

A Survey of The Butterfly Diversity In Kannur District, Kerala

Nandana Pk A¹, Dr. J. Roopavathy²

^{1,2}dept Of Zoology

^{1,2}nirmala College For Women

Abstract- A butterfly survey is carried in Kannur district, Kerala to examine the diversity, habitat preference and evenness of butterflies across 3 transects namely, Garden area, Herbs and shrubs and Beach region. The survey was carried out from September 2018 to November 2018 to suitably access the butterfly diversity of the region. A total number of 39 species of butterflies from 6 different families were recorded. The November month holds more diversity of species than in September and October. The family Nymphalidae were dominant followed by Papilionidae, Pieridae, Lycaenidae and least in Hesperidae and Saturnidae. So, the present study was carried out the number of species observed in Garden habitat was consistently greater than Herbs and shrubs and Beach region.

Keywords- Butterflies, Kannur, Kerala

identified as ideal indicator taxa of habitat disturbance (Kocher and Williams, 2000; Bone brake et.al., 2010).

It is found that any minor changes in their natural habitat due to anthropogenic factors can lead to their migration or local population extinction (Blair, 1999). Insects are one of the good indicators of environmental quality of an ecosystem. They have specific habitat requirements depending upon their feeding and reproduction requirements. Thus, the conservation value of a habitat could be assessed by the presence of various species of butterflies in an area.

The present study was aimed to examine the diversity, habitat preference and evenness of butterflies across 3 different habitats, namely Garden area, Herbs and Shrubs and Beach region, located in Kannur district

I. INTRODUCTION

India comprises more than half of earth diversity of species (James et al., 2015). The butterfly fauna of the southern part of the Indian peninsula is very rich and diverse compared to other parts of the peninsula due to the availability of diverse habitat (Gaonkar.1996). India, with its diversified ecosystems ranging from the snow clad temperate forests in the Himalayas to the tropical wet evergreen forests of the Western Ghats, has a rich butterfly abundance. Many of the butterfly species are strictly seasonal and prefer only a particular set of habitats and they are good indicators in terms of anthropogenic disturbance and habitat quality (Kocher et al., 2000). The main causes for the decline of butterfly populations are deforestations, habitat destruction for urbanization, industrialization.

Butterflies are a useful bio-indicator because they can be readily surveyed, and -they react rapidly to environmental changes due to their short generation time, high mobility, and specific habitat preference (Clark et al., 2007). These are also well known both taxonomically and ecologically (Honda and Kato 2005; Kim et al., 2011).

Due to their high sensitivity to environmental changes, abundance and advanced taxonomy, butterflies are

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

STUDY AREA

Kannur is one of the districts along the west coast in the state of Kerala, India. It is bounded by Kasargod district to the north, Kozhikode district to the south and Wayanad district to the southeast. Three transects Home garden, Beach region and Herbs and shruba were taken for the study.

FIELD DATA COLLECTION

The survey was carried out from September 2018 to November 2018 to suitably access the butterfly diversity of the region. Most of the observations were recorded in the morning 8 am to 12 pm and 4.30 pm to 6 pm. Based on the frequency of the sighting, butterfly specimens were divided into two categories, they were (a) Common (b) Rare. Each transects were observed monthly twice in appropriate weather conditions and the species were identified. Observations were made by direct visual method and Cameras used were, Oppo 6.0 mega pixels. Flash was mostly kept off to capture natural colors. Butterflies were photographed from different angles as often as possible to obtain sufficient photographs to enable positive identification of species. The scientific name, common name, family, and relative abundance of the butterflies is

noted. Butterflies were identified with the help of a guide (Aiswarya et al., 2014).

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A total of 39 butterflies of 6 different families are observed. The family Nymphalidae has the highest number of species while Hesperidae and Saturniidae has the least number of species. A total of 24 species were observed in the garden transect, 9 species from Herbs and shrubs and 6 species from Beach region. The monthly variation in species diversity were recorded. The present study is the month of November with species followed by September with species and October with species.

The present study was aimed to examine the diversity, habitat preference and evenness of butterflies across 3 different habitats namely, Garden area, Herbs and Shrubs and Beach region located at Kannur District.

The butterfly count is decreased in urbanized area due to the loss of host plants, chemicals etc. The family Nymphalidae showed that maximum species richness. Maximum butterfly species observed in the month of November and least in February. The number of butterfly species observed in Garden habitat was constitutively greater than Agrifield, pond, grassland and trees and shrubs (Lekshmi Priya et al. 2017). We have got the similar result, Nymphalidae shows more number of butterflies. The November month holds more butterfly than September and October.

During the present study, the number of butterflies were peaked during November. The species abundance was less during October. Abundance of butterfly species is due to favourable tropical climatic conditions, availability of host plants, food and vegetation. The present study reveals that the study areas provide favourable ecological conditions and habitat for butterflies. It might be due to the presence of sufficient host plants and favourable climatic conditions for the development and growth of butterflies. The present study reveals that reducing the usage of pesticides and genetically modified plants can increase the butterfly count.

IV. CONCLUSION

From this survey, it showed that that the number of species observed in Garden habitat was consistently greater than Herbs and shrubs and Beach region. This is probably due to the destruction of host plants, use of chemical pesticides, and human disturbances.

The decrease in natural habitat associated with the increased urban area negatively influenced species composition and changed butterfly communities. With the pressing needs of the growing human population in India, Loss of prime habitat is the major threats from human recreational activities, trampling, run-off from roads, litter deposition and weeds are common factors which affect butterfly populations. Biodiversity in urban area is decreased due to the increased areas such as residential area, industrial area, and commercial area associated with the decrease of natural environment. If the landscaping and maintenance of Gardens are carefully planned, the diversity of butterflies may increase providing a rich ground for butterfly conservation. Therefore, improving biodiversity is important for comfortable human living in urban areas. From the above observation, I concluded that reducing the usage of pesticides and genetically modified plants can increase the butterfly natural greeneries are being clear-felled giving way to urbanization, pollution and overgrazing.

REFERENCE

- [1] James Kehinde Omifolaji, Georgina Samuel Mwansat. Butterfly species diversity and abundance in university of Ibadan botanical garden, Nigeria. *Open Journal of Ecology*. 2015. 5., 352-360.
- [2] Gaonkar H. Butterflies of Western Ghats, including Sri Lanka, A biodiversity assessment of a threatened mountain system, *Nature*. 1996. 32., 109-110.
- [3] Kocher SD, Williams EH. The diversity and abundance of North America butterflies vary with habitat disturbances and geography. *Journal of Biogeography*. 2000. 27., 785-794.
- [4] Clark PJ, Reed JM, Chew FS (2007) Effects of urbanization on butterfly species, guild structure and rarity. *Urban Ecosyst*. 10/321-125.
- [5] Honda K, Kato Y. (2005) .*Biology of Butterflies*, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo., p626pp.
- [6] Kim S-S, Seo YH, (2011) *Life histories of Korean butterflies*. Publishing Sagyejel, Paju, 538pp.
- [7] Kocher SD, Williams EH. The diversity and abundance of North America butterflies vary with habitat disturbances and geography. *Journal of Biogeography*. 2000. 27., 785-794.
- [8] Bonebrake, T.C., Ponisio, L.C., Boggs, C.L, Erlich, P.R . 2010. More than just indicators: a review of tropical butterfly ecology and conservation. *Biological Conservation Science* 143., 1831-1841.
- [9] Blair RB, Launer AE. (1997). Butterfly diversity and human land use; species assemblages along an urban gradient, *Biol Conserv*. 80., 113-125. Aiswarya V. Nair, Pradarsika Mitra, Soma Aditya. (2014). *Studies on the*

diversity and abundance of butterfly fauna in and around Sarojini Naidu college campus, Kolkata, West Bengal, India. Journal of Endomology and Zoology Studies.

butterfly diversity in Adichanallor Village, Kollam District, Kerala, Journal of Entomology Studies.,5(5),73-81.

[10] LekshmiPriya., Varun prasathKrishnaraj., Jayaranjini., SutharsanandLakeshmanaswamy.(2017). Studies on

Table 1. Family wise distribution of butterfly common name and relative abundance in Kannur district, Kerala.

| BUTTERFLIES IDENTIFIED FROM KANNUR DISTRICT | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| SERIAL NO | COMMON NAME | SCIENTIFIC NAME | FAMILY | RELATIVE ABUNDANCE |
| 1. | The common baron | <i>Euthaliaacantha</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 2. | Common rose | <i>Pachiloptaaristochia</i> | Papilionidae | Common |
| 3. | Common blue bottle | <i>Graphiumsarpedon</i> | Papilionidae | Rare |
| 4. | Common mime | <i>Papilioolytia</i> | Papilionidae | Common |
| 5. | Three spot green yellow | <i>Euremablanda</i> | Pieridae | Common |
| 6. | Malabar tree nymph | <i>Idea malabarica</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 7. | Common crow | <i>Euploea core</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 8. | Dark band bush brown | <i>Mycalesiamineus</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 9. | Rustic | <i>Cuphaerymanthis</i> | Nymphalidae | Rare |
| 10. | Chocolatepancy | <i>Junoniaiphita</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 11. | The Great egg fly | <i>Hypolimnasbolima</i> | Nymphalidae | Rare |
| 12. | Lemon pancy | <i>Junonialemonias</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 13. | Dark Branded crow | <i>Euploea Sylvester</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 14. | Common grass | <i>Euremahecabe</i> | Pieridae | Rare |
| 15. | Grey pansy | <i>Junoniaatlites</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 16. | Common Palm fly | <i>Elymniashypermenestra</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 17. | Striped tiger | <i>Danausgenutia</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 18. | Dark blue tiger | <i>Thirumalaseptentrionis</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 19. | The Indian cupid | <i>Evers Lacturnidae</i> | Lycanidae | Rare |
| 20. | Blue mormone | <i>Papiliopolymnester</i> | Papilionidae | Rare |
| 21. | Southern Bird Wing | <i>Troidesminos</i> | Papilionidae | Rare |
| 22. | Giant moth | <i>Atlas moth</i> | Satturnidae | Rare |
| 23. | The Tailed Jay | <i>Pachiloptahecter</i> | Papilionidae | Rare |
| 24. | The Crimpson Rose | <i>Heptis soma</i> | Papilionidae | Rare |
| 25. | Common leopard | <i>Phalanthaphalanta</i> | Nymphalidae | Rare |
| 26. | The brown pansy | <i>Junoniahedonia</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 27. | Common evening brown | <i>Melanitiseda</i> | Nymphalidae | Rare |

| | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|
| 28. | Tailed jay | <i>Graphium Agamemnon</i> | Pieridae | Common |
| 29. | Malabar raven | <i>Papiliodravidarum</i> | Papilionidae | Common |
| 30. | Psyche | <i>Leptosianina</i> | Pieridae | Rare |
| 31. | Common emigrant | <i>Catopsiliapomona</i> | Pieridae | Rare |
| 32. | Grey pancy | <i>Orsotriaenamedus</i> | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 33 | Dark branded swift | <i>Pelopidas agna</i> | Hesperiidae | Rare |
| 34. | Common grass yellow | Colotisetrida | Pieridae | Rare |
| 35. | Tawny coaster | Acrareaviolae | Nymphalidae | Rare |
| 36. | The white tipped line blue | Prosotasnoreia | Lycanidae | Common |
| 37. | Black swallow tail | Rolf nauussbaumer | Papilionidae | Common |
| 38. | Choclatepancy | Junoniaiphita | Nymphalidae | Common |
| 39. | Paris peacock | Papilioparis | Papilionidae | Rare |

Fig : 1 Family wise distribution of abundance of butterflies in Kannur district, Kerala.

