

Oscillatory Behavior Of Second Order Neutral Difference Equations

C.Jayakumar¹, G.Tamilselvi²

¹Head, Dept of Mathematics

²M.Phil Research Scholar in Mathematics

^{1,2}Mahendra arts and science college, (Autonomous), Kalippatti, Namakkal – Dt

Abstract- In this research paper, we see a class of second order neutral delay difference equation of the form

$$\Delta \left[r(n) |\Delta z(n)|^{\alpha-1} \Delta z(n) \right] + q(n)f(x(n-\sigma)) = 0; \quad n \geq n_0$$

Where $z(n) = x(n) - p(n)x(n-\tau)$, $\alpha > 0$ We determine sufficient conditions under which every solution of (*) is either oscillatory or tends to zero. Our consequences develop a number of correlated results reported in the literature.

Keywords- Oscillation, non oscillation, asymptotic behavior, neutral, second order, difference equation

I. INTRODUCTION

The paper deals with the following second order nonlinear neutral difference equation of the form

$$\Delta \left[r(n) |\Delta z(n)|^{\alpha-1} \Delta z(n) \right] + q(n)f(x(n-\sigma)) = 0; \quad n \geq n_0$$

Where $z(n) = x(n) - p(n)x(n-\tau)$, $\alpha > 0$ is a ratio of odd positive integers and Δ is the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta x(n) = x(n+1) - x(n)$. Throughout the paper, we assume the following conditions:

(H₁) $\{p(n)\}_{n=n_0}^\infty$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers and there exists a constant p such that $0 \leq p(n) \leq p < 1$;

(H₂) $\{q(n)\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers and $q(n)$ is not identically zero for large values of n ;

(H₃) $\{r(n)\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers;

(H₄) τ and σ are positive integers;

(H₅) $f : R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function with the property that $uf(u) > 0$ for all $u \neq 0$ and there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\frac{f(u)}{|u|^{\alpha-1}u} \geq k; \quad \text{for } u \neq 0.$$

Let $n^* = \max\{\tau, \sigma\}$. For any real sequence $\{\theta(n)\}$ defined in $n_0 - n^* \leq n \leq n_0 - 1$, the equation (1.1) has solution $\{x(n)\}$ defined for $n \geq n_0$ and satisfying the initial condition $x(n) = \theta(n)$ for $n_0 - n^* \leq n \leq n_0 - 1$. A solution $\{x(n)\}$ of equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative and non oscillatory otherwise.

Recently, there has been much interest in studying the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of second order functional difference equations; see for example [3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12–24]. For the general theory of difference equations, one can refer to [1, 2, 7]. Prior to presenting our oscillation and asymptotic criteria, we briefly comment results for (1.1) and its particular cases which motivated the present study.

Theorems on oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of second order nonlinear neutral difference equations

Saker et al. [14] investigated the oscillatory behavior of second order nonlinear difference equations of the form.

$$\Delta(r(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) + p(n)\Delta(y(n))^\alpha + q(n)f(y(n+1)) = 0$$

and obtained sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of (1.2).

Thandapani et al. [21] proved that every solution of the equation

$$\Delta^2(y(n-1) - py(n-1-k)) + q(n)f(y(n-l)) = 0$$

Is oscillatory if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)q(n) = \infty,$$

and also established that every solution of (1.3) is oscillatory if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n-l}^{n-1} (k-l-1)q(s) > \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{l}{l+1} \right)^{l+1}$$

Sternal et al. [15] established that every nonoscillatory solution of the equation

$$\Delta(r(n)\Delta(y(n) + p(n)y(n - \tau))) + q(n)f(y(n - \sigma)) \limsup_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left[kQ(s)\beta(s+1) - \frac{1+p}{4r(s)\beta(s+1)} \right] = \infty;$$

tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ under the conditions

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(n)} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q(n) = \infty$$

Li et al. [11] investigated the second order neutral delay difference equation of the form

$$\Delta[q(n-1)\Delta(y(n-1) + p(n-1)y(n-1 - \sigma))] + q(n)f(y(n - \tau)) = 0$$

and derived sufficient conditions for oscillatory of all solutions of (1.8) under the condition

$$\sum \frac{1}{a(n)} = \infty.$$

Li et al. [12] consider the following second order nonlinear difference equation of the form

$$\Delta(r(n)(\Delta y(n))^\alpha) + p(n+1)f(y(n+1)) = 0$$

and established sufficient conditions for oscillation of every solution of (1.9).

In [11], we studied a second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation of the form

$$\Delta[r(n)\Delta(y(n) - p(n)y(n - \tau))] + q(n)f(y(n - \sigma)) = 0;$$

under the assumptions $0 \leq p(n) \leq p < 1$ and $\frac{f(u)}{u} \geq k > 0$, for all $u \neq 0$,

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(n)} = \infty$$

And

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(n)} < \infty.$$

We proved that every solution of (1.10) is either oscillatory or tends to zero if $\sigma > \tau$, (1.11) holds and there exists a sequence $\{\eta(n)\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ positive real numbers such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left[k\eta(s)Q(s) - \frac{(1+p)r(s-\sigma)((\Delta\eta(s)_+)^2)}{4\eta(s)} \right] = \infty.$$

Also, we proved that every solution of (1.10) is either oscillatory or tends to zero under the conditions $\sigma > \tau$, (1.12) and if there exists a positive real valued sequence $\{\eta(n)\}_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$ such that (1.13) holds and

Where

$$\beta(n) = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r(s)}.$$

Li et al. [10] studied the oscillatory behavior of a class of second order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations of

the form $(r(t)|z'(r)|^{\alpha-1}z'(t) + q(t)f(x(\sigma(t)))) = 0$ and established sufficient conditions under every solution of (1.15) is oscillatory.

In this paper, we derive sufficient conditions which ensures that every solution of (1.1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero under the condition (1.11). Our work is motivated by Li et al. [10] and our present results are discrete analogous of will known results due to [10]. In the sequel, the following notation is frequently used:

$$Q(n) = \min \{q(n), q(n - \tau)\};$$

$$(u(n))_+ = \max \{0, u(n)\};$$

And

$$R(l, n) = \left(\sum_{s=l}^{n-\tau-\sigma-1} \frac{1}{(r(s))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right) \left(\sum_{s=l}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(r(s))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)^{-1}.$$

II. SOME USEFUL LEMMAS

Lemma 2.1. [11]. Let $\{x(n)\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) and $\{z(n)\}$ be its associated sequence defined by

$$z(n) = x(n) - p(n)x(n - \tau).$$

If $\{\Delta z(n)\}$ is eventually negative or $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) > 0$, then $z(n) > 0$, eventually.

Theorems on oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of second order nonlinear neutral difference equations

Lemma 2.2. Assume that (1.3) holds, let $\{x(n)\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) > 0$. Then its associated sequence $\{z(n)\}$ defined by (2.1) satisfies $\Delta z(n) > 0$, eventually.

Proof. Assume that $\{x(n)\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) > 0$.

Then by Lemma 2.1, we have $z(n) > 0$. Also, from (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta [r(n)|\Delta z(n)|^{\alpha-1} \Delta z(n)] \\ &= -q(n)f(x(n-\sigma)) \\ &\leq -kq(n)x^\alpha(n-\sigma) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{r(n)|\Delta z(n)|^{\alpha-1} \Delta z(n)\}$ is eventually decreasing sequence. Consequently, we have $\Delta z(n) > 0$ or $\Delta z(n) < 0$.

If we let $\Delta z(n) < 0$, then

$$r(n)|\Delta z(n)|^{\alpha-1} \Delta z(n) = r(n)(\Delta z(n))^\alpha \leq -c < 0.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(n) - z(n_1) &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \Delta z(s) \\ &= \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{(r(s)(\Delta z(s))^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{(r(s))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \\ &\leq (r(n_1)(\Delta z(n_1))^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(r(s))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \\ &< (-c)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(r(s))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$z(n) \leq z(n_1) + (-c)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \sum_{s=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(r(s))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}},$$

which implies that $z(n) \rightarrow -\infty$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $z(n) > 0$, eventually and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.3. [7] If x and y are positive real numbers and $\lambda > 0$, then

$$A^\lambda - B^\lambda \geq \lambda B^{\lambda-1}(A - B) \quad \text{if } \lambda \geq 1$$

Or

$$A^\lambda - B^\lambda \geq \lambda A^{\lambda-1}(A - B) \quad \text{if } 0 < \lambda \leq 1.$$

There is obviously equality when $\lambda = 1$ or $A = B$.

III. RESULTS

In this section we derive sufficient conditions under which every solution of (1.1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (1.3) holds. Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\eta(n)\}_{n=n_0}^\infty$ of positive real numbers such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s=n_{**}}^\infty \left[\eta(s)Q(s)R^\alpha(n_*,s) - \frac{((\Delta\eta(s))_+)^{\alpha+1}}{2k(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}\eta^\alpha(s)}(r(s) \right. \\ &\left. + r(s-\tau)) \right] = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large n_* and for some $n_{**} \geq n_* \geq n_0$ then every solution of (1.1) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

IV. PROOF

Assume the contrary. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\{x(n)\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) > 0$. Then by lemma 2.1, $z(n) > 0$ eventually where $z(n)$ is defined by (2.1). Then there exists an integer $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$, $x(n) > 0, x(n-\tau) > 0, x(n-\sigma) > 0$ and $z(n) > 0$.

Now, by Lemma 2.2 there exists an integer $n_2 \geq n_1$ such that $\Delta z(n) > 0$ for all $n \geq n_2$. It follows from (1.1) that $\Delta(r(n)(\Delta z(n))^\alpha) \leq -kq(n)x^\alpha(n-\sigma) \leq 0$, for all $n \geq n_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &p_0^\alpha \Delta(r(n-\tau)(\Delta z(n-\tau))^\alpha) \\ &\leq -kq(n-\tau)z^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the inequalities (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(r(n)(\Delta z(n))^\alpha) + \Delta(r(n-\tau)(\Delta z(n-\tau))^\alpha) \\ & \leq -k(q(n)z^\alpha(n-\sigma) + p_0^\alpha q(n-\tau)z^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma)) \\ & \leq -kQ(n)(z^\alpha(n-\sigma) + z^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma)) \\ & \leq -2kQ(n)z^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma) \text{ for all } n \geq n_3. \end{aligned}$$

Define a sequence $\{w(n)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} w(n) &= \eta(n) \frac{r(n)(\Delta z(n))^\alpha}{z^\alpha(n)} \\ & - \eta(n) \frac{r(n+1)(\Delta z(n+1))^\alpha}{z^\alpha(n)z^\alpha(n+1)} \Delta z^\alpha(n) \\ & + \frac{r(n+1)(\Delta z(n+1))^\alpha}{z^\alpha(n+1)} \Delta \eta(n). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain contradiction with condition. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities: Theory, Methods and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.

[2] Gyori and G. Ladas, *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.

[3] D. A. Georgiu , E. A. Grove and G. Ladas, Oscillations of neutral difference equations, *Appl. Anal.*, 33(1989), 234–253.

[4] J. R. Graef and P. W. Spikes, Asymptotic decay of oscillatory solutions of forced nonlinear difference equations, *Dyn. Syst. Appl.*, 3(1994), 95–102.

[5] G. H. Hardy, J. E Little wood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, The print of the 1952 edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1988.

[6] J. W. Hooker and W. T. Patula, A second order nonlinear difference equation: Oscillation and asymptotic behaviour, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 91(1983), 9–29.

[7] V. Lakshmikantham and D. Trigiante, *Theory of Difference Equations: Numerical methods and Applications*, Academic press, New York, 1988.

[8] G. Ladas, Ch. G. Philos and Y.G. Cas, Sharp conditions for the oscillation of delay difference equations, *J. Appl. Math. Simulation*, 2(1989), 101–112.

[9] B. S. Lalli and B. G. Zhang, On existence of positive solutions and bounded oscillations for neutral difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 166(1992), 272– 287.

[10] T. Li and Y. V. Rogovchenko, Oscillation theorems for second - order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, Vol. 2014, Article ID 594190.

[11]H. J. Li and C. C. Yeh, Oscillation criteria for secondorder neutral delay difference equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 36(1998), 123–132.

[12]W. T. Li and S. S. Cheng, Oscillation criteria for a nonlinear difference equation, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 36(8)(1998), 87–94.

[13]S. H. Saker and S. S. Cheng, Oscillation criteria for difference equations with damping terms, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 148(2004), 421–442.

[14]Z. Szafranski and B. Szmanda, A note on the oscillation of some difference equations, *Fasc. Math.*, 21 (1990), 57–63.

[15]Z. Szafranski and B. Szmanda, Oscillations of some lineardifference equations, *Fasc. Math.*, 25(1995), 165–174. B. Szmanda, Note on the behaviour of solutions of a second order nonlinear difference equation, *Atti. Acad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Sci. Fiz. Mat.*, 69(1980), 120–125.

[16]B. Szmanda, Characterization of oscillation of second order nonlinear difference equations, *Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Math.*, 34(1986), 133–141.

[17]B. Szmanda, Oscillatory behaviour of certain difference equations, *Fasc. Math.*, 21(1990), 65–78.

[18]E. Thandapani and K. Mahalingam, Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of second orderneutral difference equations, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 34(2)(2003), 137–145.

[19]E. Thandapani, Asymptotic and oscillatory behaviour of solutions on nonlinear second order difference equations, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 24(1993), 365– 372.

[20]E. Thandapani, Asymptotic and oscillatory behavior of solutions of a second order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma*, (5)(1)(1992), 105–113.

[21]B. G. Zhang and S. S. Cheng, Oscillation criteria and comparison theorems for delay difference equations, *Fasc. Math.*, 25(1995), 13–32.