

A Review on Seismic Analysis of Multistorey Building With Floating Column

Mr. Shubham R Yawale¹, Prof. Bharati Changhode²

¹Dept of Civil Engineering

²Assistant Professor, Dept of Civil Engineering

^{1,2}G.H. Rasoni University, Amravati, Maharashtra, India

Abstract- In present scenario buildings with floating column is a typical feature in the modern multistory construction in urban India. Such features are highly undesirable in building built in seismically active areas. This study highlights the importance of explicitly recognizing the presence of the floating column in the analysis of building. Alternate measures, involving stiffness balance of the first storey and the storey above, are proposed to reduce the irregularity introduced by the floating columns. FEM codes are developed for 2D multi storey frames with and without floating column to study the responses of the structure under different earthquake excitation having different frequency content keeping the PGA and time duration factor constant. The time history of floor displacement, inter storey drift, base shear, overturning moment are computed for both the frames with and without floating column.

Keywords- Floating Columns, Earthquake, Storey Drift, Story Displacements, Time history method, Bracings, Equivalent Static Method, ETABS Software, Floating Column, Pushover Analysis, Response Spectrum Analysis, Seismic Analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

Many urban multistorey buildings in India today have open first storey as an unavoidable feature. This is primarily being adopted to accommodate parking or reception lobbies in the first storey. Whereas the total seismic base shear as experienced by a building during an earthquake is dependent on its natural period, the seismic force distribution is dependent on the distribution of stiffness and mass along the height. There are many projects in which floating columns are adopted, especially above the ground floor, where transfer girders are employed, so that more open space is available in the ground floor. These open spaces may be required for assembly hall or parking purpose. The transfer girders have to be designed and detailed properly, especially in earth quake zones. The column is a concentrated load on the beam which supports it. As far as analysis is concerned, the column is often assumed pinned at the base and is therefore taken as a point load on the transfer beam. STAAD Pro, ETABS and SAP2000 can be used to do the analysis of this type of structure.

Floating columns are competent enough to carry gravity loading but transfer girder must be of adequate dimensions (Stiffness) with very minimal deflection

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Maison *et al* (1991), Members of ASCE computed dynamic properties and response behaviors OF THIRTEEN-STORY BUILDING and this result are compared to the true values as determined from the recorded motions in the building during two actual earthquakes and shown that state-of-practice design type analytical models can predict the actual dynamic properties. (1)

Arlekar, *et al* (1997) said that such features were highly undesirable in buildings built in seismically active areas; this has been verified in numerous experiences of strong shaking during the past earthquakes. They highlighted the importance of explicitly recognizing the presence of the open first storey in the analysis of the building, involving stiffness balance of the open first storey and the storey above, were proposed to reduce the irregularity introduced by the open first storey. (2)

Awkar *et al* (1997) studied responses of multi-story flexibly connected frames subjected to earthquake excitations using a computer model. The model incorporates connection flexibility as well as geometrical and material nonlinearities in the analyses and concluded that the study indicates that connection flexibility tends to increase upper stories' inter-storey drifts but reduce base shears and base overturning moments for multi-story frames. (3)

Balsamo *a et al* (2005) performed pseudo dynamic tests on an RC structure repaired with CFRP laminates. The opportunities provided by the use of Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) composites for the seismic repair of reinforced concrete (RC) structures were assessed on a full-scale dual system subjected to pseudo dynamic tests in the ELSA laboratory. The aim of the CFRP repair was to recover the structural properties that the frame had before the seismic actions by providing both columns and joints with more deformation capacity. The repair was characterized by a

selection of different fiber textures depending on the main mechanism controlling each component. The driving principles in the design of the CFRP repair and the outcomes of the experimental tests are presented in the paper. Comparisons between original and repaired structures are discussed in terms of global and local performance. In addition to the validation of the proposed technique, the experimental results will represent a reference database for the development of design criteria for the seismic repair of RC frames using composite materials.(4)

Vassilopoulos et al (2006) performed rational and efficient seismic design methodology for plane steel frames using advanced methods of analysis in the framework of Eurocodes 8 and 3. This design methodology employs an advanced finite element method of analysis that takes into account geometrical and material nonlinearities and member and frame imperfections. It can sufficiently capture the limit states of displacements, strength, stability and damage of the structure. (5)

Baradaris et al (2007) evaluated the American and European procedural assumptions for the assessment of the seismic capacity of existing buildings via pushover analyses. The FEMA and the Euro code-based GRECO procedures have been followed in order to assess a four- storeyed bare framed building and a comparison has been made with available experimental results. (6)

Mortezaei et al (2009) recorded data from recent earthquakes which provided evidence that ground motions in the near field of a rupturing fault differ from ordinary ground motions, as they can contain a large energy, or “directivity” pulse. This pulse can cause considerable damage during an earthquake, especially to structures with natural periods close to those of the pulse. Failures of modern engineered structures observed within the near- fault region in recent earthquakes have revealed the vulnerability of existing RC buildings against pulse-type ground motions. This may be due to the fact that these modern structures had been designed primarily using the design spectra of available standards, which have been developed using stochastic processes with relatively long duration that characterizes more distant ground motions. Many recently designed and constructed buildings may therefore require strengthening in order to perform well when subjected to near-fault ground motions. Fiber Reinforced Polymers are considered to be a viable alternative, due to their relatively easy and quick installation, low life cycle costs and zero maintenance requirements. (7)

Williams et al (2009) studied the economic benefit of a given retrofit procedure using the framework details. A parametric

analysis was conducted to determine how certain parameters affect the feasibility of a seismic retrofit. A case study was performed for the Different Case buildings in Memphis and San Francisco using a modest retrofit procedure. The results of the parametric analysis and case study advocate that, for most situations, a seismic retrofit of an existing building is more financially viable in San Francisco than in Memphis. (8)

Garcia et al (2010) tested a full-scale two-storey RC building with poor detailing in the beam column joints on a shake table as part of the European research project ECOLEADER. After the initial tests which damaged the structure, the frame was strengthened using carbon fibre reinforced materials (CFRPs) and re-tested. This paper investigates analytically the efficiency of the strengthening technique at improving the seismic behaviors of this frame structure. The experimental data from the initial shake table tests are used to calibrate analytical models. To simulate deficient beam column joints, models of steel concrete bond slip and bond-strength degradation under cyclic loading were considered. The analytical models were used to assess the efficiency of the CFRP rehabilitation using a set of medium to strong seismic records. The CFRP strengthening intervention enhanced the resulted in substantial improvement of the seismic performance of the damaged RC frame. It was shown that, after the CFRP intervention, the damaged building would experience on average 65% less global damage compared to the original structure if it was subjected to real earthquake excitations. (9)

Niro mandi et al (2010) retrofitted an eight-storey frame strengthened previously with a steel bracing system with web-bonded CFRP. Comparing the seismic performance of the FRP retrofitted frame at joints with that of the steel X- braced retrofitting method, it was concluded that both retrofitting schemes have comparable abilities to increase the ductility reduction factor and the over-strength factor; the former comparing better on ductility and the latter on over-strength. The steel bracing of the RC frame can be beneficial if a substantial increase in the stiffness and the lateral load resisting capacity is required. Similarly, FRP retrofitting at joints can be used in conjunction with FRP retrofitting of beams and columns to attain the desired increases. (10)

III. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The behavior of multistory building with and without floating column is studied under different earthquake excitation. The compatible time history and El Centro earthquake data has been considered. The PGA of both the earthquake has been scaled to 0.2g and duration of excitation are kept same. A finite element model has been developed to

study the dynamic behavior of multi-story frame. The static and free vibration results obtained using present finite element code are validated. The dynamic analysis of frame is studied by varying the column dimension. It is concluded that with increase in ground floor column the maximum displacement, inter storey drift values are reducing. The base shear and overturning moment vary with the change in column dimension.

REFERENCES

- [1] Maison Bruce F. and Ventura Carlos E., “DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF THIRTEEN- STORY BUILDING”, Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol. 117, No. 12, Page no:3783- 3803,1991
- [2] Agarwal Pankaj, Shrikhande Manish (2009), “Earthquake resistant design of structures”, PHI learning private limited, New Delhi
- [3] Arlekar Jaswant N, Jain Sudhir K. and Murty C.V.R, (1997), “Seismic Response of RC Frame Buildings with Soft First Storeys”. Proceedings of the CBRI Golden Jubilee Conference on Natural Hazards in Urban Habitat, 1997, New Delhi.
- [4] Awkar J. C. and Lui E.M, “Seismic analysis and response of multistory semirigid frames”, Journal of Engineering Structures, Volume 21, Issue 5, Page no: 425- 442, 1997.
- [5] Balsamo A, Colombo A, Manfredi G, Negro P & Prota P (2005),” Seismic behavior of a full-scale RC frame repaired using CFRP laminates”. Engineering Structures 27 (2005) 769– 780.
- [6] Vassilopoulos A.A and Beskos D.E., “Seismic design of plane steel frames using advanced methods of analysis”, Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering Volume 26, Issue 12, December 2006, Pages 1077-1100.
- [7] Bardakis V.G., Dritsos S.E. (2007), “Evaluating assumptions for seismic assessment of existing buildings “.Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 27 (2007) 223–233.
- [8] Mortezaei A., Ronagh H.R., Kheyroddin A., (2009), “Seismic evaluation of FRP strengthened RC buildings subjected to near-fault ground motions having fling step”. Composite Structures 92 (2010) 1200–1211.
- [9] Ozyigit H. Alper, “Linear vibrations of frames carrying a concentrated mass”, Mathematical and Computational Applications, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 197-206, 2009.[10] Williams Ryan J., Gardoni Paolo, Bracci Joseph M., (2009), “Decision analysis for seismic retrofit of structures”. Structural Safety 31 (2009) 188–196.