Inspection And Maintenance Interval Forecasting of Fire Alarm System Using Reliability Prediction Technique

Mustakim Khan¹, Veerendra Suryawanshi²

^{1, 2} Dept of Fire Technology & Safety Engineering ^{1, 2} IES IPS Academy Indore

Abstract- This research paper helps in failure rate analysis of Fire Alarm System. Once we got the failure rate it is very convenient for us to predict the system reliability elements MTBF, MTTR, availability, etc. This research paper develops the concept of reliability analysis and the prediction that form the foundation upon which all the inspection, testing, and maintenance programs are based. Without a thorough understanding of the impact of inspection, testing and maintenance program has on the mission effectiveness of the Fire Alarm System cannot use a performance-based, objective-oriented design approach.

Keywords- Fire Alarm System; failure rate; reliability; prediction, maintenance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Time is the most important commodity in fire protection. The fire protection community's objective is to reduce reaction time, evacuation time, response time and suppression time. Past fire events have shown that codes and standards, complying with fire alarm systems can provide the "window of safety" need to meet the fire protection goals.

With each passing year in understanding the fire and its behavior enables designers to design a better fire alarm system to achieve the specific objectives and level of performance rather than compliance with minimum perspective standards. Yet, no performance-based or objective oriented design is complete without an explicit quantitative assessment of the level of confidence one can have that the fire alarm system will perform as intended. For that, the reliability analysis and prediction techniques are background areas from the basis for inspection, testing & maintenance requirements for the fire alarm system and components.

This research is especially limited to inspection, testing, and maintenance of the fire alarm system. This system is designed to sense fire, transmit the information to the

control unit and active personal warning, whether for the person occupying the site.

Reliability is recognized as an essential need for the fire alarm system. It is looked upon as a means for reducing cost from the factory, where rework of defective components adds nonproductive overhead expenses to the manufacturer and to the user, where repair cost includes not only parts and labor but also transportation and storage. More, importantly, reliability direct impacts force effectiveness, measured in terms of availability and or sortie rates.

The achievement of reliability is the function of reliability engineering. Every aspect of the fire alarm system, from the purity of the material used in the component device to the operator's interface, have an impact on reliability. Reliability engineering must, therefore, be applied throughout the system's development in a diligent and timely fashion, and integrated with another engineering discipline.

II. ROLE OF RELIABILITY PREDICTION IN ENGINEERING

The reliability prediction provides the quantities baseline needed to access progress in reliability engineering. A prediction mode of a proposed design may be used in several ways.

The effect of complexity on the probability of mission success can be evaluated through reliability prediction. The need for redundant or backup system may be determined with the aid of reliability prediction. A tread off of redundancy against other reliability enhancing techniques like more cooling, higher part quality must be based on reliability prediction coupled with other pertinent considerations such as cost, space limitations, etc.

The prediction will also help evaluate the significance of reported failure. For example, if several failures of one type or component occur in the system, the

predicted failure rate can determine whether the number of failures is commensurate with the number of components used in the system or that it indicate the problem area.

III. THE RELIABILITY PROBLEM

When it is proposed to design a fire alarm system to perform its expected job, it is assumed that the required investment will be justified according to the perfection by which the job is performed or by the large numbers of items in which the system can do the job. This assumption cannot justify when a system fails to perform upon demand or fail to perform repeatedly.

Reliability is a consideration at all levels of electronics, from material to operating system , because materials go to make up parts, parts compose assemblies are combined in the system for ever increasing complexity and sophistication. Therefore at any level of development and design, it is natural to find the influence of reliability engineering acting as a discipline founded to devote special engineering attention to the unreliability problem. Reliability engineering is concerned with the time degeneration of material, physical and electronic measurement, equipment design, process, and system analysis and synthesis. None of these can be isolated from the overall electronics context but must be carried on in conjunction with many other disciplines.

IV. FIRE ALARM SYSTEM

Modern Fire alarm systems vary in complexity from those that are simple to those that incorporate advanced detection and signaling equipment. The design, installation, and approval of a fire detection and alarm system may also require acceptances testing by regulatory agencies before new buildings are occupied or the system is placed in service.



A fire alarm system that simply sounds an audible signal and flashes strobe light in a space is conveying a signal of information. The Fire alarm system sends a voice announcement, flashlight indication to convey the information. They may signal a fire alarm and give a specific location and information on how and where they evacuate or relocate. As the cost of the emergency voice/alarm system comes down closer to the conventional system, they are being used more and more by designers. They are almost required by codes in high rise buildings but can also be effectively used in the smaller buildings. When provided with detailed information about a fire emergency, people tend to evacuate more quickly and effectively.

Audible and visible appliances may also be used to indicate a trouble condition in a fire alarm system, or they may be used as a supervisory signal to indicate the condition or status of other fire protection systems like an automatic sprinkler system.

3.1 Fire Alarm System

The fire alarm system constructed from various different components like:-

- 1. Fire alarm Control Unit
- 2. Initiating Device
- 3. Notification appliances
- 4. Primary & Secondary Power Supply Wiring / Cabling

The Fire Alarm System is constructed with many types electronic subcomponents like Semiconductors,

Resistors, capacitors, inductors, transformers etc. these all subcomponents have their own failure rate, this failure rate depends upon various factors like :

- Environment Factor
- Power factor
- Temperature Factor
- Voltage Stress Factor
- Load Stress Factor
- Electrical Stress Factor
- Quality Factor
- Series Resistance Factor
- Contact Form Factor
- Mating/Unmaking Factor
- Utilization Facto



Fig.1 Annual Failure Occurrence

Calculation of Failure Rate

MTBF = <u>Available Time</u> Number of Failure

Failure Rate $(\lambda) = \frac{1}{MTBF}$

TABLE-II Calculated Failure Rate

Month	MTBF	Failure
	(Hrs.)	Rate
January	744	0.0027
February	355.54	0.0041
March	359.5	0.0040
April	329.61	0.0057
May	247.47	0.0040
June	173.35	0.0026
July	247.27	0.0027
August	185.56	0.0013
September	179.6	0.0013
October	247.5	0.0013
November	718.9	0.0013
December	744	0.0029

V. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Abbreviations and Acronyms

Pt =Planned Time

- Dt =Down Time
- At = Availability
- $\lambda =$ Failure Rate
- MTBF = Mean Time Between Failure

TABLE-I: Failure Observations

Month	Planned	Down	Available	Number
	Time	Time	Time	of
	(Hrs.)	(Hrs.)	(Hrs.)	Failure
January	744	0	744	0
February	672	0.91	671.09	2
March	720	1.08	718.92	2
April	720	1.16	718.84	3
May	744	1.58	742.42	3
June	696	2.58	693.42	4
July	744	2.18	741.82	3
August	744	1.75	742.25	2
September	720	1.6	718.4	2
October	744	1.5	742.5	1
November	720	1.1	718.9	1
December	744	0	744	0



Fig. 2 Monthly Failure Rate occurrence

That required reliability can be calculates from

$$R = e^{-\lambda Tr}$$

Where

R= Reliability of System

e = Naperian Logarithm base, 2.71828

 λ =Inherent Failure Rate of System

T=Time period for which the Reliability has been computed

The factor T is the interval of time between each execution of the inspection, testing and maintenance procedure for the system. Assuming maintenance restores the entire system to complete operability, the required maintenance interval is computed from

$$T_R = \frac{\ln R_R}{-\lambda}$$

Where

In R_R =Naperian log of required reliability, R_R

 λ = Inherent failure rate of the system

 T_R = Required maintenance interval to achieve the required reliability

We want to get Fire Alarm System performance with 90% Reliability. That means

In
$$R_{R=}$$
 In(0.90)

By Using above tool the calculated required time interval is

TABLE-III: Calculated required Maintenance Interval

Month	Required Maintenance Interval (Hrs/Month)
January	36
February	24
March	24.75
April	17.33
May	24.72
June	37.11
July	36
August	74.25
September	72
October	74.4
November	74.4
December	33.55
Inherent Time Period (Σ)	528.51

VI. RESULT

On converting Inherent Failure Rate (Σ) we get the required maintenance interval 24 days to maintain the Fire Alarm System with 90 % reliability.

VII. CONCLUSION

It Indicates that this Fire Alarm, with the failure rate used, will require the complete inspection test and maintenance routine every seventh day in order to maintain at least 90 % reliability in achieving design objective. A reliability of 0.90 is a very high reliability, well above that of most system. It means that there is on 5 percent chance that there will be any form of failure during the lifetime of the system

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express our grateful thanks to the Department of Fire Technology & Safety Engineering, IES IPS Academy Indore for providing infrastructure facilities for successful completion of this project work.

REFERENCES

- U.S Department of Defense, "Military Handbook: Reliability Prediction of Electronic Equipments," MIL-HBDK-217F, U.S Government Printing Office, 1990.
- [2] NFPA 72, National Fire Alarm Code.
- [3] Patrick D.T O'Connor "Practical Reliability Engineering" Fourth Edition
- [4] A. Syamsundara, V.N.A. Naikanb, Shaomin Wuc (2020)
 "Alternative scales in reliability models for a repairable system" *Reliability Engineering and System Safety* volume 193 January 2020, 106599.

- [5] S. Ahmadi , S. Moosazadeh , M. Hajihassani , H. Moomivand , M.M. Rajaei (2019) "Reliability, Availability and Maintainability Analysis of the Conveyor" *Measurement* Volume 145, October 2019, Pages 756-764
- [6] Alberto Pliego Marugan, Ana Maria Peco Chacon, Fausto Pedro Garcia Marquez (2019) "Reliability Analysis of Detecting False Alarms that Employ Neural Networks" *Reliability Engineering and System Safety* Volume 191, November 2019, 106574
- [7] Khalid A.M. Moinuddin, Jasmine Innocent, Koroush Keshavarz (2019) "Reliability of Sprinkler System in Australian Shopping Centers – A Fault Tree" *Fire Safety Journal* Volume 105, April 2019.
- [8] Joung Taek Yoon, Byeng D. Youn, Minji Yoo, Yunhan Kim, Sooho Kim (2019) "Life-Cycle Maintenance Cost Analysis Framework Considering Time-Dependent" *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* Volume 184, April 2019.
- [9] Bjorn Sund , Henrik Jaldell (2018) "Security Officers Responding to Residential Fire Alarms Estimating the Effect" *Fire Safety Journal* Volume 97, April 2018.
- [10] Pankaj Goel, Aniruddha Datta, M. Sam Mannan (2017)
 "Industrial Alarm Systems Challenges and opportunities" *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* Volume 50, Part A, November 2017.
- [11] Anna A. Stec (2017) "The Elephant in the Room" *Journal* of Aging Studies Volume 52, March 2020, 100822
- [12] Khalid A.M. Moinuddin, Dorothy Bruck, Long Shi (2017) "An Experimental Study on Timely Activation of Smoke Alarms and their effective notification in typical residential buildings" *Fire Safety Journal* Volume 93, October 2017
- [13] YiWan, Hailong Huan, Diganta Das, Michael Pecht (2016) "Thermal Reliability Prediction and Analysis for high-density Electronic Systems" *Microelectronics Reliability*, Volume 56, January 2016.
- [14] Sebastian Festag (2016) "False Alarm Ratio of Fire Detection and Fire Alarm Systems" *Fire Safety Journal* Volume 79, January 2016
- [15] J. Fonollosa, A. Solórzano, J.M. Jiménez-Soto, S. Oller-Moreno, S. Marco, (2016) "Gas Sensor Array for Reliable Fire detection" *Procedia Engineering*, Volume 168, 2016.
- [16] Hao-wei Yaoa*, Ping Zhangb, Yuan-pan Zhengc, Dong Liangd 2016 "2016 Introduction for Code for Design of Automatic Fire Alarm System" *Procedia Engineering*, Volume 135, 2016
- [17] Luo Xuegang 2015 "A Reliability Prediction Method Based on Simulation Analysis" Procedia Engineering, Volume 99, 2015

- [18] Ryan P.Fisher, Stanislav I. Stoliarov, Michael R. Keller 2015 "criterion for thermally-induced failure of electrical cable" *Fire Safety Journal* Volume 75, February 2015.
- [19] LIU Fei, ZHAO Zhe, YAO Hao-wei, LIANG Dong 2013 "Application of Aspirating Smoke Detectors at the Fire Earliest Stage" *Procedia Engineering*, Volume 52, 2013.
- [20] DING Hong-jun, LIU Xiao-lu 2011 "Several Ideas on Fire Detecting Alarm for Power Supply and Distribution System" *Procedia Engineering*, Volume 11, 2011.
- [21] Yanghou CHEN 2011 "Reliability Analysis of a Fire Alarm System" *Procedia Engineering*, Volume 24, 2011.
- [22] E. Zio 2009 "Reliability Engineering and System Safety" Reliability Engineering and System Safety Volume 93, 2009
- [23] Shin-Juh Chena,_, David C. Hovdeb, Kristen A. Petersona, Andre' W. Marshallc 2007 "Fire detection using smoke and gas sensors" *Fire Safety Journal* Volume 42, November 2007.
- [24] Yuan-Shang Lin 2005 "Estimations of the probability of fire occurrence in buildings" *Fire Safety Journal* Volume 40, November 2005.
- [25] Michael Pecht, Diganta Das, Arun Ramakrishnan 2002 "The IEEE standards on reliability program