# **Tweets Sentiment Analysis using Spark**

M.Hemanth Kumar<sup>1</sup>, M.Kumaraswamy<sup>2</sup>, K.Lakshmi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> Department of Computer Science and Engineering <sup>1, 2, 3</sup> G.Pullaiah College of Engineering and Technology, Kurnool.

Abstract- Social networks are the main resources to gather information about people's opinion and sentiments towards different topics as they spend hours daily on social medias and share their opinion. In this technical paper, we show the application of sentimental analysis and how to connect to Twitter and run sentimental analysis queries. We run experiments on different queries from trending topics and show the interesting results. We realized that the neutral sentiment for tweets are significantly high which clearly shows the limitations of the current works. We present a new feature vector for classifying the tweets as positive, negative,neutral and extract peoples' opinion on particular trending topic.

Keywords- Sentimental analysis, Tweets, Naive Bayes

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The age of Internet has changed the way people express their views. It is now done through blog posts, online discussion forums, product review websites etc. People depend upon this user generated content to a great extent. When someone wants to buy a product, they will look up its reviews online before taking a decision. The amount of user generated content is too large for a normal user to analyze. So to automate this, various sentiment analysis techniques are used. Symbolic techniques or Knowledge base approach and Ma-chine learning techniques are the two main techniques used in sentiment analysis. Knowledge base approach requires a large database of predefined emoticons and an efficient knowledge representation for identifying sentiments. Machine learning approach makes use of a training set to develop a sentiment classifier that classifies sentiments. Since a predefined database of entire emoticons is not required for machine learning approach, it is rather simpler than Knowledge base approach. In this paper, we use different machine learning techniques for classifying tweets. Sentiment analysis is usually conducted at different levels varying from coarse level to fine level. Coarse level sentiment analysis deals with determining the sentiment of an entire document and Fine level deals with attribute level sentiment analysis. Sentence level sentiment analysis comes in between these two [1]. There are many researches on the area of sentiment analysis of user reviews. Previous researches show that the performances of sentiment classifiers are dependent on topics. Because of that we cannot say that one classifier is the best for all topics

other. Sentiment Analysis in twitter is quite difficult due to its short length. Presence of emoticons, slang words and misspellings in tweets forced to have a preprocessing step before feature extraction. There are different feature extraction methods for collecting relevant features from text which can be applied to tweets also. But the feature extraction is to be done in two phases to extract relevant features. In the first phase, twitter specific features are extracted. Then these features are removed from the tweets to create normal text. After that, again feature extraction is done to get more features. This is the idea used in this paper to generate an efficient feature vector for analyzing twitter sentiment. Since no standard dataset is available for twitter posts of electronic devices, we created a dataset by collecting tweets for a certain period of time. By doing sentiment analysis on a specific domain, it is possible to identify the influence of domain information in choosing a feature vector. Different classifiers are used to do the classification to find out their influence in this particular domain with this particular feature vector.

since one classifier doesn't consistently out performs the

#### II. RELATED WORK

There are two basic methodologies to detect sentiments from text. They are Symbolic techniques and Machine Learning techniques [2]. The next two sections deal with these techniques.

#### A. Symbolic Techniques

Much of the research in unsupervised sentiment classification using symbolic techniques makes use of available lexical resources.Turney[3] used bag-of-words approach for sentiment analysis. In that approach, relationships between the individual words are not considered and a document is represented as a mere collection of words. To determine the overall sentiment, sentiments of every word is determined and those values are combined with some aggregation functions. He found the polarity of a review based on the average semantic orientation of tuples extracted from the review where tuples are phrases having adjectives or adverbs. He found the semantic orientation of tuples using the search engine Altavista.

### IJSART - Volume 4 Issue 3 – MARCH 2018

Kamps etal. [4] used the lexical database WordNet [5]to determine the emotional content of a word along different dimensions. They developed a distance metric on WordNet and determined the semantic orientation of adjectives. WordNet database consists of words connected by synonym relations. Baroni et al. [6] developed a system using word space model formalism that overcomes the difficulty in lexical substitution task. It represents the local context of a word along with its overall distribution. Balahur et al. [7] introduced EmotiNet, a conceptual representation of text that stores the structure and the semantics of real events for a specific domain. Emotinet used the concept of Finite State Automata to identify the emotional responses triggered by actions. One of the participant of SemEval 2007 Task No. 14 [8] used coarse grained and fine grained approaches to identify sentiments in news headlines. In coarse grained approach, they performed binary classification of emoticons and in fine grained approach they classified emoticons into different levels. Knowledge base approach is found to be difficult due to the requirement of a huge lexical database. Since social network generates huge amount of data every second, sometimes larger than the size of available lexical database, sentiment analysis became tedious and erroneous.

## **B.** Machine Learning Techniques

Machine Learning techniques use a training set and a test set for classification. Training set contains input feature vectors and their corresponding class labels. Using this training set, a classification model is developed which tries to classify the input feature vectors into corresponding class labels. Then a test set is used to validate the model by predicting the class labels of unseen feature vectors.

A number of machine learning techniques like Naive Bayes(NB),Maximum Entropy(ME), and Support Vector Machines(SVM) are used to classify reviews [9]. Some of the features that can be used for sentiment classification are Term Presence, Term Frequency, negation, n-grams and Part-of-Speech [1].These features can be used to find out the semantic orientation of words, phrases, sentences and that of documents. Semantic orientation is the polarity which may be either positive or negative.

Domingos et al. [10] found that Naive Bayes works well for certain problems with highly dependent features. This is surprising as the basic assumption of Naive Bayes is that the features are independent. Zhen Niu et al. [11] introduced a new model in which efficient approaches are used for feature selection, weight computation and classification. The new model is based on Bayesian algorithm. Here weights of the classifier are adjusted by making use of representative feature and unique feature. 'Representative feature' is the information that represents a class and 'Unique feature' is the information that helps in distinguishing classes. Using those weights, they calculated the probability of each classification and thus improved the Bayesian algorithm.

Barbosa et al. [12] designed a 2-step automatic sentiment analysis method for classifying tweets. They used noisy training set to reduce the labeling effort in developing classifiers. Firstly, they classified tweets into subjective and objective tweets. After that, subjective tweets are classified as positive and negative tweets. Celikyilmaz et al. [13] developed a pronunciation based word clustering method for normalizing noisy tweets. In pronunciation based word clustering, words having similar pronunciation are clustered and assigned common tokens. They also used text processing techniques like assigning similar tokens for numbers, html links, user identifiers, and target organization names for normalization. After doing normalization, they used probabilistic models to identify polarity lexicons. They performed classification using the Boos Texter classifier with these polarity lexicons as features and obtained a reduced error rate. Wu et al. [14] proposed a influence probability model for twitter sentiment analysis. If @username is found in the body of a tweet, it is influencing action and it contributes to influencing probability. Any tweet that begins with @username is are tweet that represents an influenced action and it contributes to influenced probability. They observed that there is a strong correlation between these probabilities.

Pak et al. [15] created a twitter corpus by automatically collecting tweets using Twitter API and automatically annotating those using emotcions. Using that corpus, they built a sentiment classifier based on the multinomial Naive Bayes classifier that uses N-gram and POS-tags as features. In that method, there is a chance of error since emoticons of tweets in training set are labeled solely based on the polarity of emoticons. The training set is also less efficient since it contains only tweets having emoticons. Xia et al. [16] used an ensemble framework for sentiment classification. Ensemble framework is obtained by combining various feature sets and classification techniques. In that work, they used two types of feature sets and three base classifiers to form the ensemble framework. Two types of feature sets are created using Part-of-speech information and Word-relations. Naive Bayes, Maximum Entropy and Support Vector Machines are selected as base classifiers. They applied different ensemble methods like Fixed combination, Weighted combination and Meta-classifier combination for sentiment classification and obtained better accuracy.

# IJSART - Volume 4 Issue 3 – MARCH 2018

Certain attempts are made by some researches to identify the public opinion about movies, news etc from the twitter posts. V.M. Kiran et al. [17] utilized the information from other publicly available databases like IMDB and Blippr after proper modifications to aid twitter sentiment analysis in movie domain.

# **III. PROPOSED SOLUTION**

A dataset is created using twitter posts of trending topics based on # tags. Dataset is generated by streaming tweets using Spark streaming into database. Tweets are short messages with full of slang words and misspellings. So we perform a sentence level sentiment analysis. Dataset is loaded in spark to analyze using driver. Finally using different classifiers, tweets are classified into positive and negative classes. Based on the number of tweets in each class, the final sentiment is derived.

# 1) Generation of dataset

We have two ways of generating a dataset

#### A. Through Twitter API

Twitter provides developer console to collect tweets for research purpose. We can collect a tweets based on our requirement in different domains like political,movies,trending topics etc..we collected a dataset of about 14000 tweets on Demonization in India during the the period Nov 2016 to Dec 2016.

## **B.** Streaming of tweets

spark provides a features of streaming of data which is known as spark streaming. we can stream the tweets into database directly from Twitter API or using any third party application like Apache Kafka.

#### 2) Insertion of dataset into database

Dataset is collected in the extension of csv and it will be loaded into database, Here we are using Cassandra database which is scalable, High available, ease of use.

#### 3) Analyzing keywords from dataset

Using word count program on dataset in spark we will find the most used words and define the keywords to divide the tweets into positive, negative and neutral categories.

Table 1. list of keywords in dataset

positive	Negative	Neutral
LIKE	DISLIKE	OK
LOVE	HATRED	BETTER
HAPPY	ANNOYING	MODERATE
GOOD	WORST	NORMAL

### 4) Sentiment classification

Tweets are classified using the Support Vector machine, Nave Bayes in spark with the help of keywords.

# IV. CLASSIFICATION TECHNIQUES

#### A. Nave Bayes Classifier

Nave Bayes Classifier makes use of all the features in the feature vector and analyzes them individually as they are equally independent of each other. The conditional probability for Naive Bayes can be defined as

$$P(X|y_{j}) = \Pi^{m}_{i=1} P(x_{i}|y_{j})$$
(1)

'X' is the feature vector defined as  $X=\{x \ 1, x \ 2, ..., x \ m\}$  and y j is the class label. Here, in our work there are different independent features like emoticons, emotional keyword, count of positive and negative keywords, and count of positive and negative hash tags which are effectively utilized by Naive Bayes classifier for classification. Nave Bayes does not consider the relationships between features. So it cannot utilize the relationships between part of speech tag, emotional keyword and negation.

# B. SVM Classifier

SVM Classifier uses large margin for classification. It separates the tweets using a hyper plane. SVM uses the discriminative function defined as

$$g(X) = w T \varphi(X) + b$$
(2)

'X' is the feature vector, 'w' is the weights vector and 'b' is the bias vector.  $\varphi()$  is the non linear mapping from input space to high dimensional feature space. 'w' and 'b' are learned automatically on the training set. Here we used a linear kernel for classification. It maintains a wide gap between two classes

### IJSART - Volume 4 Issue 3 - MARCH 2018



Figure 1.

# V. CONCLUSION

There are different Symbolic and Machine Learning techniques to identify sentiments from text. Machine Learning techniques are simpler and efficient than Symbolic techniques. These techniques can be applied for twitter sentiment analysis. There are certain issues while dealing with identifying emotional keyword from tweets having multiple keywords. It is also difficult to handle misspellings and slang words. To deal with these issues, an efficient feature vector is created by doing feature extraction in two steps after proper preprocessing. In the first step, twitter specific features are extracted and added to the feature vector. After that, these features are removed from tweets and again feature extraction is done as if it is done on normal text. These features are also added to the feature vector. Classification accuracy of the feature vector is tested using different classifiers like Nave Bayes, Support vector . All these classifiers have almost similar accuracy for the new feature vector.

#### REFERENCES

- Y. Mejova, "Sentiment analysis: An overview," Comprehensive exam paper, available on http://www.cs.uiowa.edu/ymejova/publications/CompsYe lenaMejova.pdf [2010-0203], 2009.
- [2] E. Boiy, P. Hens, K. Deschacht, and M.-F. Moens, "Automatic sentiment analysis in on-line text," in Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Electronic Publishing, pp. 349–360, 2007.
- [3] P. D. Turney, "Thumbs up or thumbs down?: semantic orientation applied to unsupervised classification of reviews," in Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting on association for computational linguistics, pp. 417–424, Association for Computational Linguistics, 2002.
- [4] J. Kamps, M. Marx, R. J. Mokken, and M. De Rijke, "Using word net to measure semantic orientations of adjectives," 2004.
- [5] C. Fellbaum, "Wordnet: An electronic lexical database (language,speech, and communication)," 1998.
- [6] D. Pucci, M. Baroni, F. Cutugno, and A. Lenci, "Unsupervised lexical substitution with a word space model,"in Proceedings of EVALITA workshop, 11th Congress of Italian Association for Artificial Intelligence, Citeseer, 2009.
- [7] A. Balahur, J. M. Hermida, and A. Montoyo, "Building and exploiting emotinet, a knowledge base for emotion detection based on the appraisal theory model," Affective Computing, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 3, no. 1,pp. 88-101,2012.
- [8] C. Strapparava and R. Mihalcea, "Semeval-2007 task 14: Affective text," in Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluations, pp. 70–74, Association for Computational Linguistics, 2007.
- [9] G. Vinodhini and R. Chandrasekaran, "Sentiment analysis and opinion mining: A survey," International Journal, vol. 2, no. 6, 2012.
- [10] P. Domingos and M. Pazzani, "On the optimality of the simple bayesian classifier under zero-one loss," Machine Learning, vol. 29, no. 2-3,pp. 103–130, 1997.

# IJSART - Volume 4 Issue 3 – MARCH 2018

- [11] Z. Niu, Z. Yin, and X. Kong, "Sentiment classification for micro blog bymachine learning," in Computational and Information Sciences (ICCIS),2012 Fourth International Conference on, pp. 286–289, IEEE, 2012.
- [12] L. Barbosa and J. Feng, "Robust sentiment detection on twitter from biased and noisy data," in Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Posters, pp. 36–44, Association for Computational Linguistics, 2010.
- [13] A. Celikyilmaz, D. Hakkani-Tur, and J. Feng, "Probabilistic model-based sentiment analysis of twitter messages," in Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT), 2010 IEEE, pp. 79–84, IEEE, 2010.
- [14] Y. Wu and F. Ren, "Learning sentimental influence in twitter," in Future Computer Sciences and Application (ICFCSA), 2011 InternationalConference on, pp. 119– 122, IEEE, 2011.
- [15] A. Pak and P. Paroubek, "Twitter as a corpus for sentiment analysis and opinion mining," in Proceedings of LREC, vol. 2010, 2010.
- [16] R. Xia, C. Zong, and S. Li, "Ensemble of feature sets and classification algorithms for sentiment classification," Information Sciences: an International Journal, vol. 181, no. 6, pp. 1138–1152, 2011.
- [17] V. M. K. Peddinti and P. Chintalapoodi, "Domain adaptation in sentiment analysis of twitter," in Analyzing Microtext Workshop, AAAI, 2011.