

On Pseudo-Small-Projective Modules And Quasi Pseudo Weakly Projective Module

Sushma Jat¹, Vivek Patel²

Abstract- Pseudo-projectivity and small-pseudo-projectivity is a generalization of projectivity. In this paper the concept of small projectivity has been generalized to small pseudo projectivity and some results on small pseudo projective modules .Aiso some results on pseudo weakly projective modules have been obtained. Here the basic ring R is supposed to be ring with unity and all modules are supposed to be unitary left R -modules.

Keywords- small pseudo projective modules, weakly projective, projective cover.

I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper all rings are associative rings with identity, and all modules are unitary right modules. Pseudo-injective and essential-pseudo-injective modules have been studied by many authors [2], [3], but here we study the properties of pseudo and small pseudo-projective modules.

Suppose that M is an R -module. A submodule A of M is said to be a small submodule of M if for any $B \subseteq M$, $A + B = M$ implies $B = M$. Given two R -modules N and M , N is called M -projective if for every submodule A of M , any homomorphism $\alpha : N \rightarrow M/A$ can be lifted to a homomorphism $\beta : N \rightarrow M$. A module N is called projective if it is M -projective for every R -module M . On the other hand, N is called quasi-projective if N is N -projective.

It is well-known that an R -module N is projective if and only if it satisfies any of the following equivalent conditions:

- i) For any R -module M and $B \subseteq M$ every R -homomorphism $N \rightarrow M/B$ can be lifted to an R -homomorphism $N \rightarrow M$.
- ii) For any R -module M , every R -epimorphism $M \rightarrow N$ splits.

Moreover, we will denote projective cover of M by $(P(M), \alpha_M)$ if, there is an epimorphism $\alpha_M : P(M) \rightarrow M$ with $P(M)$ projective and $\ker(\alpha_M) \ll P(M)$.

Theorem 1.1 Let M and N be two modules and $X = M \oplus N$. Then following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) N is small- M -pseudo-projective;

- (ii) for any submodule A of X such that N is a supplement of A and $A+M = X$, there exists a submodule $N' \subseteq A$ such that $N' \oplus M = X$.

Proof

- (i) \implies (ii) Assume that holds i) and A satisfies the assumptions of (ii) and also let

$f : N \rightarrow M/(A \cap M)$. If $n \in N$ then there exists $m \in M$, $a \in A$ such that $n = a + m$. Define

$f(n) = m + A \cap M$. If $n_1 = n_2$ in N then $n_1 = a_1 + m_1$ and $n_2 = a_2 + m_2$ for some a_1, a_2 in A and m_1, m_2 in M . Then $a_1 - a_2 = m_2 - m_1$ is in $A \cap M$. Thus $f(n_1) = f(n_2)$. Clearly f is an epimorphism. $\ker(f) = A \cap N \subseteq N$. As N is small M -pseudo-projective, f can be lifted to a homomorphism $f_1 : N \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi \circ f_1 = f$, with $\pi : M \rightarrow M/(M \cap A)$. Define $N' = \{n - f_1(n) | n \in N\}$ and let $x \in N'$, then $x = n - f_1(n)$ for some $n \in N$. We have $\pi \circ f_1(n) = f(n)$ and $n = a + m$

for some a in A and m in M . Therefore $f_1(n) + A \cap M = m + A \cap M$. Then $f_1(n) - m$ is in $A \cap M$ and $f_1(n) - n + a$ is in $A \cap M$. Thus $x \in A$. Then $N' \subseteq A$. It is clear that $N' + M = X$. Also $N' \cap M = 0$. Consequently $N' \oplus M = X$. (ii) \implies (i) Let $f : N \rightarrow M/B$ be an epimorphism with $\ker(f) \ll N$ and $\pi : M \rightarrow M/B$ is natural projection. Define $A = \{n + m | f(n) = -\pi(m)\}$. It is clear that $X = N + A$ and $A \cap N = \ker(f) \ll N$ and $X = A + M$, then there exists a submodule $N' \subseteq A$ with $X = N' \oplus M$. Define $\alpha : N' \oplus M \rightarrow M$ with $\alpha(n + m) = m$. Then $\alpha|_N : N \rightarrow M$ is lifting homomorphism of f . Therefore N is M -pseudo-projective.

Theorem.1.2 If N be a small- M -pseudo projective module and B be a direct summand of M , then N is small- B -pseudo projective module.

proof. Let $X' = N \oplus B$ and $X = N \oplus M$. Assume that $A \subseteq X'$ such that N is supplement of A in X' and $X = N \oplus B$. Then we have N is supplement of A in X and $A + B = X$. By Proposition (3.1) there exists a submodule $N_1 \subseteq A$ such that $N_1 \oplus M = X$. Then $N_1 \oplus B = X$. Again by Proposition if N is M -pseudo-projective then any epimorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ splits. N is small- B -pseudo projective.

Theorem.1.3 Let M be a small pseudo projective module and $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be a small epimorphism then there exists an epi-

endomorphism h in $\text{End}(M)$ such that $\text{Ker}\varphi = \text{Ker}(\varphi \circ h)$ is stable under h .

Proof : The small epimorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi^* : M / \text{Ker}\varphi \rightarrow N$

Let $f : M \rightarrow M/\text{Ker}\varphi$ be the natural map.

By small pseudo projectivity of $M \exists h \in \text{End}(M)$ such that φ^* of $=\varphi \circ h$.

Since $\varphi(M) = N = \varphi^*$ of $(M) = \varphi \circ h(M)$;

for any $m \in M, \varphi(m) = \varphi \circ h(m)$

$\Rightarrow m - h(m) \in \text{Ker}\varphi \Rightarrow m \in \text{Im}h + \text{Ker}\varphi$

$\Rightarrow M \subseteq \text{Im}h + \text{Ker}\varphi \Rightarrow M = \text{Im}h + \text{Ker}\varphi \Rightarrow M = \text{Im}h,$

$\Rightarrow h$ is onto.

Now, $x \in \text{Ker}\varphi \Rightarrow x \in \text{Ker}f \Rightarrow f(x) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \varphi^*$ of $(x) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi \circ h(x) = 0$

$\Rightarrow x \in \text{Ker}\varphi \circ h \Rightarrow \text{Ker}\varphi \subseteq \text{Ker}\varphi \circ h$

Let $y \in \text{Ker}\varphi \circ h$

$\Rightarrow \varphi \circ h(y) = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi^*$ of $(y) = 0$

$\Rightarrow f(y) \in \text{Ker}\varphi^* \Rightarrow f(y) = 0$ as φ^* is one -one

$\Rightarrow y \in \text{Ker}f = \text{Ker}\varphi \Rightarrow \text{Ker}\varphi \circ h \subseteq \text{Ker}\varphi$

and therefore $\text{Ker}\varphi = \text{Ker}\varphi \circ h$

Now let, $t \in \text{Ker}\varphi$ then $\varphi(t) = 0 = \varphi \circ h(t) \Rightarrow \varphi \{t - h(t)\} = 0$

$\Rightarrow t - h(t) \in \text{Ker}\varphi \Rightarrow h(t) \in \text{Ker}\varphi \Rightarrow h(\text{Ker}\varphi) \subseteq \text{Ker}\varphi$.

Hence $\text{Ker}\varphi$ is invariant under epi-endomorphism of M .

Theorem1.4 Let M be a small pseudo projective module and $K \subseteq M$ be a small submodule of M then M/K is small pseudo projective if K is stable under endomorphisms of M .

Proof: Let $v : M \rightarrow M/K$ be the natural map, $f : M/K \rightarrow A$ be an epimorphism and $g : M/K \rightarrow A$ be a small epimorphism, where A is any R - module. Then by small pseudo projectivity of M there exist $\varphi \in \text{End}(M)$ such that $f \circ v = g \circ v \circ \varphi$.

Define

$$\psi: \frac{M}{K} \rightarrow \frac{M}{K}$$

$$\text{as } \psi(x + K) = \varphi(x) + K$$

Then ψ is well defined and

$$\psi \circ v = v \circ \varphi \Rightarrow g \circ \psi \circ v = g \circ v \circ \varphi$$

$$\Rightarrow g \circ \psi \circ v = f \circ v \Rightarrow g \circ \psi = f, \text{ since } v \text{ is onto}$$

$$\Rightarrow M/K \text{ is small pseudo projective.}$$

II. QUASI PSEUDO WEAKLY PROJECTIVE

We say that M is quasi pseudo Projective If M has a projective cover $\pi : P(M) \rightarrow M$ and every homomorphism $\Psi : P(M) \rightarrow N$ can be factored through M via some

epimorphism. Equivalently, a module M is weakly projective if it has a projective cover $\pi : P(M) \rightarrow M$ and given any homomorphism $\Psi : P(M) \rightarrow N$ there exists $X \subseteq \text{ker}$

$$\Psi \text{ such that } \frac{P(M)}{X} \cong M.$$

Theorem2.12 Let M and N are two R -modules and assume M has a projective cover $\pi : P \rightarrow M$. Then the following statements are equivalent :

1. M is quasi pseudo weakly projective.
2. For every sub module $K \subset N, M$ is quasi pseudo weakly projective.
3. For every sub module $K \subset N, M$ is quasi

$$\frac{N}{K} \text{-projective.}$$

Proof :

(i) (1) Implies (2) and (3) Assume M is pseudo weakly N -projective and let K is a sub-module of N and $\psi : P \rightarrow K$ is a homomorphism. Then $\psi = i_\pi \circ \psi : P \rightarrow N$ may be expressed as a composition $\psi = g \circ \sigma$ for some homomorphism $g : M \rightarrow N$ and epimorphism $\sigma : P \rightarrow M$. Since σ is onto, the range of σ equals the range of g and so it is contained in K . Thus we may define $g : M \rightarrow K$ via $\psi(m) = g(m)$ and then $\psi = g \circ \sigma$, proving that M is pseudo weakly K -projective as claimed. Assume once again that M is pseudo weakly projective and let $f : P \rightarrow N/K$ is a homomorphism. Since P is projective, there exists a map $\bar{f} : P \rightarrow N$ such that $f = \pi_x \circ \bar{f}$. The weakly N -projective of M yields an epimorphism $\sigma : P \rightarrow M$ and a homomorphism $h : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\bar{f} = h \circ \sigma$. Let $\pi_x \circ h = f_1$ then $f_1 \circ \sigma = \pi_x \circ h \circ \sigma = \pi \circ \bar{f} = f$,

$$\text{proving that } M \text{ is indeed pseudo weakly } \frac{N}{K} \text{ projective.}$$

(ii) (2) or (3) implies (1) is trivially.

Remarks :

Let M and N are two R -modules and assume M has a projective cover $\pi : P \rightarrow M$. Then M is quasi pseudo weakly N -projective if and only if for every sub-module $K \subset N$ and for every epimorphism $\psi : P \rightarrow K$ there exist epimorphism $\sigma : P \rightarrow M$ and $g : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\psi = g \circ \sigma$.

Theorem:2.2

Let M and N are two R -modules and assume M has a projective cover $\pi : P \longrightarrow M$. Then M is quasi pseudo weakly N -projective iff for every map $\psi : P \longrightarrow N$ there exist a sub

module $X \subset \text{Ker}\psi$ such that $\frac{P}{X} \cong M$.

Proof :Necessary condition :

Let $\psi : P \longrightarrow N$ is a homomorphism. Assume M is quasi pseudo weakly N -projective and let the homomorphism $g : M \longrightarrow N$ and the epimorphism $\sigma : P \longrightarrow M$ be as in the definition of weakly relative projectivity. Since $\psi = g \cdot \sigma$, Ker

$\sigma \subset \text{Ker } \psi$. Also $\frac{P}{\text{Ker}\sigma} \cong M$. Thus the implication is proven by choosing $X = \text{Ker } \sigma$.

Conversely :

If $X \subset P$ satisfies the condition in the statement of the

theorem, then the isomorphism $\frac{P}{X} \cong M$, composed with the natural projection $\pi_k : P \longrightarrow P/X$ is an epimorphism $\sigma : P \longrightarrow M$ satisfying that $\text{Ker } \sigma = X \subset \text{Ker } \psi$. It follows that the map $g : M \longrightarrow N$ given by $g(m) = \psi(\rho)$ whenever $\sigma(\rho) = m$ is well defined and satisfies $\psi = g \cdot \sigma$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.K. Tiwary and B.M. Pandeya Pseudo Projective and Pseudo Injective Modules, Indian J. of pure appl. Math., Vol. 9, No. 9(1978), pp. 941-949.
- [2] A.K. Tiwary, P.C. Bharadwaj and B.M. Pandeya, Quotients of Pseudo Projective Modules, Bulletin of the Inst. of Math. , Academia Sinica, Vol.10, No.4, December (1982), 357-367.
- [3] K.N. Chaubey: Small Projective Modules, Ph.D. Thesis, Banaras Hindu University, India (1984).
- [4] Dinguo Wang and Jinqi Li: Characterization of rings using weakly projective module. Vietnam journal of mathematics. vol.25.(1998)
- [5] Quasi weakly projective and quasi weakly injective module: M.R. Aloney S. Jat. Ultra scientist journal of physical sciences.(2011)
- [6] A.K.Tiwary and B.M.Pandeya: Pseudo projective and pseudo injective modules. B.H.U. Vol.9 No.9(1978)