Fuzzy Logic Control Based Active Power Filter For Renewable Power Generation Systems

Pala Suresh[1], Asst.Professor P.Anil Kumar[2]
[1, 2] Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering
[1, 2] Avanthi Institute of Engineering & Technology, VSP.

Abstract- *An active power filter implemented with a four-leg voltage-source inverter using a predictive control scheme is presented. The use of a four-leg voltage-source inverter allows the compensation of current harmonic components, as well as unbalanced current generated by single-phase nonlinear loads. The current paper proposes an intelligent control method for the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) of a photovoltaic system under variable isolation conditions. Here in this paper, intelligent control method uses a Fuzzy Logic Controller applied to a DC-DC converter device as well as Dc Link Controller to achieve the DC Link Voltage Constant. The compensation performance of the proposed active power filter and the associated control scheme under steady state and transient operating conditions is demonstrated through simulations results.*

Keywords- Active power filter, Power quality improvement, Fuzzy logic controller, Power factor correction

I. INTRODUCTION

RENEWABLE generation affects power quality due to its nonlinearity, since solar generation plants and wind power generators must be connected to the grid through high-power static PWM converters. The non uniform nature of power generation directly affects voltage regulation and creates voltage distortion in power systems. This new scenario in power distribution systems will require more sophisticated compensation techniques. Although active power filters implemented with three-phase four-leg voltage-source inverters (4LVSI) have already been presented in the technical literature, the primary contribution of this paper is a predictive control algorithm designed and implemented specifically for this application.

Traditionally, active power filters have been controlled using pretended controllers, such as PI type or adaptive, for the current as well as for the dc voltage loops. PI controllers must be designed based on the equivalent linear model, while predictive controllers use the nonlinear model, which is closer to real operating conditions. An accurate model obtained using predictive controllers improves the performance of the active power filter, especially during transient operating conditions, because it can Quickly follow the current-reference signal while maintaining a constant dc-voltage. But in this paper we proposed a fuzzy logic controller instead of pi controller at dc link

II. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

Most of the load and control equipment today use computers, embedded systems, microcontrollers, and power-electronic devices and converters to obtain the desired control performance. These devices and controllers draw non sinusoidal current from



Figure 1. Three-phase equivalent circuit of the proposed shunt active power filter

The supply, resulting in the generation of current and voltage harmonics. APFs have now become an alternative solution to harmonic filtering technology. An APF is a power-electronic converter that is switched to inject equal but opposite distorted current in the power-supply line, connected to a nonlinear load. Its switching, regulated by PWM, generates the harmonics and reactive power required to maintain the mains current sinusoidal and in phase with the mains voltage, irrespective of the load current. A number of methods exist for determining the reference- switching current for the APF .In this paper, we have considered the control strategy based on the regulation of the dc capacitor voltage. Soft computing techniques have been applied to APF control to a certain extent however; detailed investigations and possible combinations of these methods have not been explored. The objective of this paper focuses mainly on developing soft computing algorithm-based control strategies for switching single-phase shunt APFs. They offer an efficient control method under the uncertain and varying load and supply conditions and offer a much better dynamic response.

III. ACTIVE POWER FILTER

The main objective of the APF is to compensate the harmonic currents due to the non linear load. These filters are generally designed around a PWM bridge converter having a capacitor on the dc side. Fig. 1 shows the shunt APF configuration with a proportional-integral (PI) controller. The switching frequency of the bridge determines the frequency range of harmonic currents that are generated by APF. It is expected to correct up to f/5orf/10 . The aim now is to control this switching so that the voltage source lines, the nonlinear load, and the filter work together. This leads to designing the control algorithm which is best suited to compensate the harmonic and reactive currents. In the following sections, we have presented the study using some intelligent algorithms, such as fuzzy logic, neurofuzzy, which take into account the uncertainty due to the dynamics in load.

A. PI Algorithm

The PI control scheme involves regulation of the dc bus to set the amplitude of reference current for harmonic and reactivepower compensation [4], [5]. Assuming no power losses in the compensator, the dc-link voltage remains constant if no real power is drawn from it. However, practically, there are switching losses in the APF that increase with the increase in the reactive power demand of the load. These losses are supplied by the capacitor, and its voltage drops.The capacitor also has to supply active power during transient states when the real-power demand of the load increases. Thus, in either case, the capacitor voltage drops.



Figure 2. Shunt Active Filter

Similarly, the capacitor voltage will increase if the reactive/real power demand of the load decreases. Hence, by monitoring the capacitor voltage, the real power supplied by the APF can be estimated and the amplitude of the fundamental active component of the supply current was estimated indirectly using the real-power balance theory. The control is on the supply current directly. Only one sensor is required to sense the supply current and there is no delay in the compensation process. A PI control algorithm is used to regulate the dc link voltage of the shunt APF. This method is preferred because the reference current is generated without calculating either the load current harmonics or the load reactive power. This results in an instantaneous compensation process and the associated hardware is simple to implement, thereby increasing system reliability. The block diagram of the overall control scheme is shown in Fig. 1.

The control variables used by the PI control algorithm are the dc bus voltage, supply current, and supply voltage. In the control scheme investigated here, a sample-and-hold circuit is used to take capacitor voltage samples at every 10 ms for a supply frequency of 50 Hz. The error input to thePI controller and the amplitude of the supply current provided by the controller are thus made available at zero crossing only and the supply current is maintained constant for the entire period of one cycle. Hence, the correction action is achieved every half cycle. The ripple in the capacitor is eliminated with this technique and there is no need to use lowpass filter. The dc capacitor voltage has to be maintained at more than twice the peak supplyvoltage for proper operation of the shunt APF system. This is taken as the reference dc-link voltage (Vref)and compared with the actual voltage of the capacitor (Vdc). The resulting error at the th sample instant is expressed as

$$V_e(n) = V_{ref}(n) - V_{dc}(n). \quad (1)$$

The compared result is fed to a PI controller and the output of the PI controller is given by

$$V_o(n) = V_o(n-1) + K_P\{V_e(n) - V_e(n-1)\} + K_i V_e(n) \quad (2)$$

Where Kp and Ki are proportional and integral gain constants of the voltage regulator. and are the output of thecontroller and voltage error at the the sampling instant. This output of the controller is limited to a safe permissible value depending on the rating of the APF switches, and the resulting limited output is taken as the peak value of the reference supply current for harmonic and reactive power compensation. The phase information is obtained by a unit amplitude sine wave derived from the mains voltage. The reference current so obtained is compared with the actual supply current and fixed frequency PWM is used to generate the switching signals for the APF converter. The switch control applies Vf or -Vf on the ac side, forcing the compensation current to track the reference current. From Fig. 1 of the APF, the following equations can be written:

$$i_s = i_L + i_f \quad (3)$$

and

$$\frac{di_f}{dt} = \frac{v_s - v_f - R_s i_f}{L_f}. \quad (4)$$

The filter output voltage can be controlled only by the duty cycle of the bridge. Therefore, we obtain

$$v_f = u_f \cdot V_{dc}. \quad (5)$$

The problem of a soft computing control algorithm is, therefore, to determine the duty cycle in such a way that remains as constant as possible and produces the right harmonic-compensated current.

B. Simulation Results

The harmonic model of a computer consisting of a diode bridge rectifier with a large smoothing capacitor is used to represent a typical nonlinear (NLL) load. The load was simulated for a supply voltage of 230 V, 50 Hz and and the performance parameters were found as 3.41 A, = 149.7%, = 0.124%, = 0.98, 1.497, and 0.585. It is seen that the root mean square (rms) supply current is increased due to the presence of harmonics and low power factor. Due to the presence of the smoothing capacitor, the load current is seen to be discontinuous [Fig. 2(c)]. The PI control algorithm is applied to control a shunt APF for compensating harmonic and reactive power drawn by the computer load. The system is simulated using MATLAB and the results are presented in Fig. 2. The waveforms for supply voltage, supply, load, and filter currents and dc-link voltage are shown in Fig. 2(a)–(e). It can be seen from Fig. 2(b) and (c) that the supply current becomes sinusoidal while the load continues to draw current in nonsinusoidal pulses. The harmonic spectrum of the supply current before and after compensation is shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b), respectively. The total harmonic current distortion is reduced from 149.7% of the uncompensated load to 4.49% after compensation. The power factor is improved to 0.98 from 0.585 of the uncompensated load. The compensated rms supply current is 2.668 A and it is seen that the rise in supply current due to the presence of harmonics is effectively brought down. The dynamic response for addition and removal of the load can be observed from Fig. 2(b). The supply current settles smoothly to a new steady-state value within a half cycle of a 50% decrease in load at0.1 ms and a 200% increase in load at 0.3 ms. There is a small change in the dc-bus voltage [Fig. 2(e)] at the instant of disturbance in the load to balance extra energy due to an increased or decreased level of compensation. The dc-bus voltage settles to its steady-state value within a few cycles.

The APF with PI control for a self-supporting dc bus has several advantages viz; instantaneous compensation, no need to sense reactive power demand or load harmonics, the advantage of using only one current sensor, and simple control logic and hardware.

However, in this scheme, the nonlinear model of the APF system is assumed to be linear and the PI controller design is based on a mathematical model of the linearized system. A set of equations that describe the stable equilibrium state of the control surface is developed by the root locus or some other method, and coefficients are assigned to the proportional and integral aspects of the system. The PI controller applies the mathematical. Model to a given input and produces a specific output from the mathematical algorithm.

The PI model may seem to be simple and economical for a set of designed PI parameters and the harmonic compensation achieved by the APF and the response to step change in load is satisfactory, but a tendency to overshoot the set value still exists, while compensating large errors. Further, for the same set of parameters, the system may lack the capacity to adjust satisfactorily to large fluctuations and, hence, fine tuning of the designed parameters was necessary. Practically, the fine tuning of PI parameters is mostly accomplished by trial and error, which is a time-consuming process. Hence, soft computing methods are developed in this paper to develop a reliable auto tuning method in order to automate this process.

IV. FUZZY CONTROL ALGORITHM

Fuzzy logic is a multilevel logic system in which the fuzzy logic set has a degree of membership associated with each variable. Basically, a fuzzy set has three principal components: 1) a degree of membership measured along the vertical axis (Y); 2) the possible domain values for the set along the horizontal axis (x); and 3) the set membership function (a continuous curve that connects the domain values to the degree of membership in the set). A large class of fuzzy sets represents approximate members of one type or other. Some of these fuzzy sets are explicitly fuzzified numbers whereas others simply represent the fuzzy numeric interval over the domain of a particular variable. Fuzzy numbers hence can take many shapes triangular, trapezoidal, sigmoid, and bell shape, etc. The fuzzy set principally attributes two fuzzy numbers: a center value and a degree of spread. The degree of spread is also called the expectancy (E) of the fuzzy number;.



Figure 3. The Basic Structure of Fuzzy PID Controller Designed

when the fuzzy number is a single point, it is called single tone. As the expectancy increases, the number becomes fuzzier. This results in an increase in information and entropy. The triangular fuzzy membership shape is commonly employed in control applications due to primarily low computational costs of creating and integrating triangular fuzzy sets. However, they are less robustThe sigmoid function and bell-shaped fuzzy numbers are better in robustness since their center value is not a single point. The trapezoidal number is slightly different from the triangular and sigmoid number shapes because the set does not pivot around a single central number. Conventionally, only standard triangular MFs are used in fuzzy control and the suitability of other membership functions is not investigated. In the present study, the fuzzy-logic control system was designed with five functional definitions of MFs viz; triangular, trapezoidal, pSigmoid, Gaussian and Gaussian Bell MFs. After a comparative study in terms of harmonic compensation achieved under steady-state and transient load conditions, it was observed that the Gaussian MFs gave the best results. Fig. 4 shows the structure of the fuzzy controller for APF.



Fig. 4. Structure of the fuzzy controller for APF.

Figure 4.

A. Fuzzy Control Scheme for APF

In order to develop the fuzzy-logic control algorithm for APF, two inputs: 1) the voltage error (reference voltage minus actual capacitive voltage, e), 2) the change of capacitive voltage (previous error minus current error; ce) were considered over one sample period. The two inputs were represented by sets of seven membership functions and expressed in linguistic values as negative big (NB), negative medium (NM), negative small (NS), zero (ZE), positive small (PS), positive medium (PM), and positive big (PB). The range for the “error” input was set as[-30,30] and that for “change of error” was set as[-10,10] . A limiting block was introduced before the fuzzy block in order to truncate values beyond these ranges before supplying them to the fuzzy-logic controller. (the aggregate output fuzzy set) and the output is a single non fuzzy number, obtained by the center-of-gravity (COG) method of defuzzification. The output (magnitude of reference supply current,) is represented by a set of nine membership functions (MFs) (NVB to PVB) whose shape was taken to be similar to the shape of the input MFs. The range for the output was set as]. The output of the fuzzy-logic controller was multiplied by a unit sine wave in order to bring it in phase with the supply current before comparison. The AND method used during interpretation of the IF-THEN rules was “min” and the OR method used was “max.” Also, “min” was used as the implication method whereas the “max” method was used for aggregation.

B. Simulation Results

The PI controller block in the control scheme of the APF (Fig. 1) was replaced by the designed fuzzy inference system (FIS). The APF was then simulated for the same load with all other parameters maintaining the same. The simulation results for the fuzzy-logic controller designed with Gaussian MFs are shown



Figure 5. Grid Current



Figure 6. Grid Voltage, Grid Current, Load Current



Figure 7. Inverter current



Figure 8. Vdc Voltage

V. NEURO-FUZZY ALGORITHM

The neural network deals with nonlinear mapping of objective problems and this is a quantitative method of extracting the required information from the raw process signal. The output is given by the equation

$$\hat{Y} = 1; \quad \sum w_i x_i \geq \theta$$
$$= 0; \quad \sum w_i x_i < \theta. \qquad (6)$$

Here,Wi represents the connection weights and no set guidelines or rules are present to select these weights.

In our present study, we have computed these weights with a fuzzy-logic tool, using a hybrid method for training the neural network. The hybrid approach deals with linguistic variables and numerical variables. In this type of model, the condition part uses linguistic variables and the conclusion part is represented by a numerical value. Fig. 7 shows the general fuzzy neural-net model. In this scheme, instead of choosing the membership function parameters based on the system behavior, the artificial neural network (ANN) was trained to choose membership parameters automatically.

The system is modeled by using the Sugeno-type FIS, which is ideal for implementing neuroadaptive learning techniques. In a Sugeno-type system, the output membership functions are either linear or constant.

VI. CONCLUSION

In Proposed system The MPPT control using fuzzy logic is simple to implement, gives better convergence speed, and improves the tracking performance with minimum oscillation. The THD is less than MPPT With Fuzzy than MPPT with PI Improved dynamic current harmonics and a reactive power compensation scheme for power distribution systems with generation from renewable sources has been proposed to improve the current quality of the distribution system. Advantages of the proposed scheme are related to its simplicity, modeling, and implementation. The use of a predictive control algorithm for the converter current loop proved to be an effective solution for active power filter applications, improving current tracking capability, and transient response. Simulated and experimental results have proved that the proposed predictive control algorithm is a good alternative to classical linear control methods. The predictive current control algorithm is a stable and robust solution. Simulated and experimental results have shown the compensation effectiveness of the proposed active power filter.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Rocabert, A. Luna, F. Blaabjerg, and P. Rodriguez,

"Control of power converters in AC microgrids," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 27,no. 11, pp. 4734–4749, Nov. 2012.

[2] M. Aredes, J. Hafner, and K. Heumann, "Three-phase four-wire shunt active filter control strategies," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 12,no. 2, pp. 311–318, Mar. 1997.

[3] S. Naidu and D. Fernandes, "Dynamic voltage restorer based on a four leg voltage source converter," Gener. Transm. Distrib., IET, vol. 3, no. 5,pp. 437–447, May 2009.

[4] N. Prabhakar and M. Mishra, "Dynamic hysteresis current control to minimize switching for three-phase four-leg VSI topology to compensate nonlinear load," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1935–1942, Aug. 2010.

[5] V. Khadkikar, A. Chandra, and B. Singh, "Digital signal processor implementation and performance evaluation of split capacitor, four-leg and three h-bridge-based three-phase four-wire shunt active filters," Power Electron., IET, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 463–470, Apr. 2011.

[6] F. Wang, J. Duarte, and M. Hendrix, "Grid-interfacing converter systems with enhanced voltage quality for microgrid application;concept and implementation," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 26, no. 12, pp. 3501–3513, Dec. 2011.

[7] X.Wei, "Study on digital pi control of current loop in active power filter,"in Proc. 2010 Int. Conf. Electr. Control Eng., Jun. 2010, pp. 4287–4290.

[8] R. de Araujo Ribeiro, C. de Azevedo, and R. de Sousa, "A robust adaptive control strategy of active power filters for power-factor correction, harmonic compensation, and balancing of nonlinear loads," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 718–730, Feb. 2012.

[9] J. Rodriguez, J. Pontt, C. Silva, P. Correa, P. Lezana, P. Cortes, and U. Ammann, "Predictive current control of a voltage source inverter,"IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 495–503, Feb. 2007.

[10] P. Cortes, G. Ortiz, J. Yuz, J. Rodriguez, S. Vazquez, and L. Franquelo,"Model predictive control of an inverter with output LC filter for UPS applications," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 1875–1883,Jun. 2009.

[11] R. Vargas, P. Cortes, U. Ammann, J. Rodriguez, and J. Pontt, "Predictive control of a three-phase neutral-point-clamped inverter," IEEE Trans. Ind.Electron., vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 2697–2705, Oct. 2007.

[12] P. Cortes, A. Wilson, S. Kouro, J. Rodriguez, and H. Abu-Rub, "Model predictive control ofmultilevel cascaded H-bridge inverters," IEEE Trans.Ind. Electron., vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2691–2699, Aug. 2010.

[13] P. Lezana, R. Aguilera, and D. Quevedo, "Model predictive control of an asymmetric flying capacitor converter," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.,vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 1839–1846, Jun. 2009.

[14] P. Correa, J. Rodriguez, I. Lizama, and D. Andler, "A predictive control scheme for current-source rectifiers," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 56,no. 5, pp. 1813–1815, May 2009.