Non Linear Analysis of PSC Girder Bridge Subjected to Specified Ground Motion

Ishani Doke¹, Mr.S.K.Patil²

¹Dept of Civil Engineering ²Professor, Dept of Civil Engineering ^{1, 2} KJ College of Engineering Management& Research, Pune.

Abstract- Now days the dynamic performance of structure is very much essential while designing any structure. Analyzing the PSC Box girder bridge, statically and dynamically is the basic aim of this dissertation. Here with and without application of dynamic loads, the performance of bridge is studied. The study of bridge with bearing between girder and top of pier are included. By applying moving load, vehicle (or) truck load, pre-stress and axial forces, the effects of bridge model is carefully studied. Determining the actual seismic demand of bridge depends on the behavior of these model and also the importance of bearing between girder and top of pier is taken into consideration. Box girder bridges can have a considerable effect on the behavior of the bridge especially in the short to medium range of span such as 30m, 40m and 50m. In our project we study the behavior of box girder bridges with respect to support reaction shear force, bending moment, torsion and axial force under standard IRC Class AA loading and the box girder bridges models analyzed by finite element method.

Keywords- PSC Girder Bridge, time history analysis, ANSYS

Index Terms - Progressive collapse, Special moment resistant frame, DCR, LSA

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction:-

The use of continuous concrete box girder bridges has increased recently. In construction of this type bridges having constant or variable section height, the cantilever method can be applied. Box girder section forms consist of single or more box girder based on bridge wide. The cantilever method is considered as the natural and logical solution in construction of box girder bridges. There are two basic alternatives in the cantilever method: one is single cantilever method and the other is the double cantilever method. In the former, the side span girders of the bridge are constructed on interim piers and afterwards the stiffening girder in main span is constructed by one-sided free cantilevering until the span centre or the anchor pier on the far end is reached. In the latter, the bridge girder is constructed from both side of the tower towards the anchor piers and the main span centre by doublesided free cantilevering. The double cantilever method is also called as the balanced cantilever method. The method is especially recommended where scaffolding is difficult or impossible to construct over deep valleys, wide rivers or in case of expensive foundation conditions for scaffolds. In this method, bridges are built from one or more piers by means of formwork carriers. Normally the structure advances from a short stub on top of a pier symmetrically in segments of about 3-6 m length to the mid span or to an abutment, respectively. Each cantilevered part of the superstructure is tied to a previous one by concreting a key segment and post-tensioning tendons. The pre stressing tendons are arranged based on the moment diagram of a cantilever. In recent years, many interesting research topics have arisen such as to be taken in to account segmentally construction stages in the analysis. Normally, structures are analysed by assuming that they are instantly built in a time. However, this type of analyses may be give unreliable results which compared with those obtaining from that construction stage is considered. In the construction stage analysis, time dependent material properties should be taken into account. Several studies have dealt with the analysis of segmentally constructed bridges, as long as a few studies have been struggled the analysis of the deflection and internal moment redistribution in bridges. Abbas and Scorelessachieved nonlinear geometric, material and time dependent analysis of segmentally erected three-dimensional cable stayed bridges. Prestresed concrete bridges have found wide applications in railway engineering in recent years. Because of being chronically exposed to the natural environment, they are vulnerable to cracking under heavy trains, seismic excitation, and other loads. When the bridges are subjected to the independent action of static loads, especially prestressing forces, the cracks may be closed. However, if large dynamic loads, such as heavy trains, are present, the cracks will open and close in time depending on the structural vibration amplitude. Various studies over the last decade have shown that a structure with such cracks exhibits nonlinear dynamic behaviour, and its safety and serviceability are seriously affected. So it is essential to study the vibration of the Prestresed concrete bridge with such cracks under

moving trains. In recent years, the vibration of cracked structures subjected to dynamic loads has attracted more and more attentions of researchers, and many methods for analysing the vibration were proposed. Generally, these methods can be grossly divided into two kinds according to crack models used. The first kind is the modal method for the structure with switching cracks, which are either fully open or fully closed and can switch their state instantaneously, showing a bilinear behaviour. The switching condition is assumed to be determined by the sign of the normal strain, the displacement, or the curvature near the crack tip. When the crack is fully open, it may seriously affect the local stiffness and response of the beam. Its effect can be modelled by means of a rotational spring model or a crack disturbance function. The overall behaviour of the structure can be considered as a sequence of linear states, each of which can be evaluated through a modal analysis. Through the modal method, The second kind of method is mainly concerned with the structure with breathing cracks, for which there is a smooth transition phase between open and closed crack states. So the cracks can open and close continuously, and the crack state is assumed to be dependent on the responses, such as the contact condition at the crack interfaces, the time, or the curvature. As the structural stiffness can vary with the crack state, it will change gradually during the vibration, and the structural behaviour will exhibit obvious nonlinearity. The main objective of the nonlinear analysis is to analysis the different properties of the material and structure beyond the elastic limit and before the collapse. This is based on to take the advantage of ductility and strength beyond the elastic limit by which the cost of the construction can be cut because the strength of beyond the elastic limit are also considered. Concurring to Caltrans, ordinary spans will be not outlined to react flexibly amid theMaximum Earthquake in light of the fact that of financial requirements and the vulnerabilities in anticipating seismic requests. In this way, the objective will be to take advantage of pliabilityand post versatile quality to meet the madeexecution criteria with a least capital venture. Such logic is constructing with respect to the generally low likelihood that a major tremor will happen at a given site and the readiness to ingest the repair cost at if ever a major seismic tremor happens. The purpose of the present work is to carry out a seismic evaluation of an already constructed river bridges using the nonlinear static analysis and compare it with the nonlinear dynamic analysis result. This work is performed with the help of open sees software. The Hindon river bridge is 3 span continuous bridges which is pre stressed box - girder type and its tendons are tensioned. Its total length is m114.9m, and the height of its bents is 805 m and 866m. The model of the bridge is required for the mnonlinear study of this bridge. The most effected part of the RC bridges is the column during the seismic activities of earth.Due to efficient dissemination of

seismic activi Page | 990 congested traffic, economic considerations, and aesthetic desirability horizontally box girder bridges have become increasingly popular nowadays in modern highway systems, including urban interchanges. Currently curved girders have replaced straight segments because in urban areas where elevated highways and multi-level structures are necessary, modern highway bridges are often subjected to severe geometric restrictions. The cost of the superstructure for the girder is higher, the total cost of the curved girder system is reduced considerably since the number of intermediate supports, expansion joints and bearing details is reduced. The continuous girder also provides more aesthetically pleasing structures. Despite all the advantages mentioned above, horizontally curved girders are generally more complex than straight girders. Girders are subjected to vertical bending plus torsion caused by the girder curvature. To deal with such complexities, several approximate analysis methods were developed in the sixties. In the past, curved girders were generally composed of a series of straight segments that were used as chords in forming a curved alignment. The use of Prestresed concrete components has been accepted for many years for structures under gravity loading. The applications of the material are increasing rapidly, encouraged by such advantages as the possibility of pleasing architectural forms, and the suitability of Prestresed concrete to modern prefabricated construction. However the use of Prestresed concrete in primary seismic resistant elements such as shear walls and frames has created considerable controversy. This review is a historical trace of the approach of design and research engineers to the suitability or otherwise of prestressed concrete for earthquake resistance. It discusses such fundamental properties as stiffness, damping, energy absorption and dissipation, and ductility, all of which will affect the response and hence the structural behaviour of prestressed concrete buildings under earthquake loading.Traditionally, blast resistant design strategies have been reserved for military and government buildings, or forconsideration of accidental explosions in chemical facilities. However, with recent worldwide events, many engineers are now incorporating anti-terrorism measures into the design of a much wider variety of structures. Highway bridges require special consideration because the condition of transportation infrastructure can significantly affect the economy. The loss of a critical bridge could result in economic damage not only on a local level, but possibly on a national or global level. Prestressed concrete girders are commonly used for highway bridges. In Washington, nearly 3000 of the state-owned bridges are of this construction type, representing nearly 40 percent of the bridges in the state. Across the nation, approximately 11 percent of all highway bridges are supported with prestressed concrete girders. However, very little research has been done to evaluate the blast performance of prestressed concrete members or the bridges they support. The overall goals of the work described here were to develop and evaluate modelling techniques for simulating the behaviour of precast, prestressed concrete girders subjected to blast loading and to apply those techniques to characterize the blast response of typical bridges constructed of those girders.

1.2 Description of Example Bridge:-

The Budan Bridge, shown in Fig. 1, is a reinforced continuous concrete box girder type bridge located on between Artvinand Erzurum highway, Turkey, at 55 + 729.00-56 + 079.00 km. Construction of the bridge started in 2007 and completed recently. The configuration of the bridge is a three-span, cast-in-place concrete box girder superstructure supported on reinforced concrete piers. The bridge deck consists of a main span of 165.00 m and two side spans of 92.50 m each. The total bridge length is 350.00 m and width of bridge is 15.00 m. The structural system of the bridge consists of a continuous deck, two piers, two abutments and a closure segment. The piers have the heights of 91.77 m at the 55 + 821.50 km and of 97.17 mat the 55 + 986.50 km. The cross-sections of the piers and the deck are given in detail in Fig. 2. The cross-section of the deck



Fig1.1: The Budan Bridge and its dimensions.



Fig1.2: Cross-sections of (a) piers and (b) deck of the Budan Bridge.

Consists of a single cell box girder with cantilevered slabs. The girder depth varies from a maximum of 9.50 m over the piers to a minimum of 2.50 m at the mid span and abutments. The closure segment in the middle of the central span is 1.50 m long. The bottom slab thickness is variable, as well. In order to investigate the construction stage response effects on the Budan Bridge, three-dimensional finite element model is used. The primary objective of this study is to perform a parametrical study associated with the construction stages and its effects on the response of continuous concrete box girder bridges. However, soil–structure interaction is not considered.

1.3 Types of girder:-

There are basically two types of cross sections currently being in used for alignment: an open section consisting of a number of I-shaped cross sections braced with a heavy transverse bracing system and the other type of section is a closed section consisting of few box girders. Compared to I-beam girders, box girders have a number of key advantages and disadvantages. In addition to the large torsional stiffness, box girders provide higher corrosion resistance because a high percentage of the steel surface including the top of the bottom flange is not subjected to the environmental attack. The box girder also has a smooth shape that leads to better bridge aesthetics. The trapezoidal shape, which is more popular nowadays, offers several advantages over rectangular shaped cross section. The trapezoidal box girder (bath-tub girder) provides a narrow bottom flange. Near the abutments where the bending moment is low, narrow flanges allow for steel savings.



Fig1.3: Types of girder

Box girder bridges are very commonly having its main beams comprising of girders in the shape of hollow boxes. The box girder normally comprises of pre-stressed concrete, structural steel or steel reinforced concrete. As shown in Figure, a box girder cross section may take the form of single cell(one box), multiple spine (separate boxes), or multicellular with a common bottom flange (continuous cells). The box girder bridge achieves its stability mainly because of two key features: shape and Prestresed tendons.At fabrication and erection stages, the section may be completely open at the top or it may be braced by a top lateral bracing system to the top flanges. To close the top opening and complete the box, a reinforced concrete deck slab is added which acts compositely with the steel section by a means of shear connectors.

1.4 Objectives and scope:-

The current study is about the behaviour and analysis investigation of the box girder bridges. The objectives and scope for the study are:

- 1. Develop three-dimensional finite element models of box girders using the commercially available finite element computer program "ANSYS".
- 2. Study the behaviour of box girders and compare the analytical model results and finally the description of the models of the girder bridges is presented.
- 3. To study effect of span and various cross section of girders subjected various specified ground motion

II. METHODOLOGY OF WORK



3.1 LOADS ON PRESTRESS GIRDER

The following are the various loads to be considered for the purpose of analysis.

- 1) Dead load
- 2) Live load

- 3) Impact load
- 4) Seismic load

3.1.1 DEAD LOAD

It is a gravity loading due to the structure simply calculated as the product of volume of bridge and material density of the bridge.

3.1.2 LIVE LOAD

Road bridge decks have to be designed to withstand the live loads specified by Indian Roads Congress (I.R.C: 6-2010 Section II)

In India, highway bridges are designed in accordance with IRC bridge code. IRC: 6 - 2010 – Section II gives the specifications for the various loads and stresses to be considered in bridge design. There are three types of standard loadings for which the bridges are designed namely, IRC class AA loading, IRC class A loading and IRC class B loading.



Fig 3.1 IRC Class AA loading

IRC class AA loading consists of either a tracked vehicle of 70 tonnes or a wheeled vehicle of 40 tonnes with dimensions as shown in Fig.3.1. The units in the figure are mm for length and tonnes for load. Normally, bridges on national highways and state highways are designed for these loadings. Bridges designed for class AA loading should be checked for IRC class A loading also, since under certain conditions, larger stresses may be obtained under class A loading. Sometimes class 70 R can be used for IRC class AA loading. Class 70R loading is not discussed further here.



Class A loading shown in Fig 3.2 consists of a wheel load train composed of a driving vehicle and two trailers of specified axle spacing. This loading is normally adopted on all roads on which permanent bridges are constructed. Class B loading shown in Fig 3.3 is adopted for temporary structures and for bridges in specified areas.

3.1.3 IMPACT LOAD

The dynamic effect caused due to vertical oscillation and periodical shifting of the live load from one wheel to another when the locomotive is moving is known as impact load. The impact load is determined as a product of impact factor (i) and the live load.



Fig 3.4: Impact percentage curve for highway bridges for IRC class A and IRC class B loadings.

The impact factors for different bridges for different types of moving loads are given in the Table 3.1 as shown below.

3.1.4. SEISMIC LOAD

If a bridge is situated in an earthquake prone region, the earthquake or seismic forces are given due consideration in the analysis. An earthquake causes vertical and horizontal forces in the structure that will be proportional to the weight of the structure. IS: 1893 Part-3 may be referred for the actual design loads.

The following methods of seismic analysis can be employed for calculation of seismic forces in bridges.

- 1) Seismic Coefficient Method (SCM)
- 2) Response Spectrum Method (RSM)
- 3) Time History Method (THM)
- 4) Pushover Analysis (PA)
- Seismic Coefficient Method (SCM)

The seismic force to be resisted by bridge component shall be computed as follows:

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{W}$$

Where

F = Horizontal seismic force to be resisted

W = Weight under consideration ignoring reduction due to buoyancy or uplift.

 A_h = Design horizontal seismic coefficient

• Response Spectrum Method (RSM)

The following steps are required in RSM:

- a) Formulation of an appropriate mathematical model consisting of lumped mass system using 2D/3D beam elements. The mathematical model should suitably represent dynamic characteristics of superstructure, bearings, substructure, foundation and soil/rock springs. In rock and very stiff soil fixed base can be considered.
- b) Determination of natural frequency and mode shapes following a standard stiffness matrix, transfer matrix or other standard approach.
- c) Determine total response by combining responses in various modes by (i) by mode combination procedure such as SRSS, CQC, etc. or (ii) time-wise superposition of responses using ground motion time history(s). In

method (i) Ah shall be computed as explained in (d) below.



Fig 3.5 Average acceleration coefficient

d) Horizontal Seismic Coefficient (Ah)

The design horizontal seismic coefficient, Ah shall be determined from following expression of 6.4.2 of IS1893 (Part 1): 2002.

$$A_h = \frac{Z}{2} \cdot \frac{I}{R} \cdot \frac{Sa}{g}$$

Provided that for any structure with T<0.1 sec, the value of Ah will not be taken less than $Z\!/2$ whatever be the value of $I\!/\!R$

Where,

Z = Zone factor

I = Importance factor, Table 3.2

R = Response reduction factor, Table 3.3

Sa/g = Average Acceleration coefficient for rock or soil sites as given in Fig.3.5.

• Importance Factor (I)

Bridges are designed to resist design basis earthquake (DBE) level, or other higher or lower magnitude of forces, depending on the consequences of their partial or complete non-availability, due to damage or failure from seismic event. The level of design force is obtained by multiplying (Z/2) by factor 'I', which represents seismic importance of the structure.

a) Extent of disturbance to traffic and possibility of providing temporary diversion,

b) Availability of alternative routes,

c) Cost of repairs and time involved, which depend on the extent of damages, -minor or major.

d) Cost of replacement, and time involved in reconstruction in case of failure.

e) Indirect economic loss due to its partial or full non-availability

Importance factors are given in Table 3.2 for different types of bridges.

• Response Reduction Factor (R)

The Response Reduction Factor for different components is given in Table 3.3

Table 3.3	Response	reduction	factors ((\mathbf{R})
1 4010 5.5	response	reaction	incroit ,	()

Response Reduction Factors (R)	
Superstructure, reinforced concrete	3.0
Superstructure, steel, pre stressed concrete	2.5
Substructure	
a) Reinforced concrete piers with ductile detailing cantilever type, wall type	3.0
b) Reinforced concrete piers without ductile	2.5
detailing, cantilever type, wall type	
c) Masonry piers (un reinforced) cantilever	1.5
type, wall type	
d) Reinforced concrete, framed construction in	
piers, with ductile detailing, columns of RCC	4.0
bents, RCC single column piers	
e) Steel framed construction	2.5
f) Steel cantilever piers	1.0
g) Steel trussed arch	1.5
h) Reinforced concrete arch	3.5
k) Abutments of mass concrete and masonry	1.0
m) R.C.C. abutment	2.5
n) Integral frame with ductile detailing	4.0
p) Integral frame without ductile detailing	3.3

• Time History Method (THM)

The dynamic analysis of a bridge by time history method can be carried out using direct step-by-step method of integration of equations of motion. At least three spectrum compatible time histories shall be used, when site-specific time histories are not available. The spectrum used to generate these time histories shall be the same as used for the modal analysis. Their duration shall be consistent with their magnitude and source characteristics of design basis earthquake. The total duration of time history shall be about 30s of which the strong motion part shall be not less than 6s.This analysis can be carried out using a standard software package.

• Pushover Analysis (PA)

It is a static nonlinear analysis carried out to determine lateral load vs. displacement at control point in the structure for the purpose of determining capacity of the structure. The analysis can be performed using a standard software package. The method can be employed for design of special bridges and to determine capacity of existing structures for the purpose of retrofitting.

2.LIVE LOAD COMBINATIONS

According to IRC 6-2010 Table 2, the different live loads combinations are considered for different carriageway width of bridges are as given in Table 3.4

		Number of	
Sl.No	Carriageway width	lanes for design purposes	Load Combination
1)	Less than 5.3 m	1	One Lane of Class A considered to, occupy 2.3m. The remaining width of carriageway shall be loaded with 500 kg/m ²
2)	5.m m and above but less than 9.6 m	2	One lane of Class 70 R or two lanes of Class A
3)	9.6 m and above but less than 13.1 m	3	One lane of Class 70 R for every two lanes with one lane of Class A on the remaining or 3 lanes of Class A
4)	13.1 m and above but less than 16.6 m	4	One lane of Class 70 R for every two lanes with one lane
5)	16.6 m and above but less than 20.1 m	5	of Class A for the remaining lanes, if any or one lane of
6)	20.1 m and above but less than 23.6 m	6	Class A for each lane.

Table 3.4 Live load combinations

III. MATERIAL MODELING IN ANSYS

The definition of the proposed numerical model was made by using finite elements available in the ANSYS code default library. SOLID186 is a higher order 3-D 20-node solid element that exhibits quadratic displacement behavior. The element is defined by 20 nodes having three degrees of freedom per node: translations in the nodal x, y, and z directions. The element supports plasticity, hyperelasticity, creep, stress stiffening, large deflection, and large strain capabilities. It also has mixed formulation capability for simulating deformations of nearly incompressible elastoplastic materials, and fully incompressible hyperelastic materials. The geometrical representation of is show in SOLID186 fig 22.

This SOLID186 3-D 20-node homogenous/layered structural solid were adopted to discretize the concrete slab, which are also able to simulate cracking behavior of the concrete under tension (in three orthogonal directions) and crushing in compression, to evaluate the material non-linearity and also to enable the inclusion of reinforcement (reinforcement bars scattered in the concrete region). The element SHELL43 is defined by four nodes having six degrees of freedom at each node. The deformation shapes are linear in both in-plane directions. The element allows for plasticity, creep, stress stiffening, large deflections, and large strain capabilities The representation of the steel section was made by the SHELL 43 elements, which allow for the consideration of non-linearity of the material and show linear deformation on the plane in which it is present. The modeling of the shear connectors was done by the BEAM 189 elements, which allow for the configuration of the cross section, enable consideration of the non-linearity of the material and include bending stresses as shown in fig 3.5. CONTA174 is used to represent contact and sliding between 3-D "target" surfaces (TARGE170) and a deformable surface, defined by this element. The element is applicable to 3-D structural and coupled field contact analyses. The geometrical representation of CONTA174 is show in fig 3.2. Contact pairs couple general axisymmetric elements with standard 3-D elements. A nodeto-surface contact element represents contact between two surfaces by specifying one surface as a group of nodes. The geometrical representation of is show in TARGET 170 fig 19.

The TARGET 170 and CONTA 174 elements were used to represent the contact slab-beam interface. These elements are able to simulate the existence of pressure between them when there is contact, and separation between them when there is not. The two material contacts also take into account friction and cohesion between the parties.





Fig.no.19 TARGET 170



Fig22 Solid 186

Prism Option

Material properties

Sr.No.	Material	Property	Value
		Yield stress f _{ry} (MPa)	265
	Structure 1	Ultimate strength fru(MPa)	410
1	steel	Young's modulus <i>Es</i> (MPa)	205×10 ³
		Vessen's rate µ	0.3
		Ultimate tensile strain $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_t$	0.25
		Yield stress f _{zy} (MPa)	250
	Painfacaing	Ultimate strength f _{ru} (MPa)	350
2 bar	bar	Young's modulus Es(MPa)	200×10 ³
		Vession's rate p	0.3
		Ultimate tensile strain e t	0.25
		Compressive strength frc(MPa)	42.5
3	Concrete	Tensile strength f _{ry} (MPa)	3.553
		Young's modulus E _c (MPa)	32920
		Poisson's ratio µ	0.15
		Ultimate compressive strain e,	0.045

Numerical Modeling Constitutive model of the material Constitutive model of concrete

Due to the complexity of concrete, the constitutive relations of it differ from the different load case. In this case, several different constitutive models of concrete were proposed. The elastoplastic constitutive model based on the increment theory is used to describe the constitutive relations of concrete. This model uses Wiliam-Warnke's five-parameter yield criterion, uniform strength criterion and associated flow criterion[7].Because of the special structure style of the steelconcrete composite beam to concrete-filled steel tubular column joints, the behavior differs in the different place of concrete. The concrete in the core area of concrete-filled steel tubular restrained by the steel tubular is under triaxial load cases. According to the numerical analysis and experimental results, the Han-linhai's model[8] is reasonable and reliable by using the confinement index to define the concrete restrained by the steel tubular. Because of the insufficient research on the dynamic property, experiments of the stress-strain hysteretic models of concrete in the core area are not reported. The skeleton curves of stress-strain hysteretic relationship of concrete under cyclic load are basically close to the stressstrain curves under monotonic load[9]. So many researchers approximate skeleton curves of the stress-strain relationship under monotonic load as the stress-strain relationship under cyclic load.

The common constitutive models is used in the composite beam[10]. The MISO method is used to describe the stress strain relationship of concrete in the procedure of analysis, shown in Figs 1 and 2.



IV. PROBLEM DEFINITION

4.1 INTRODUCTION

This presents a summary of various parameters defining the computational models, the basic assumptions and the bridge geometry considered for this study.

A 4 Span prestressgirder Bridge existed at a chainage 12+334 in State Highway (SH-12) from Bijapur-Athani Section across Done River is taken as a case study. The loads and load combinations on the bridge are studied and the same bridge in modeled in SAP 2000 and conducted Linear static, Modal and Seismic Analysis (Response Spectrum) to get the maximum bending moments and dynamic properties of the bridge. Afterwards the FEMA 356 Hinges are defined in the model and conducted Nonlinear Static (Pushover) Analysis using ATC-40 to calculate Base Shear vs. Displacements, Effective time, Spectral Displacement Capacity & Spectral Displacement Demand and to find out Performance points of Bridge.

4.3 BRIDGE GEOMETRY & MODAL



Fig 4.2 Cross Section of Bridge

SUPER STRUCTURE DESIGN:

AVAILABLE DATA:

- Total length of bridge: 80m
- Clear width of carriage way 8.6m (IRC 5: 1998 & IRC 6: 2014)
- Kerb width: 360mm (both side, pedestrians are allowed)
- Parapet: 1000 mm × 150 mm × 150 mm @ 1.5m c/c with 3-cast iron pipes as railing
- Camber: 1 in 100 (37.5 mm at center linearly varying to zero at kerbs)
- Wearing coat: 80mm
- Kerb height above pavement: 200mm (insurmountable type)
- Kerb type: full safety ensured
- Total kerb height above deck slab: 320 mm
- Clear depth of Longitudinal girders: 1800 mm
- Width of longitudinal girder: 600mm
- Deck slab thickness: 250 mm
- Total overall depth of the super structure: 1800mm
- c/c spacing of longitudinal girders: 2500 mm
- clear distance of cantilever span from face of girder: 1800mm
- Grade of concrete: M₃₅
- Design strength: $f_{cd} = 0.67 f_{ck} / \gamma_m MPa$ (Annex A2 of IRC 112: 2011)
- Grade of steel : Fe₄₁₅ (IS 1786 : 2000)
- Design strength of steel : $f_y/1.15 = 0.87f_y$ MPa (clause-15.2.3.3 of IRC 112:2011)
- Poisson's ratio: μ = 0.2 (Annex-B; B-3-1 of IRC 112:2011)
- Analysis of deck slab: Piegaud's curve

DESIGN OF INTERIOR SLAB PANEL:

Bending Moment/Girder	D.L Bending Moment	L.L Bending Moment	Total Bending Moment	Unit
Outer Girder	2300	1760	4060	kN- m
Inner Girder	2300	1060	3360	kN- m
Shear	D.L	L.L	Total	Unit
Force/Girder	Shear	Shear	Shear	
Outer Girder	489	410	899	kN
Inner Girder	489	410	899	kN

4.4 INPUT DATA IN SAP 2000

The data is used in the analysis is given in Table 5.2.

Input Data for Analysis		
Sl. No	Particulars	
	Density of Reinforced	
1)	Concrete	25 KN/m³
2)	Grade of Concrete	M-30
3)	Type of live load	IRC Class A Train
	Impact Factor (i)	
	4.5	0.173
4)	6 + L	
5)	Importance Factor (I)	1.2
	Response Reduction Factor	
6)	(R)	3.0
7)	Poisson's Ratio of Concrete	0.18
8)	Seismic Zone	Zone III
9)	Seismic Zone Factor	0.16
10)	Soil Type	Type II

Table 4.3 Input Data in SAP 2000

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DATA COLLECTED



Idealization of above problem statement is modeled in finite element analysis tool ANSYS .Following models are prepared for comparative analysis of bridge structure

MODEL NO.1	M30 80m span
MODEL	
NO.2	M30 40m span
NO.2	M30 40m span



33333333.3







www.ijsart.com





VI. CONCLUSION

The present study emphasis on finding non linear stresses on structural element using ANSYS obtaining below results for time history analysis

• The normal stress ,bending stress and maximum principal stress observed 25-30% more in the 80m span model

REFERENCES

- [1] "An Effective and Efficient Approach for Nonlinear Seismic Analysis of Bridges" By Y.Chen, June 1993.
- [2] "Application of pushover analysis on reinforced concrete bridge model" By Dr. Cosmin G. Chiorean, Research Report No. POCTI/36019/99 July 2003.
- [3] "Effect of nonlinearity in pier and well foundation on seismic response of bridges" Submitted by GoutamMondal and Sudhir K. Jain, in The 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, October 12-17, 2008, Beijing, China.

- [4] "Non-Linear Finite Element Analysis for Assessment of Bridges" International Journal of Earth Science and Engineering, Volume 2, No.06, December 2009.Submitted By : A.Kanchanadevi and C.Umarani
- [5] "Design of Bridges" By N.Krishnaraju, Fourth Edition, OXFORD & IHB Publishing Company in 2009.
- [6] "Analysis of T-beam Bridge Using Finite Element Method" International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT) Volume 2, Issue 3, September 2012. Submitted By: R.Shreedhar&SpurtiMamadapur.
- [7] "Seismic Performance Study of Urban Bridges Using Nonlinear Static Analysis" International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2013. Submitted By: ParimalA.Godse.
- [8] "Nonlinear Analysis of Bridge Structures" Bridge Engineering Handbook.
- [9] "Structural Analysis in Earthquake Engineering A Breakthrough of Simplified nonlinear methods" 12th European Conference on Earthquake Engineering. Submitted By : P.Fajfar
- [10] "Seismic Performance Evaluation of Urban Bridge using Static Nonlinear Procedure, Case Study: Hafez Bridge" The twelfth East Asia Pacific Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction. Submitted By: A. Nicknam, A. Mosleh and H. HamidiJamnani.
- [11] "Essentials of Bridge Engineering" By D.Johnson Victor. Oxford and IBH Publishing company private limited.
- [12] IRC 6-2010 Standard Specifications and code of practice for Road Bridges (Section II).
- [13] IS 1893 (Part 3) Criteria for Earthquake resistant design of Structures - Bridges and Retaining walls.
- [14] IS 456 -2000 Plain and Reinforced Concrete code of practice.
- [15] ATC 40 Seismic evaluation and retrofit of concrete buildings.