# **Study the Behavior of Singly Curved Concrete Shell Structure for Different Loading Conditions**

**Jayraj Mody<sup>1</sup> , Jainesh Bhavsar<sup>2</sup> , Jainesh Bhavsar<sup>3</sup>** <sup>3</sup>Structural Engineer

<sup>1</sup>Parul University <sup>2</sup>Birla vishwakarma mahavidyalaya, <sup>3</sup>Vishwakarma consultancy

*Abstract- Ever since the construction of building has started in human history the construction of top over-head covering structure like roof is given priority for safety and from privacy point of view. The shape and dimension of roof structure used is different for different loading conditions and geographical locations such as horizontal, sloping or curved member such as dome and shell member. Shell is a thin, light weight and curved structure may be used as side as well as top covering roof member which bears upcoming loads, due to its curved shape and low flexural rigidity. Main study of this paper is analyzed and design doubly curved concrete shell structure by using STAAD.Pro software. For analysis of doubly curved concrete shell structure applying some loads and its combinations as per IS code.*

*Keywords-* Doubly curved shell, Flexural rigidity, Thin concrete shell, Staad.Pro, F.E.M Method.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The term "shell" is used to represent and describe the structures provided with durability, strength and rigidity due to its low depth i.e. thinness, with respect to its other dimensions such as radius of curvature and span. There are various examples of curved mass shell structures adopted by nature in various forms of living and non – living things such as tortoise back, snails cover, human skull bone and caves top upper part. Shells belong to the class of stressed-skin structures which, because of their geometry and small flexural rigidity of the skin, tend to carry loads primarily by direct stresses acting in their plane.

Although shells of double curvature, with the exception of domes, have been introduced on a large scale comparatively recently into building construction, these are likely to be used more and more in future. Being nondevelopable surfaces, they are more resistant to buckling than cylindrical shells and in general, require less thickness. Shells are structurally continuous in the sense that they can transmit forces in a number of different directions in the surface of the shell, as required. Shell structures have quite a different mode

of action from skeletal structures, of which simple examples are trusses, frameworks, and trees because other structures are only capable of transmitting forces along their discrete structural members.

Shells may be broadly classified as 'singly-curved' and 'doubly curved'. This is based on Gauss curvature. The gauss curvature of singly curved shells is zero because one of their principal curvatures is zero. They are therefore, developable. Doubly-curved shells are non-developable and are classified as syn-clastic or anticlastic according as their Gauss curvature is positive or negative, respectively.



Developable forms



Non developable forms

A Shell is generally defined as a curved slab with very small thickness compared to the other dimensions like radius of curvature and span. They can be cast in any shape. It has sufficient strength and also has a body to cover space. The roof shell absorbs more pressure due to curved surface whereas the plain surface structures such as floor plate/membrane slab comparatively fails to do so due to horizontal alignment. Based on this review, it was concluded that shell is curved slab beam like member exposed to direct stresses due to loading, and may buckle infinitely.

As per IS: 2210 – 1994 the criteria for span and thickness of shells shell shall not normally be less than 50 mm if singly curved and 40 mm if doubly-curved. This requirement does not, however, apply to small precast concrete shell units in which the thickness may be less than that specified above but it shall in no case be less than 25 mm. The span should preferably be less than 30 m. Shells longer than 30 m will involve special design considerations, such as the application of pre-stressing techniques.

## **II. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY**

The objective of the study is carrying out the economic and sustainable design of singly curved concrete shell for different loading conditions based on modeling and detailing done in STAAD.Pro software in form of shell having different parameter, i.e., t/r (thickness / radius), h/r (height / radius) and t/h (thickness / height) for singly curved concrete shell structure. And also determine the stress value of shell structure element when load and load combinations are applied.

# III. **MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF R.C. SHELL ELEMENT**

A sample shell is analyzed in STAAD.Pro whose dimensions are assumed similar to the ones found in the field as per the uses, requirements and most important IS: 2210 1988. Following are its dimensions:

Span of shell  $(X$ -direction) = 20m No. of spans  $= 1$ Width of shell  $(Z$ -direction $) = 60$ m Rise of shell; Along X-direction  $= 2$  m. Along Z-direction  $= 1$  m. Continuous Column supports at 6 m interval along Z-direction of the shell

Initially for software analysis the continuous beams along the width are assumed to be of 230 mm \* 300 mm

Thickness assumed for software analysis: 0.12 m

Load on the shell = Dead load, live load, wind load and load combinations.

Dead load is calculated on the basis of the unit weights taken in accordance with IS: 875 (Part I)-1987. Live load is taken as specified in IS: 875 (Parts 2)-1987. Wind load is taken as specified in IS: 875 (Parts 3)-2015.

Load combinations are use as per IS: 456 – 2000.

$$
Dead load = 3 kN/sq m
$$

Live load  $= 0.75$  kN/sq m



STAAD model

## **IV. METHODOLOGY**

Thickness of shell member selected in accordance to clause 7.1.1 from IS 2210: 1988 i.e. Thickness of shells shall not be less than 40 mm if doubly curved. This requirement does not, however, apply to small precast concrete shell units in which the thickness may be less than that specified above but it shall in no case be less than 25 mm.

Structure was analyzed for dead load, live load and wind load. Analysis was performed in software based on IS code.

Dead Load: Calculated As per IS: 875 (Part I) – 1987.

Live Load: Calculated As per IS: 875 (Part II) – 1987.

Wind Load: For wind load analysis all data is taken from Indian standard code IS:  $875$  (Part – 3) – 2015, since STAAD.Pro software does not design directly for curved or inclined member.

So, wind load calculation;

Design Wind Speed =  $V_{\mathbb{D}}$  \*  $K_1$  \*  $K_2$  \*  $K_3$  \*  $K_4$ Where;

 $V_{\mathbf{b}}$  = Basic wind speed (considering Vadodara region)  $= 44 \frac{m}{s}$ 

 $K_1$  Risk coefficient factor (assuming 100 years of life)  $= 1.07$ 

 $K_2$  = Terrains & height Factor (take height as 15 meter)

Page | 1710 www.ijsart.com

 $= 1.05$ 

 $K_3$  = Topography Factor (take plain terrain)  $= 1$ 

 $K_4$  = Important factor for cyclonic region

 No need to calculate this factor. Because our location is away from 60 km of sea bed.

Design Wind Speed  $V_2 = V_0 * K_1 * K_2 * K_3 * K_4$  $V_{z} = 49.43 \frac{m}{s}$ 

Design Wind Pressure  $P_{\overline{z}} = 0.6 \frac{V_z^2}{ }$ 

$$
p_{z}=1.46\sqrt{kN/m}^{2}
$$

The wind load on the building shall be calculated for the building as a whole. Wind Load on the Building,

 $F = (C_{pe} - C_{pi}) * A * p_z$  $C_{\text{pg}} = -0.7$  (end of the roof)  $= -0.5$  (centre of the roof)  $C_{\text{pi} = -0.7 \text{ (opening} > 20\%)}$ Wind force from +X direction  $F = -2.044 \frac{kN}{m^2}$ Wind force from -X direction  $F = -1.752 \frac{kN}{m^2}$ Wind force from +Z direction  $F = -2.77 \frac{kN}{m^2}$ Wind force from -Z direction  $F = -0.803 \frac{kN}{m^2}$ Load combinations: As per IS: 456 - 2000 1.5 (DL+LL) 1.2 (DL+LL+WL(+X direction)) 1.2 (DL+LL+WL(-X direction)) 1.2 (DL+LL+WL(+Z direction)) 1.2 (DL+LL+WL(-Z direction))

#### **V. ANALYSIS**

Analysis here done for the different parameters of the shell member with the help of software tool.

Table – 1 Showing Shear, Membrane and Bending Stresses on Shell Structure with t/h parameter

	Plate	LIC	Shear		Membrane			<b>Bending Moment</b>		
			SQX (local) N/mm2	SQY (local) N/mm2	SX (local) N/mm2	SY (local) N/mm2	SXY (local) N/mm2	<b>Mx</b> kNm/m	<b>My</b> kNm/m	Mxy kNm/m
Max Qx	705	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.078	$-0.030$	0.251	$-0.689$	0.314	$-0.100$	$-2.297$	1.320
Min Qx	795	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	$-0.172$	$-0.029$	$-0.591$	0.051	0.265	1.501	$-2.051$	$-1.350$
Max Qy	51	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.016	0.069	$-2.446$	$-6.105$	$-3.560$	$-0.123$	2.726	0.170
Min Qv	706	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.050	$-0.091$	$-2.221$	$-0.330$	0.622	$-4.483$	1.435	$-0.565$
Max Sx	1124	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.004	$-0.007$	1.652	0.110	$-0.189$	$-1.321$	0.334	0.067
Min Sx	83	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.024	$-0.010$	$-5.476$	$-1.017$	1.205	1.261	0.644	0.789
Max Sy	909	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	$-0.005$	$-0.012$	0.353	1.787	$-0.356$	0.676	$-1.294$	0.266
Min Sy	198	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.005	$-0.085$	$-3.510$	$-7,287$	2.985	$-1.895$	$-1.206$	1.177
Max Sx	198	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.005	$-0.085$	$-3.510$	$-7,287$	2.985	$-1.895$	$-1.206$	1.177
Min Sx	51	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.016	0.069	$-2.446$	$-6.105$	$-3.560$	$-0.123$	2.726	0.170
Max Mx	502	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	$-0.008$	$-0.021$	$-3.623$	$-1.071$	$-1.910$	6.245	1.144	3.055
Min Mx	166	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.003	0.002	$-0.646$	$-0.034$	$-0.071$	$-5.724$	$-0.239$	$-0.944$
Max My	501	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	$-0.014$	$-0.053$	$-0.116$	$-3.449$	0.098	0.373	6,766	1.165
Min My	160	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.002	0.002	$-0.020$	$-0.680$	0.050	$-0.142$	$-6.196$	0.389
Max Mx	502	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	$-0.008$	$-0.021$	$-3.623$	$-1.071$	$-1.910$	6.245	1.144	3.055
Min Mx	133	101 1.5 (DL+LL)	0.001	$-0.002$	$-0.432$	$-0.254$	$-0.312$	$-3.871$	$-2.418$	$-2.956$









#### **VI. DESIGN SUMMARY**

- 1. Reinforcement for Membrane Stress SX :
- $SX = -5.490$  N/mm<sup>2</sup>
- Membrane force  $\mathbf{F_x} = S X * b * d$  $= 5.490 * 1000 * 120$  $= 658.8$  kN

Now, Capacity of single 16 mm dia. HYSD Fe-415 bars can be given as,

 $= 0.87 \frac{\text{F}_y \text{A}_{\text{st}}}{\text{F}_y \text{A}_{\text{st}}}$  $= 0.87 * 415 * \frac{\pi}{4} * 20^2$  $= 113.42$  kN

**Total number of bars required for 1m width,**  $= 118.42 = 5.8 \approx 6$  Bars.

2. Reinforcement for Local Bending Moment MX :  $MX = 6.245$  kN.m

With reference to Chart 13, IS: 456, 1978 (SP 16),  $P_{t= 0.12 \%}$ 

• Area of reinforcement required $A_{st}$ , 0.12+1000+120  $\frac{100}{2}$  = 144 mm<sup>2</sup>

Now, Area of a single 10 mm dia. Fe-415 bars,  $=\frac{\pi}{4} * 10^2 = 78.54$  mm<sup>2</sup>

⸫ Total number of bars required for 1m width,

$$
= \overline{\text{MSAS}} = 1.83 \approx 2 \text{ Bars.}
$$

So, in X-direction, Membrane Stress SX is governing.

3. Reinforcement for Membrane Stress SY :

$$
SY = -8.583 N/mm2
$$
  
• Membrane Force  $\frac{F_y}{F} = SY * b * d$   
= 8.583 \* 1000 \* 120  
= 1029.96 kN

Now, Capacity of single 20 mm dia. HYSD Fe-415 bars can be given as,

$$
= 0.87 \, \text{FyA}_{\text{st}}
$$
\n
$$
= 0.87 * 415 * \frac{\pi}{4} * 20^2
$$
\n
$$
= 133.42 \, \text{kN}
$$

- Total number of bars required for 1m width,  $=\overline{\mathbf{133.42}} = 7.6 \approx 8$  Bars.
- 4. Reinforcement for Local Bending Moment MY :

 $MY = 7.632 kN.m$ With reference to Chart 13, IS: 456, 1978 (SP 16),  $\mathbf{F}_t = 0.15 \%$ 

• Area of reinforcement required  $A_{st}$ ,  $0.15 * 1000 * 120$  $=$  100  $= 180$  mm<sup>2</sup>

Now, Area of a single 10 mm dia. Fe-415 bars,  $=\frac{\pi}{4}*10^2=78.54$  mm<sup>2</sup>

• Total number of bars required for 1m width,  $=\overline{\mathbf{78.54}} = 2.28 \approx 3$  Bars.

So, in Y- direction Membrane Stress SY is governing.

5. Shear reinforcement for the shear stresses  $SQ_x$  and  $SQ_y$ For the prevailing  $SQ_{x} > SQ_{y}$ .

The reinforcement provided for the governing Membrane stresses SX and SY, whose magnitude is greater than that of Shear Stresses  $SQx$ <sub>and</sub> $SQy$ , is sufficient to sustain the shear stresses and so, there is no need to provide extra Shear reinforcement.

# **VII. CONCLUSION**

From the above research we can say that for doubly curved shell membrane stresses SY is more than the membrane stresses SX.

And the bending stresses are smaller than the membrane stresses. So, membrane reinforcement is enough to carry stresses.

#### **VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I am very thankful to **Mr. Jainesh Bhavsar**, structural engineer at Vishwakarma consultancy, Vadodara. For guiding me towards my thesis. I am also very thankful for help me and giving her valuable time and guidance and continuous motivation.

# **REFERENCES**

- [1] I. Vizotto, A. Ferreira, "Wind force coefficients on hexagonal free form shell" *ELSEVIER, Science Direct*, 2015.
- [2] V. Kushwaha, R. Mishra, S. Kumar, "A comprehensive study for economic and sustainable design of thin shell structure for different loading conditions" International research journal of engineering and technology (IRJET), e-ISSN: 2395 -0056, p-ISSN: 2395-0072, Volume: 03 Issue: 01, Jan-2016.
- [3] R. Bharatwaj, S. Jayashree, H. Santhi, "Cost analysis of anticlastic shell roofs" International journal of engineering, Inventions e-ISSN: 2278-7461, p-ISBN: 2319-6491 Volume 2, Issue 3.
- [4] IS 2210-1988, "Design of reinforced concrete shell structures and folded plates" Bureau of Indian standard, 1988
- [5] IS : 875 ( Part 2 ) 1987, Design Loads (Other Than Earthquake) For Buildings And Structures - Part 2 Imposed Loads, 1987
- [6] IS : 3370 (Part 2) 2009 Concrete Structure For Storage Of Liquids, 2009
- [7] S. Temoshenko, S. Woinowsky-krieger, "Theory of plates and shells", mcgraw-HILL Publication, 2nd edition.