

Separation Axioms on Neutrosophic Generalized Regular Topological Spaces

Blessie Rebecca.S¹, A.Francina Shalini²

¹Dept of Mathematics

²Assistant Professor, Dept of Mathematics

^{1,2}Nirmala College for women,Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India

Abstract- In this paper, we introduce the concept of separation axiom on Neutrosophic generalized regular topological spaces. Some characterizations of separation axioms T_1 and T_2 in NGR topological spaces will be introduced

Keywords- Neutrosophic generalized regular T_1 space and Neutrosophic generalized regular T_2 space

I. INTRODUCTION

C.L.Chang[6] introduced and developed fuzzy topological space by using L.A. Zadeh[16] fuzzy sets. Coker[7] introduced the notion of Intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces by using Atanassov[2] intuitionistic fuzzy set. Neutrality the degree of indeterminacy, as an independent concept, was introduced by Smarandache[9] He also defined the Neutrosophic set on three component Neutrosophic topological spaces $(t,f,i)=(\text{Truth, Falsehood, Indeterminacy})$. The Neutrosophic crisp set concept was converted to Neutrosophic topological spaces by A.A.Salama[14]. R.Dhavaseelan[8] introduced Neutrosophic generalized closed sets. S.Bayhan[5] developed the concepts On fuzzy separation axioms in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces .

II. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 [3]

Let X be a non-empty fixed set. A Neutrosophic set A has the form

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$$

where $\mu_A(x)$, $\sigma_A(x)$, $\gamma_A(x)$ are topological spaces and $\mu_A(x)$ is the degree of membership function, $\sigma_A(x)$ is the degree of indeterminacy and $\gamma_A(x)$ is the degree of non-membership function respectively of each $x \in X$ to the set A .

Remark 2.2 [3]

A Neutrosophic set $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ can be identified to an ordered triple $(\mu_A, \sigma_A, \gamma_A)$ in $]0^-, 1^+[$ on X .

Example 2.3 [3]

Since our main purpose is to construct the tools for developing Neutrosophic set and Neutrosophic topology, we must introduce the Neutrosophic set

We must introduce the Neutrosophic set

0_N and 1_N in X as follows:

$$0_N = \{(x, 0, 0, 1) : x \in X\}$$

$$1_N = \{(x, 1, 0, 0) : x \in X\}$$

Definition 2.4[3]

Let X be a non-empty set and A and B are Neutrosophic sets of the form

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\} \text{ and}$$

$$B = \{(x, \mu_B(x), \sigma_B(x), \gamma_B(x)) : x \in X\}$$

then we consider the definition of subset ($A \subseteq B$) is defined as $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \leq \sigma_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_B(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.5[3]

For any Neutrosophic set A the following condition holds

$$(i) 0_N \subseteq A, 0_N \subseteq 0_N,$$

$$(ii) A \subseteq 1_N, 1_N \subseteq 1_N.$$

Definition 2.6[3,4]

Let X be a non-empty set and

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) \text{ and}$$

$B = \{(x, \mu_B(x), \sigma_B(x), \gamma_B(x))$ are Neutrosophic sets then $A \cap B$ is defined as

$A \cap B = \{(x, \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \wedge \sigma_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_B(x))\}$ then $A \cup B$ is defined as

$$A \cup B = \{x, \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x), \sigma_A(x) \vee \sigma_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \wedge \gamma_B(x)\}.$$

Definition 2.7[1,3]

A Neutrosophic topology is a non-empty set X is an family τ_N of Neutrosophic subsets in X satisfying the axioms :

- (i) $0_N, 1_N \in \tau_N$
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau_N$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau_N$
- (iii) $\cup G_i \in \tau_N$ for every $\{G_j; j \in J\} \subseteq \tau_N$

the pair (X, τ_N) is called Neutrosophic topological space.

The element in Neutrosophic topological space (X, τ_N) are called Neutrosophic open sets.

A Neutrosophic set F is closed if and only if $(F)^c$ is Neutrosophic open.

Definition 2.8[3]

Let (X, τ_N) Neutrosophic topological spaces and

$$A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \sigma_A(x), \gamma_A(x) : x \in X\}$$
 be Neutrosophic set in X .

Then the

Neutrosophic closure and *Neutrosophic interior* are defined as $Ncl(A) = \cap \{K: K \text{ is Neutrosophic closed set in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}$, $Nint(A) = \cup \{G: G \text{ is Neutrosophic open set in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$.

Definition 2.9[3]

A is Neutrosophic open set if and only if $A = Nint(A)$,
 A is Neutrosophic closed set if and only if $A = Ncl(A)$.

Definition 2.10[1,3]

A subset A of Neutrosophic space (X, τ_N) is called Neutrosophic regular open (in short *NR open*) if $A = Nint(Ncl(A))$. The Complement of *NR open* set is called *NR closed*.

Definition 2.11[3]

A subset A of Neutrosophic space (X, τ_N) is called Neutrosophic generalized closed (in short *NG closed*) if $Ncl(A) \subseteq U$, whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is Neutrosophic open. The Complement of a *NG closed* set is called *NG open* set.

Definition 2.12[3]

Let A be a subset of Neutrosophic space (X, τ_N) is called Neutrosophic generalized regular closed (*NGR closed*) if $Neutrosophic\ Regular\ cl(A) \subseteq U$ (in short $NRcl(A) \subseteq U$), whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is Neutrosophic open.

The Complement of a *NGR closed* set is called *NGR open* set.

Definition 2.13[4]

Let (X, T) and (Y, S) be any two Neutrosophic topological space.

(i) A map $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (Y, S)$ is said to be *Neutrosophic generalized regular continuous* (in short *NGR continuous*) if the inverse image of every *Neutrosophic closed* set in (Y, S) is *NGR closed* set in (X, T) .

Definition 2.14[1]

Let X be a non-empty set and $x \in X$ be a fixed element in X .

If r, t, s are fixed real numbers of $]0^-, 1^+[$, such that $r+t+s \leq 3$.

Then the (x, r, t, s) is called Neutrosophic points in X where,

x_r denote the degree of membership of $x_{r,t,s}$

x_t denote the degree of in determinancy of $x_{r,t,s}$ and

x_s denote the degree of non-membership of $x_{r,t,s}$ and $x \in X$ the support of $x_{r,t,s}$.

The point $x_{r,t,s}$ is said to be contained in Neutrosophic set A if and only if $r < \mu_A(x)$, $t < \sigma_A(x)$ and $s > \gamma_A(x)$.

III. SEPARATION AXIOMS ON NEUTROSOPHIC GENERALIZED REGULAR TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition 3.1

Let (X, τ) be a Neutrosophic topological space .

1. (X, τ) is called an $NGRT_1(i)$ space if and only if for each pair of distinct

Neutrosophic points $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ in X , there exist *NGR open* sets U, V in τ

Such that $x_{r,t,s} \in U, y_{a,c,b} \notin U$ and $y_{a,c,b} \in V, x_{r,t,s} \notin V$.

2. (X, τ) is called an $NGRT_1(ii)$ space if and only if for all $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, there exist *NGR open* sets U, V in τ such that $U(x) = 1_N, U(y) = 0_N$ and $V(y) = 1_N, V(x) = 0_N$.

3. (X, τ) is called an *NGR* $T_1(iii)$ space if and only if for each pair of distinct Neutrosophic points $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ in X , there exist *NGRopen* sets U, V in τ such that $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U \subseteq \overline{y_{a,c,b}}$ and $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{x_{r,t,s}}$.

4. (X, τ) is called an *NGR* $T_1(iv)$ space if and only if for each pair of distinct Neutrosophic points $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ in X , there exist *NGRopen* sets U, V in τ such that $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U, U \cap y_{a,c,b} = 0_N$. (i.e., $U(y) = 0_N$) and $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V, V \cap x_{r,t,s} = 0_N$. (i.e., $V(x) = 0_N$).

Theorem3.2

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two Neutrosophic topological space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an *NGR continuous* mapping. Then (X, τ) is an *NGR* $T_1(i)$ space if (Y, σ) is an Neutrosophic T_1 space.

Proof :

Let $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ be any two distinct Neutrosophic points in (Y, σ)

Then there exist *Neutrosophic open* sets U, V in σ

such that $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U, y_{a,c,b} \not\subseteq U$ and $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V, x_{r,t,s} \not\subseteq V$.

Since f is *NGR continuous* mapping ,

By definition 2.13(i) $f^{-1}(U)$ and $f^{-1}(V)$ are *NGR open* sets in X .

Such that $x_{r,t,s} \in f^{-1}(U), y_{a,c,b} \notin f^{-1}(U)$ and

$y_{a,c,b} \in f^{-1}(V), x_{r,t,s} \notin f^{-1}(V)$

Hence by definition 3.1(1) , (X, τ) is an *NGR* $T_1(i)$ space.

Theorem3.3

Let (X, τ) be an Neutrosophic topological space and if X is an *NGR* $T_1(ii)$ space then it is an *NGR* $T_1(i)$ space.

Proof :

Let $x \neq y$ and Let $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ be any two distinct Neutrosophic points in X .

Then by definition 3.1(2) , there exist *NGR open* sets U, V in τ such that $U(x) = 1_N, U(y) = 0_N$ and $V(y) = 1_N, V(x) = 0_N$.

By definition 2.14 , We have

$\langle r, t, s \rangle \leq 3 = U(x)$ implies $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U$ and

$\langle a, c, b \rangle \leq 3 = V(y)$ implies $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V$

$U(y) = 0_N \Rightarrow \mu_U(y) = 0_N, \sigma_U(y) = 0_N, \gamma_U(y) = 1_N \Rightarrow y_{a,c,b} \not\subseteq U$ and

$V(x) = 0_N \Rightarrow \mu_V(x) = 0_N, \sigma_V(x) = 0_N, \gamma_V(x) = 1_N \Rightarrow x_{r,t,s} \not\subseteq V$

Therefore $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U, y_{a,c,b} \not\subseteq U$ and $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V, x_{r,t,s} \not\subseteq V$. Therefore By definition 3.1(1), (X, τ) is an *NGR* $T_1(i)$ space.

Theorem3.4

Let (X, τ) be an Neutrosophic topological space and Then X is an *NGR* $T_1(iv)$ space if and only if it is an *NGR* $T_1(ii)$ space.

Proof:

Let x and y be any two points in X with $x \neq y$ and $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ be any two distinct Neutrosophic points in X ,

Then by definition 3.1(4) , there exist *NGR open* sets U, V in τ such that $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U, U \cap y_{a,c,b} = 0_N$. (i.e., $U(y) = 0_N$) and $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V, V \cap x_{r,t,s} = 0_N$. (i.e., $V(x) = 0_N$) .

Since $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U$ and $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V$

We have $U(x) = 1_N$ and $V(y) = 1_N$

On the other hand $U \cap y_{a,c,b} = 0_N, V \cap x_{r,t,s} = 0_N \Rightarrow U(y) = 0_N, V(x) = 0_N$

Therefore By definition 3.1(2), X is an *NGR* $T_1(ii)$ space.

Conversely , Let $x \neq y$ and $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ be any two distinct Neutrosophic points in X .

By definition 3.1(2), there exist *NGR open* sets U, V in τ such that

$U(x) = 1_N, U(y) = 0_N$ and $V(y) = 1_N, V(x) = 0_N$.

Then by definition 2.14 , we have

$\langle r, t, s \rangle \leq 3 = U(x)$ implies $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U$ and

$\langle a, c, b \rangle \leq 3 = V(y)$ implies $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V$

Now $U \cap y_{a,c,b} = 0_N$ (Since $U(y) = 0_N$) and Also $V \cap x_{r,t,s} = 0_N$ (Since $V(x) = 0_N$).

By definition 3.1(4) , X is an *NGR* $T_1(iv)$ space .

Definiton 3.5

Let (X, τ) be a Neutrosophic topological space .

(i) (X, τ) is called an $NGRT_2(i)$ space if and only if for all $x, y \in X$,

$x \neq y$, there exist NGR open sets U, V in τ such that $U(x) = 1_N$ and $V(y) = 1_N$ and $U \cap V = 0_N$.

(ii) (X, τ) is called an $NGRT_2(ii)$ space if and only if for each pair of distinct Neutrosophic

points $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ in X , there exist NGR open sets U, V in τ such that $x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U$,

$y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = 0_N$.

(iii) (X, τ) is called an $NGRT_2(iii)$ space if and only if for each pair of distinct Neutrosophic

points $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ in X , there exist NGR open sets U, V in τ such that

$x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U \subseteq \overline{y_{a,c,b}}$ and $y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V \subseteq \overline{x_{r,t,s}}$ and $U \subseteq \overline{V}$.

(iv) (X, τ) is called an $NGR T_2(iv)$ space if and only if for all

$x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there

exist NGR open sets U, V in τ such that $U(x) = 1_N = V(y)$ and $U(y) = 0_N = V(x)$ and

$U \subseteq \overline{V}$.

Theorem3.6

Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two Neutrosophic topological space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an NGR continuous mapping. Then (X, τ) is an $NGR T_2(i)$ space if (Y, σ) is an Neutrosophic T_2 space.

Proof :

Let x, y

$\in Y$, $x \neq y$, Then there exist Neutrosophic open sets U, V in σ such that $U(x) = 1_N$, $V(y) = 1_N$ and $U \cap V = 0_N$.

Since f is NGR continuous mapping.

By definition 2.13(i), $f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y) \in X$, Such that $f^{-1}(x) \neq f^{-1}(y)$.

Then there exist NGR open sets $f^{-1}(U), f^{-1}(V) \in \tau$ in X ,

Such that $f^{-1}(U(x)) = f^{-1}(1_N) = 1_N$ and $f^{-1}(V(y)) = f^{-1}(1_N) = 1_N$

Therefore $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = 0_N$

Hence By definition 3.5(i), (X, τ) is called an $NGR T_2(i)$ space .

Theorem3.7

Let (X, τ) be a Neutrosophic topological space and Then X is an $NGR T_2(i)$ space if and only if it is an $NGR T_2(ii)$ space.

Proof :

Let $x_{r,t,s}$ and $y_{a,c,b}$ be any two distinct Neutrosophic points in X and $x \neq y$,

By definition 3.5(i), there exist NGR open sets U, V in τ $\exists U(x) = 1_N, V(y) = 1_N$ and

$U \cap V = 0_N$

Then We have, $\langle r, t, s \rangle \leq 3 = U(x) \Rightarrow x_{r,t,s} \subseteq U$

$\langle a, c, b \rangle \leq 3 = V(y) \Rightarrow y_{a,c,b} \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = 0_N$.

Hence by definition 3.5(ii), X is an $NGR T_2(ii)$ space.

Theorem3.8

Let (X, τ) be Neutrosophic topological space . Then every $NGR T_2(i)$ is an $NGR T_2(iv)$ space.

Proof:

Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$,

By definition 3.5(i) there exist NGR open sets U, V in τ such that $U(x) = 1_N = V(y)$. Similarly $U(y) = 0_N = V(x)$

Therefore, $U \subseteq \overline{V}$.

Hence By definition 3.5(iv), Every $NGR T_2(i)$ is an $NGR T_2(iv)$ space.

REFERENCE

[1] I. Arokiarani, R. Dhavaseelan, S. Jafari, M. Parimala , "On Some New Notions and Functions in Neutrosophic Topological Spaces", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 16,(2017).
 [2] K. Atanassov "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 87-94, 20(1986).
 [3] Blessie Rebecca.S, A.Francina Shalini, "NEUTROSOPHIC GENERALIZED REGULAR SETS IN NEUTROSOPHIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACES", IJRAR February 2019, Volume 6, Issue 1, 2019.
 [4] Blessie Rebecca.S, A.Francina Shalini, "NEUTROSOPHIC GENERALIZED REGULAR CONTINUOUS FUNCTION IN NEUTROSOPHIC TOPOLOGICAL SPACES", IJRAR February 2019, Volume 6, Issue 1, 2019
 [5] S. Bayhan and D. Coker, "On fuzzy separation axioms in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces", BUSEFAL, 77-87,67(1996).

- [6] C.L. Chang, "Fuzzy Topological Spaces", J.Math. Anal Appl , 182-190,24(1968).
- [7] Coker, D., "An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces", Fuzzy sets and systems, 81–89,88(1997).
- [8] R.Dhavaseelan and S.Jafari, "Generalized Neutrosophic closed sets", New trends in neutrosophic theory and applications VolumeII, 261-273,(2018).
- [9] Florentin Smarandache , "Neutrosophic and Neutrosophic Logic" ,First International Conference on Neutrosophic , Neutrosophic Logic, Set, Probability,andStatisticsUniversityofNewMexico,Gallup, NM87301, USA(2002).
- [10] Florentin Smarandache, "Neutrosophic Set – A Generalization of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set", University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM 87301, USA.
- [11]C. S. Gowri ,D. Kalamani and R. Dhavaseelan , "Separation Axioms via Generalized Alpha Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces" ,The Journal ofFuzzyMathematicsVol.23,No.3,LosAngeles(2015).
- [12]R. Narmada Devi, R. Dhavaseelan, S. Jafari , "On Separation Axioms in an Ordered Neutrosophic Bitopological Space" , Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,Vol.18 ,(2017).
- [13]A.A. Salama and S.A. Alblowi, "Generalized Neutrosophic Set and Generalized Neutrosophic Topological Spaces", Journal computer Sci. Engineering, Vol.(2)No.(7)(2012).
- [14]A.A.Salama and S.A.Alblowi, "Neutrosophic set and Neutrosophic topological space",SOR. mathematics,Vol.(3),Issue(4),pp-31-35,(2012).
- [15]A. A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache and Valeri Kromov, "Neutrosophic Closed Set and Neutrosophic Continuous Functions",Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.4,(2014).
- [16]L.A.Zadeh, "FuzzySets",InformandControl8,338-353,(1965).