# Design of Revised Illumination Scheme for Educational Institute To Achieve Energy Saving

S.M.Chaudhari<sup>1</sup>, Tushar Devkar<sup>2</sup>, Samruddhi Kuchik<sup>3</sup>, Sachin Madan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3, 4</sup> Dept of Electrical Engineering <sup>1, 2, 3, 4</sup> AISSMS's IOIT, Pune, India

Abstract- An educational sector consumes majority of electric power for the purpose of lighting, air conditioner and computer loads. In this paper an attempt is made to present a case study carried out at an educational institute for the purpose of energy saving. The supply distribution scheme is briefly discussed, a detailing about lighting load survey is included. Some modifications are suggested for improvement in quality of illumination and energy saving. The results are verified using Lite Pack software. The economics also include in concluding part.

Keywords- illumination, lux, Lite-Pack software

## I. INTRODUCTION

Energy crisis due to demand supply gap is one of the main problems in existing world. The generating capacity increment involves lot of investment and considerable time. Hence conservation part needs more focus to reduce demandsupply gap.

Lighting systems represent an important piece in the overall building structure. This system represents approximately 10% of total electric energy load in country. More than 20% of electricity used in home goes into lighting and in a commercial building this contributes more. In all educational institutes lighting load has significant amount of contribution out of total connected loads. For the educational institute the lighting load is almost 40 to 50% of total load.

The existing lighting scheme is analysed by taking actual lux measurements of different occupancies and existing lighting load is evaluated. A revised illumination scheme is proposed by considering factors such as availability of natural light, requirement of illumination for different types of occupancies, replacement of existing luminaries by energy efficient luminaries and also by repositioning of luminaries.

## II. DETAILS OF SUPPLY DISTRIBUTION AT AISSMS'S IOIT

AISSMS's campus is supplied through 500 KVA transformer of 11KV/440V range. The power supply is for

pharmacy, polytechnic, management, primary and secondary school and IOIT through the same transformer.

An APFC panel of 250 KVAR is provided to maintain power factor close to unity. DG set of 125 KVA is used for emergency backup supply for few essential load of college building. Total lighting load of institute is 53.75 kW.

## **III. LIGHTING AUDIT METHODOLOGY**

Following steps are involved-

- Evaluation of existing lighting load. (Table:1)
- Measurement of illumination level using lux meter at different occupancies (Fig 1).
- Study of existing luminaries and its position.
- Design of revised illumination scheme by referring respective standards and illumination design software. (Lite-Pack) (Table 2, Fig 2).
- Compare existing illumination scheme with revised illumination scheme.
- Economic analysis.

## **IV. SURVEY OF LIGHTING LOAD**

Survey of load is carried out to determine the connected load of AISSMS's IOIT. The existing luminaries (FTL) are counted and tabulated as below:

Table 1:Number of existing luminaries

Place	Number of luminaries					
	FTL		PL Tube		LED	
	40 w	36 w	5	11	9	28
			w	w	w	w
Parking/workshop	-	155	-	-	-	-
Office and ground	44	132	16	7	14	16
floor						
Library and	-	213	-	-	4	-
1 <sup>st</sup> year Dept.						
Electrical dept.	-	173	-	-	-	-
E & TC dept.	-	145	-	-	-	-
Electronics dept.	-	137	-	-	-	-
Computer dept.	-	115	-	-	-	-
IT dept.	-	140	-	-	-	-
Instrumentation	-	124	-	-	-	-
dept.						
Staircases and	-	43	-	35	-	-
toilets						
Misc.	-	25	-	-	-	-

The lighting load consumes 53.759 kW. It includes different types of luminaries shown in table 1. According to geometrical structure of building majority (60%) portion of institute gets sufficient sunlight (250 lux) during day time. So, it is not necessary to switch on all the luminaries at the same time.

## **Energy consumption calculation:**

The complete lighting load in terms of kW-hr is calculated for 8hrs per day, hence total energy consumption through lighting load:

- Duration of use of lights in one year excluding Sundays and holidays = 8 hrs × 303 days = 2424 hrs
- Total energy consumption =  $53.759 \times 2424$
- = 1,30,311.816 kW-hr
- Average per unit cost is  $\Box 12/-$
- Annual lighting bill in 
  15,63,741/-

## V. REVISED ILLUMINATION SCHEME

Following points are considered while designing revised illumination scheme:

- Geometry of room with respect to natural light.
- Location of working plane.
- Location of existing luminaries.
- Required lux level as per standard (IES LM 80).

The revised illumination design is obtained by Lite-Pack (Havells-version 3) software. In this software, the design can be obtained by two methods

1) Point by Point method

2) Quick layout method

## ISSN [ONLINE]: 2395-1052

In point by point method, we can locate luminaries as per our requirement to obtain required lux level and in quick layout method, the software decides the location of luminaries. For the analysis of AISSMS's IOIT building, we have used point by point method.

The institute is seven storey building. The geometry of building is such that it uses maximum sunlight. The availability of light is more on  $5^{\text{th}}$ ,  $6^{\text{th}}$  &  $7^{\text{th}}$  floor. By considering this factor, new design of revised illumination scheme is obtained so that number of luminaries will reduce and which will provide required lux (300 lux).

A sample case study of Microprocessor & Control system lab is shown in fig:1. From the readings we observed that average lux is 286 lux, which is improved because of sunlight available at window side which is not a working zone. Highlighted zone is the actual working zone where there is insufficient illumination (average lux 130 lux).



Working zone Fig:1 Actual lux measurement of Microprocessor & CS lab

Table 2 shows the repositioning of the luminaries obtain from Lite-Pack software.

No. of	X (m)	Y (m)	H (m)	0(°)
luminaries				
1	2	1.10	4.00	90.00
2	.00	1.10	4.00	90.00
3	8.43	4.00	4.00	90.00
4	2.00	6.50	4.00	90.00
5	2.00	9.12	4.00	90.00
6	2.00	5.00	4.00	90.00
7	4.80	7.80	4.00	90.00
8	4.80	4.00	4.00	90.00
9	8.43	6.50	4.00	90.00
10	8.43	9.12	4.00	90.00

Table 2: Revised positioning of luminaries



Fig 2: Grey scale diagram of revised illumination scheme

From above grey scale diagram of revised illumination scheme (Fig 2) we can conclude:

- 1. Uniform illumination is obtained due to revised design.
- 2. The lux level obtained is increased in the working zone (from 130 lux to 280 lux) which matches with standards.
- 3. By **relocating** the same number of luminaries required lux can be obtained.

The above process is repeated for remaining occupancies like classrooms, labs, office, passage etc.

#### VI. ECONOMIC ANALYSES

During data collection & data analysis process it is found that there are following places where replacements of existing luminaries with LED tubes is required, Those places are passage of every floor, office and Recreation Hall

Parameter	Existing	Revised	Savings
	scheme	scheme	
Wattage (kw)	6.524	3.872	2.652
Annual	1,89,770	1,12,628	77,141
consumption in (□)			
Installation	7503	70,800	-
cost involved			
(□)			
Life hours	15,000	25,000	10,000
Lumens given	2450	2500	50
by tube			
Quality of	FTL is Non-	LED is	
light	directional	directional	
-	light source	light source.	

Table 3: Replacement of existing luminaries with LED tubes

**Note:** - 40- watt and 36-watt FTL's are replaced by 22-watt Philips LED tubes

### Payback period calculation-

Annual saving in  $\Box$  77,141/-Saving per month in  $\Box$  6,428/-Extra initial cost involved in  $\Box$  63,297/-Payback period – 9.8 months (approx. 10 months)

#### VII. CONCLUSION

A careful analysis of lighting system in organization will lead to manage energy system in organization at minimum energy cost. This paper shows that the energy saving is also done by proper positioning of luminaries and considering naturally available sunlight. The revised illumination scheme is verified by using lite pack software

The replacement of conventional FLT (40 watt) with LED (22 watt) tube can give savings of  $\Box$  77141 annually. The payback period is 10 months. This proves to be an effective economical solution

### REFRENCES

- [1] Takshashila Bhandari and A.G. Thosar "Energy Audit: A Case Study of an Academic Building", International journal of Industrial Electronics and Electrical Engineering Volume-4, Issue-11,Nov.-2016 ISSN: 2347-6982.
- [2] Hasib Chowdhury and Ratan Datta "A Case Study of Selective Commercial Building in Dhaka", IEEE International WIE Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (WIECON-ECE) 19-20 Dec. 2015, BUET, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- [3] Pradeep H. Zunake and Swati S. More "Energy Conservation in An Institutional Campus: A Case Study", International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology, Sept 2012 ISSN:2231-1963.
- [4] R. Hari Baskar, Hitu Mittal, Mahesh S Narkhede and T. M. Deokar "Energy Audit: A Case Study", International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering Volume 4, special Issue 1, Feb 2014 ISSN 2250-2459.
- [5] Dale R. Patrick and Stephen W. Fardo "Energy Conservation Guidebook".