Fluorine Chemistry At Extreme Conditions: Possible Synthesis of Hgf⁴

Mrs. R.Rajalakshmi

Dept of Science & Humanities C.K. College of Engineering & Technology, Cuddalore

Abstract- By irradiating a pressurized mixture of a fluorinebearing compound (XeF2) and HgF2 with synchrotron hard xrays (>7 keV) inside a diamond anvil cell, we have observed spectacular changes in the far-infrared spectrum within the 30–35 GPa pressure range which suggest hv that we may have formed HgF4 in the following way: XeF2 $-\rightarrow$ *Xe* + *F2 (photochemically) and HgF2 + F2* \rightarrow *HgF4 (30 GPa <P<35*) *GPa). This lends influence to modern theoretical calculations by Botana et al. that recommend that Hg may perform as a changeover metal at high pressure in an environment with an excess of molecular fluorine. The spectral changes were observed to be reversible during anxiety cycling above and below the above mentioned pressure range until a certain point when we suspect that molecular fluorine mellow out of the sample at lower pressure. Upon pressure release, HgF2 and trace XeF2 were observed to be enduring in the sample chamber suggesting that much of the Xe and F2 moderate and leaked out from the sample chamber.*

Keywords- Interferograms- solidification – irradiationpressurization

I. INTRODUCTION

Mercury and cesium have been predicted to behave as a transition metal [1,2] and p-block element respectively [2,3] at high pressure (within the 1 Mbar range) in the presence of fluorine and thus have higher corrosion states enabling distribution/transfer of electrons from Core shells (i.e. below the valence levels) of the elements as fluorine atoms are brought earlier to the metals via high pressure. As the most electronegative element, there are a number of challenges associated with loading highly reactive and poisonous molecular fluorine into a diamond anvil cell which is likely the primary reason why there was only one published study of the substance at high pressure (*>*1 GPa) to the best of our knowledge [4]. In an effort to enlarge fluorine chemistry at extreme conditions, we have utilized hard x-ray induced photochemistry [5] to release molecular fluorine *in situ* inside a sealed and rushed diamond anvil cell by irradiating a moderately inert and easy-to-handle, pulverized or liquid (and thus easy to load) fluorine-bearing compound such as perfluorohexane (C_6F_{14}) [6], potassium tetrafluoroborate

 $(KBF₄)$ [7] or $XeF₂$. The fluorine-bearing multifaceted is then irradiated with x-rays that are of sufficient energy to penetrate the confining diamonds (or surrounding gasket) [7] which are typically in the hard x-ray range (*>*7 keV). As long as we are at a pressure above the solidification pressure of fluorine (2 GPa), the released atomic or molecular fluorine from irradiation is now restricted in the sample hole and thus available for chemical retort.

In the current study, we required to verify the forecast of transition metal performance of Hg by mixing a fluorine-bearing compound (XeF_2) with HgF_2 . Fluorine would be fashioned via x-ray irradiation of XeF_2 via the following photochemical reaction:

$$
XeF_2^{-hv} \to Xe + F_2. \tag{1}
$$

The molecular fluorine would then be available to react with HgF_2 in the following way:

$$
HgF_2 + F_2 \to HgF_4, \tag{2}
$$

under high pressure. The goal of this effort, then, was to determine if any molecular changes occurred after irradiation and then after additional pressurization. As our samples are naturally very glowing after irradiation, we chose infrared spectroscopy as the means to cross-examine bonding changes within our sample. As the limited sample was \sim 3 nano liters, we used a bright synchrotron hard x-ray source and synchrotron infrared source to produce fluorine *in situ* and to spectroscopically investigate our post-irradiated sample correspondingly.

II. EXPERIMENT

Due to the high reactivity of both HgF_2 and XeF_2 with air and water, loading of the reproduction was performed inside a Ar-backfilled glovebox situated at the High Pressure shared admittance Team's sample preparation facility at the Advanced Photon Source of Argonne National Laboratory. A rhenium gasket was reinvented to 20 *µ*m thickness (from 250 *µ*m first thickness) using a symmetrically Diamond Anvil Cell (DAC) with diamonds that each had a culet diameter of ∼ 300

IJSART - *Volume 5 Issue 3 –MARCH 2019 ISSN* **[ONLINE]: 2395-1052**

*µ*m and were IR-transmitting type I quality. A sample hole of diameter ∼ 80 *µ*m was laser drilled in the gasket [8]. Powdered xenon difluoride (Sigma Aldrich *>*99%) was minced with HgF₂ (Sigma Aldrich >99%) in a 50/50 mixture by volume and was loaded via spatula into the gasket hole. One thermally-relieved ruby (for pressure measurement) was introduced into the sample which was pressurized to 10 GPa. No pressure-transmitting medium was used in our experiments and all were performed at room temperature. Raman spectroscopy was performed on the sample to verify that XeF_2 was current in the loaded and pressurized sample.

The loaded sample was then irradiated with "white" x-rays produced at the 16 BM-B beamline at the superior Photon Source (APS). The beam was ∼ 30 microns in diameter. The HgF_2 and XeF_2 mixture was irradiated for more than five hours at pressures above 10 GPa to avoid any material losses triggered by the X-ray induced putrefaction of XeF2. XRD patterns of the sample were taken at the 16 ID-B using monochromatic x-rays that were unruffled by a MAR345r image plate detector. We also note that no irradiation-induced changes in pure HgF_2 were observed at any pressure in split experiments. Thus, only XeF_2 is photochemically-affected by x-rays.

The irradiated sample was then thrilled to the 02B1-1 far-infrared (far-IR) beamline of the Canadian Light Source (CLS) where IR Spectroscopy dimensions at various pressures were accepted out *in situ* inside the DAC. Pressure was precise using a homemade ruby-fluorimeter constructed by our group situated on site at the CLS. The IR collection system consisted of a plexiglass enclosure housing the DAC and collection optics which was in front of the Fourier Transform-IR system and was continually purged from water vapor (exact by a moisture sensor) using positive pressure nitrogen gas blowoff from a liquid nitrogen dewar. A horizontal microscope system together far-IR spectra. The IR beam was redirected from the sample cubicle of a Bruker IFS 125 HRr spectrometer to within the functioning distance of a Schwarzchild objective which focused IR light onto the sample. A similar light focusing objective placed behind the sample was used to amass the transmitted light, directing it onto an offaxis parabolic mirror which refocused the IR light into an Infrared Laboratoriesr Si bolometer. The spectrometer was prepared with a 6-micron mylar beamsplitter. The data was collected using a scanner velocity of 40 kHz, 12.5-mm entrance aperture, with a 1 cm−1 declaration. The Si bolometer was set for a gain of 16×. Interferograms were transformed using a zero filling factor of 8 and a 3-term Blackman Harris atomization function.

FT-IR spectral scans classically required 15 minutes to get and all measurements were performed at room temperature.

Figure 1: Transmission far-IR spectra of HgF_2 and XeF_2 mixture pressurized up to 30 GPa and held at 30 GPa for 6 hours then pressurized to 40 GPa. As the pressure is increased beyond 19.5 GPa, a broad multiplet of spectral lines appear near 474 cm⁻¹ and one smaller mode appears near 234 cm⁻¹. The patterns disappear in the 40 GPa spectra.

III. RESULTS

After initial loading at the APS, the sample possessed a greenish yellow tint demonstrating the presence of HgF_2 . After further pressurization at the CLS, the sample significantly darkened. We present our IR spectral data in the 35–650 cm^{-1} range in Fig. 1. We first compressed the sample from 10 GPa up to 40 GPa recording spectral patterns along the way. As is evident from the figure, a peak near 235 cm^{-1} and a multiplet of peaks centered near 474 cm⁻¹ appear around 30 GPa. We allowed the sample to remain at 30 GPa for 6 hours and then took another IR spectrum to examine stability of the new peaks with time. The 235 cm−1 peak vanished or was severely diminished within the signal to noise of our system and the multiplet centered around 474 cm^{-1} largely disappeared or severely diminished with the exception of the peak itself. Upon further pressurization to 40 GPa, the highest pressure we subjected the sample to, the patterns completely disappear.

The sample pressure was then reduced to 35 GPa (Fig. 2, curve a) to ascertain if the observed peaks returned which they did as evidenced in the 32 GPa pattern in Fig. 2, curve b. Pressure was again increased to 35 GPa and the pattern again disap-

Figure 2: Transmission far IR spectra of the irradiated XeF_2 and HgF_2 mixture as the sample was decompressed from 35 GPa (trace a) to 32 GPa (trace b) and then recompressed to 35 GPa (trace c) demonstrating reversibility of the peak structure at 32 GPa. This pressure-cycled sequence occurred after the

first viewing of the feature around 30 GPa present in Fig. 1.

peared (Fig. 2, curve c). Pressure was decreased to just above ambient (~ 1 GPa) and the sample returned to its original white/yellow appearance before irradiation (white). Figure 3 displays photos of the sample at various stages. Raman spectroscopy was performed upon returning the sample to the Pravica Raman facility at UNLV indicating that only HgF₂ and a residual amount of XeF_2 remained in the sample chamber. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns taken of the sample before irradiation and after irradiation, compression and decompression to ambient conditions (see Fig. 4) further verifies the claim that the Xe and $F₂$ (produced via irradiation of XeF_2) leaked out from the gasket once the pressure was reduced to near ambient conditions. There is no indication that the rhenium gasket suffered any significant chemical reaction from the $F₂$ (see Fig. 4). We have observed this behavior of little or no diffusion of F_2 in our samples in prior experiments that produced F_2 from KBF_4 leading to little or no gasket damage [10] and no discernible reaction with the diamonds [4].

Figure 3: Progression sequence of the sample. The first photo on the left represents the mixed $XeF_2 + HgF_2$ sample near 10 GPa after sample loading. A yellowish hue is evident due to the presence of HgF_2 . The second (middle) photo illustrates darkening of the sample after irradiation and pressurization to 25 GPa and persisted in this visual state until 40 GPa, the highest pressure in this study. The final photo on the right demonstrates that the sample has returned to its original appearance after reducing pressure to ambient conditions.

Figure 4: XRD patterns of the HgF_2XeF_2 mixture (a) before xray irradiation and (b) after irradiation, pressurization, and decompression indicating that the XeF_2 leaked out from the gasket in the form of Xe and $F₂$ (produced from the initial xray irradiation) leaving only HgF_2 in the sample chamber in the Fm-3m crystalline structure. The vertical olive green bars in (a) represent the tetragonal crystal structure of $XeF₂$ with

the I4/mmm space group [11].

IV. DISCUSSION

 HgF_4 in the gaseous state has a predicted IR mode (A_{2u}) near 233 cm⁻¹ [9] which agrees well with the mode we observed near 235 cm⁻¹ though we recognize that our mode was observed in the solid state (not the gaseous state) and is at very high pressure. We suspect that the feature near 474 cm^{-1} is an overtone of the mode near 235 cm−1. Botana *et al.* have calculated stability of HgF_4 in the 38–73 GPa pressure range; that HgF₃ and HgF₄ are both stable from $73-200$ GPa; and that from 200–500 GPa, only HgF_3 is the stable compound with Hg in the $+3$ oxidation state [1]. Seeking to confirm this prediction, we pressurized the DAC into the 30 GPa and higher pressure range. As is apparent from our data, a new compound with mercury appears to form near 30 GPa and then disappears around 35 GPa. The compound forms reversibly with pressure cycling. Upon further reduction of pressure to ambient conditions, the sample turned white (as it was originally before being irradiated). Raman spectroscopy confirmed only the presence of $HgF₂$ indicating that the Xe and F_2 leaked out from the gasket. The process (irradiation, pressurization and return to ambient) is visually described in Fig. 3.

We note in passing that we performed a purely high pressure mid-IR study of just the $XeF₂ + HgF₂$ mixture (see Fig. 5) and found no evidence of any significant spectral changes (with the exception of a phase transition near 5 GPa from HgF_2) demonstrating that x-ray irradiation in combination with high pressure is necessary to produce the interesting features observed in Fig. 2.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a synchrotron far-IR experiment on an irradiated mixture of XeF_2 and HeF_2 pressurized in a DAC. The irradiation was performed to release molecular fluorine inside the sample chamber at high pressure *in situ* thereby obviating the need to load toxic and reactive molecular fluorine inside the diamond cell. Upon further pressurization just above 30 GPa, we observed the dramatic appearance of a peak or peaks centered near 234 cm⁻¹ and likely an overtone near 474 cm⁻¹ in a narrow pressure range somewhere between 30–35 GPa which appears to be reversible and which appears to correlate with the calculated A_{2u} mode of HgF₄. Our observation differs somewhat from the predictions of Botana *et al.* of a 38–73 GPa pressure range of stability [1] but given the challenges associated with connecting theory and experiment at high pressure and given the complex chemistry occurring during and after hard x-ray irradiation and at high pressures, our results are nevertheless encouraging.

Figure 5: Transmission mid-IR spectra of HgF_2 and XeF_2 nonirradiated mixture pressurized up to 36 GPa.

Upon release of pressure to ambient, the fluorine and Xe produced by the irradiation of XeF_2 likely leaked out and HgF_2 remained inside along with residual XeF_2 . Though far-IR experiments do not by themselves prove the formation of HgF4, we are nevertheless encouraged by our results. Further experiments are planned to confirm and further verify our results with near IR We anticipate that this seminal experiment will further encourage development of fluorine chemistry at extreme conditions.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Tim May and Zhenxian Liu for help in the far-IR and midIR measurements, respectively. We gratefully acknowledge support from the Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration (DOENNSA) under Award Number DE-NA0002912. We also acknowledge support from the DOE Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC08-01NV14049 with the University of Nevada, Las Vegas. Portions of this work were performed at HPCAT (Sector 16), Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National

Laboratory. HPCAT operations are supported by DOE-NNSA under Award No. DE-NA0001974 and DOE-BES under Award No. DE-FG02-

99ER45775, with partial instrumentation funding by NSF. APS is supported by DOE-BES, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. A portion of the research described in this paper was performed at the far-IR beamline of the Canadian Light Source, which is supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the National Research Council Canada, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Province of Saskatchewan, Western Economic Diversification Canada, and the University of Saskatchewan.

REFERENCES

- [1] J Botana, X Wang, C Hou, D Yan, H Lin, Y Ma, MS Miao, *Mercury under pressure acts as a transition metal: Calculated from first principles*, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **54**, 9280 (2015).
- [2] MS Miao, J Botana, M Pravica, D Sneed, C Park, *Innershell chemistry under high pressure*, Jap. J. Appl. Phys. **56**, 05FA10 (2017).
- [3] MS Miao, *Caesium in high oxidation states and as a pblock element*, Nature Chem. **5**, 846 (2013).
- [4] D Schiferl, S Kinkead, R Hanson, D Pinnick, *Raman spectra and phase diagram of fluorine at pressures up to 6 GPa and temperatures between 10 and 320 K*, J. Chem. Phys. **87**, 3016 (1987).
- [5] M Pravica, L Bai, C Park, Y Liu, M Galley, J Robinson, N Bhattacharya, *Note: A novel method for in situ loading of gases via x-ray induced chemistry*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **82**, 106102 (2011).
- [6] M Pravica, D Sneed, M White, Y Wang, *Note: Loading method of molecular fluorine using xray induced chemistry*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85**, 086110 (2014). M Pravica, M White, Y Wang, Y Xiao, P Chow, *Hard x-ray induced synthesis of OF*2, Chim. Oggi **36**, 50 (2018).
- [7] R Hrubiak, S Sinogeikin, E Rod, G Shen, *The laser micro-machining system for diamond anvil cell experiments and general precision machining applications at the High Pressure Collaborative Access Team*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **86**, 072202 (2015).
- [8] M Kaupp, HG von Schnering, *Gaseous Mercury (IV) Fluoride, HgF*4*: An ab initio study*, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **32**, 861 (1993).

IJSART - *Volume 5 Issue 3 –MARCH 2019 ISSN* **[ONLINE]: 2395-1052**

- [9] M Pravica, M White, Y Wang, *A novel method*
- [10]G Wu, X Huang, Y Huang, L Pan, F Li, X *for generating molecular mixtures at extreme* Li, M Liu, B Liu, T Cui, *Confirmation of conditions: The case of Fluorine and Oxygen, the structural phase transitions in XeF₂ <i>under* AIP Conf. Proc. **1793**, 060030 (2017). *high pressure*, J. Phys. Chem. C. **121**, 6264 (2017).