet families such as haar, Mexican hat, morlet etc. The base functions differ from conventional sine/cosine functions exhibiting smoothness in the period of definition. The proposed approach uses the wavelet transform on the independent variables and then trains the neural network with the values.

The algorithm is based on the concept of feeding back the errors to the neural network i.e. back propagation. The salient feature of the algorithm is its relatively low time complexity and accuracy. The reason for the mentioned phenomena is the fact that the algorithm searches for the

direction for the steepest direction right from the first iteration. Mathematically,

$$p_0 = -g_0 \tag{7}$$

Here,

$p_0$  is the negative of the gradient vector  $g_0$

For the  $k^{\text{th}}$  iteration,

$$p_k = -g_k + \theta_k p_{k-1} \tag{8}$$

It is worth noting that the in addition to the weights, the search vector also keeps updating with the iterations. The term  $\theta_k$  is calculated as:

$$\theta_k = \frac{g_k g_k^T}{g_{k-1} g_{k-1}^T} \tag{9}$$

The overall training rule for the algorithm can be mathematically expressed as:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k + \beta_k p_k \tag{10}$$

The essence of the algorithm can be summarized in the following points:

- 1) The algorithm starts a search for the steepest descent vector right from the first iteration of training
- 2) The steepest descent ensures fast training
- 3) Step 2 ensures lower time complexity for the algorithm
- 4) In addition to the update of weights, the steepest descent vector is also updated with the number of iterations.
- 5) The algorithm is in general requires low memory space for execution. This is particularly useful for large data sets and low memory applications.


**Evaluation of Parameters:**

After the testing process is over, the following parameters are computed to evaluate the performance of the proposed approach:

- 1) Mean Absolute Error
- 2) Number of Iterations
- 3) Regression

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

The system has been simulated on Matlab 2018a.



**Fig.5 Wavelet Decomposition of Raw Data**


Before the data is applied to the neural network for pattern analysis, it is decomposed into approximate and detailed co-efficients using the Discrete Wavelet Transform which acts as a filtering tool.

The DWT is used to decompose the data into approximate and detailed co-efficients.

The approximate co-efficients contain the maximum information and the detailed co-efficients contain the additional details.


Discarding the detailed co-efficients helps to smoothen out the data and remove local disturbances and fluctuations in the data.

A third level decomposition has been done in this case. Increasing the levels above 3 does not increase the accuracy much but increases the system complexity.




**Fig.6 Training the Neural Network**

The training and the performance metrics of the proposed model is shown in the figure above.



**Fig. 7 MSE variation as a function of time**

In above graph depicts the variation of MSE w.r.t. epochs.



**Fig. 8 Variation of Training Parameters**

In above graph depicts the variation of training parameters as training states.




Fig. 9 Regression analysis

The overall regression is 0.63 due to the sporadic and intermittent nature of the PV outputs.




Fig. 10. Forecasted Values, Actual Values and MAPE w.r.t. time

The figure above depicts the accuracy with which the neural network is capable to predict future values. The relation which related the MAPE and accuracy is given by:

Accuracy(%)=100-error (%)  
 The MAPE is 1.27  
 Hence the accuracy is 98.75%.  
 The number of iterations is 4.

The previous work attained a normalized MAE of 3.6% but the proposed work attains an MAE of 1.25% only thereby outperforming the previous approach.

V. CONCLUSION

Predicting Solar PV output has been shown to be extremely complex due to the nature of the irradiation. As it has been pointed out earlier, PV output prediction can be challenging because of the wide range variation of the parameters affecting irradiation on the PV cells. Any neural network system can find it difficult to follow the pattern of solar irradiation owing to the fact that solar irradiation varies significantly and some may even become zero during nights. This discontinuity causes even more problems. Hence a two-fold approach has been used for solar irradiation prediction which can be summarized as:

- 1) Using the wavelet transform as a data processing tool for all the relevant parameters.
- 2) Using the processed data to train a neural network.

From the results shown, it can be clearly observed that the proposed technique performs better than the previous work [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] Mohammad Monfared, Meghdad Fazeli, Richard Lewis, Justin Searle, “Fuzzy Predictor With Additive Learning for Very Short-Term PV Power Generation”, IEEE 2020
- [2] New Active Building Centre to Transform the UK Construction and Energy Sectors Through the Deployment of Solar-Powered Buildings— EPSRC Website. Accessed: Feb. 11, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://epsrc.ukri.org/newsevents/news/activebuildingcentre/>
- [3] M. Gulin, T. Pavlović, and M. Vašak, “A one-day-ahead photovoltaic array power production prediction with combined static and dynamic on-line correction,” Sol. Energy, vol. 142, pp. 49–60, IEEE 2019
- [4] Mehrnoosh Torabi, Amir Mosavi, Pinar Ozturk, Annamaria Varkonyi-Koczy, Vajda Istvan, “A Hybrid Machine Learning Approach for Daily Prediction of Solar Radiation”, Springer 2018
- [5] R. Amaro e Silva, M. C. Brito, “Impact of network layout and time resolution on spatio-temporal solar forecasting”, 2018 ELSEVIER.
- [6] Yi Wang, Ning Zhang, Qixin Chen, Daniel S. Kirschen, Pan Li, Qing Xia, “Data-Driven Probabilistic Net Load Forecasting With High Penetration of Behind-the-Meter PV”, 2018 IEEE.

- [7] Hanmin Sheng, Jian Xiao, Yuhua Cheng, Qiang Ni, Song Wang, “Short-Term Solar Power Forecasting Based on Weighted Gaussian Process Regression”, 2018 IEEE.
- [8] D.W. van der Meera, M. Sheperoa, A. Svenssonb, J. Widéna, J. Munkhammar, “Probabilistic forecasting of electricity consumption, photovoltaic power generation and net demand of an individual building using Gaussian Processes”, 2017 ELSEVIER.
- [9] Victor H. Queja, Javier Almoroxa, Javier A. Arnaldob, Laurel Saitoc, “ANFIS, SVM and ANN soft-computing techniques to estimate daily global solar radiation in a warm sub-humid environment”, 2017 ELSEVIER.
- [10] Kaveh Ostad-Ali-Askari, Mohammad Shayannejad, and Hossein Ghorbanizadeh-Kharazi, “Artificial Neural Network for Modeling Nitrate Pollution of Groundwater in Marginal Area of Zayandeh-rood River, Isfahan, Iran”, 2017 SPRINGER.
- [11] Saeed Sepasi, Ehsan Reihani, Abdul M. Howlader, Leon R. Roosea Mar, M.Matsuur, “Very short term load forecasting of a distribution system with high PV penetration”, 2017 ELSEVIER.
- [12] Shibani Ghosh, Saifur Rahman, Manisa Pipattanasomporn, “Distribution Voltage Regulation Through Active Power Curtailment With PV Inverters and Solar Generation Forecasts”, 2017 IEEE.
- [13] [12] Mohammed Bou-Rabee, Shaharin A.Sulaiman, Magdy Saad Saleh, Suhaila Marafi, “Using artificial neural networks to estimate solar radiation in Kuwait”, 2017 ELSEVIER.
- [14] L. Saad Saoud, F. Rahmoune, V. Tourtchine, K. Baddari “Fully Complex Valued Wavelet Neural Network for Forecasting the Global Solar Irradiation.” Springer 2016.
- [15] A.G.R. Vaz, B. Elsinga, W.G.J.H.M. van Sark, M.C. Brito, “An artificial neural network to assess the impact of neighbouring photovoltaic systems in power forecasting in Utrecht, the Netherlands”, 2016 ELSEVIER.
- [16] André Gensler, Janosch Henze, Bernhard Sick, Nils Raabe, “Deep Learning for Solar Power Forecasting – An Approach Using Autoencoder and LSTM Neural Networks”, 2016 IEEE.
- [17] H. Nazari-pouya, Y. Wang, P. Chu, H. R. Pota, and R. Gadh, “Univariate Time Series Prediction of Solar Power Using a Hybrid Wavelet-ARMA-NARX Prediction Method”, 2016 IEEE.