

Plant Extracts Based Ethno-medicinal Preparation Against Keratinophilic-Fungi: Prospective Utilisation by Saranda Forest Tribes

Vineeta Durga¹, Rajendra A. Jain²

^{1,2} Department of Botany

^{1,2} Swami Vivekananda University, Sagar, M.P., India

Abstract- Traditional herbal medicines are still very popular amongst rural dwellers worldwide since antiquity to date. It is established that, medicinal natural products of plant and animal origins, listed approximately 400 different plant species for medicinal purposes. Therefore, natural products have been an integral part of the ancient traditional medicine systems. Over the years they have assumed a very central stage in modern civilization as natural source of chemotherapy as well as amongst scientist in search for alternative sources of drugs. Huge population of developing world depends on plant based traditional medicines which is used for their primary health care. According to the World Health Organization, a medicinal plant is any plant which, in one or more of its organs, contains substances that can be used for therapeutic purposes, or which are precursors for chemo-pharmaceutical semi synthesis and employed in the control or treatment of a disease based on medically active chemical components. These non-nutrient plant chemical compounds or bioactive components are often referred to as photo chemicals. The science of application of these indigenous or local medicinal remedies including plants for treatment of diseases is currently called ethno-pharmacology but the practice dates back since antiquity. The sample for the study purpose was collected from Sal forest of Saranda region in Singhbhum district of Jharkhand and Kaimur district in Bihar states. Majority of superficial infections are caused by a closely related group of keratinophilic fungi called dermatophytes, which cause ringworm infection or Tinea infection (*T. Pedis*, *T. Cruris*, *T. Corporis*, *T. Imbricata*, *T. mannum*, *T. Barbae*, *T. Capitis* etc.) Dermatophytosis is caused by dermatophytes which colonize the hair, nails, and outer layer (stratum corneum) of the epidermis. A total of 30 species belonging to 21 families of angiospermic plants are documented. There are 3 genera of pathogenic dermatophytes viz, *Epidermophyton*, *Microsporium*, and *Trichophyton*. Medicinal plants being used by the traditional herbal healers against skin diseases of local peoples of the tribal families have been documented.

Keywords- Plant extracts, keratinophilic fungi, Tribes

I. INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants have been the mainstay of traditional herbal medicine amongst rural dwellers worldwide since antiquity to date. The therapeutic use of plants certainly goes back to the Sumerian and the Akkadian civilizations in about the third millennium BC. Hippocrates (ca. 460-377 BC), one of the ancient authors who described medicinal natural products of plant and animal origins, listed approximately 400 different plant species for medicinal purposes. Natural products have been an integral part of the ancient traditional medicine systems, e.g. Chinese, Ayurvedic and Egyptian (Sarker & Nahar, 2007). Over the years they have assumed a very central stage in modern civilization as natural source of chemotherapy as well as amongst scientist in search for alternative sources of drugs. About 3.4 billion people in the developing world depend on plant based traditional medicines which is used for their primary health care. According to the World Health Organization, a medicinal plant is any plant which, in one or more of its organs, contains substances that can be used for therapeutic purposes, or which are precursors for chemo-pharmaceutical semi synthesis. Such a plant will have its parts including leaves, roots, rhizomes, stems, barks, flowers, fruits, grains or seeds, employed in the control or treatment of a disease condition and therefore contains chemical components that are medically active. These non-nutrient plant chemical compounds or bioactive components are often referred to as photo chemicals. The science of application of these indigenous or local medicinal remedies including plants for treatment of diseases is currently called ethnopharmacology but the practice dates back since antiquity. The sample for the study purpose was collected from Sal forest of Saranda region in Singhbhum district of Jharkhand and Kaimur district in Bihar states.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Monthly field trips were conducted in the tribal pockets of selected blocks. The traditional herbal healers, tribal heads and tribal person were contacted and enquired to gather the related information about various preparations used for the treatment of skin diseases. The ethno medicinal plant were collected and washed thoroughly 2-3 times with running water, once with sterile distilled water, and then air dried on

sterile blotter under shades. Identification of plant has been made through the local name of plant and get confirmed from the relevant literature like Mudgalet al.(1997) Singh et al.(2001), Verma et al.(1993) and Oommachan et al.(1996). The ethno medicines were prepared according to the method described by herbal healers. Twenty grams of each fresh preparations comprising of leaf /bark /root/ /flower /whole plant, fruits and other ingredients were crushed in a mortar and the medicinal paste was then extracted.

Extraction of ethno medicinal material

The ethno medicinal material was extracted with 100 ml of hot sterile distilled water. Another set of preparations was macerated and extracted in 100 ml of hexane and methanol separately for 24 hours. The extracts of the separate solvents were concentrated under reduced pressure and preserved at 5°C in air tight bottle until further use. For the extraction of oils, air dried leaves of selected plants were chopped and grinded into small pieces. Essential oil was collected dehydrated using sodium sulphate dispensed into dark bottles and stored at 4°C until used.

1.1. Procurement and maintenance of microbial culture of fungi

The three species of keratinophilic fungi viz., *Aspergillus niger* (MTCC 10180) *Trichophyton rubrum* (MTCC 7859), *Candida albicans* (MTCC 1637) were obtained from Institute of Microbial Technology (IMTECH), Chandigarh and two species of fungi i.e. *Rhizopus microspores* and *Cryptococcus neoformans* were collected from the soil. The fungal cultures were subcultured on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) medium (peptone - 10g , dextrose- 20 g , agar – 20 g , distilled water – 1000 ml) incubated at 28±1°C. The stock cultures of fungi were maintained on sabouraud dextrose agar slant.

1.2. Preparation of microbial suspension for fungi

Fungal suspension were prepared from 21 days old culture of *T. rubrum* and *R. Microspores* one week old culture of *A. Niger* and 24 hrs old culture of *C. albicans* and *C. neformans* grown on Sabouraud dextrose agar medium. The petri dishes were flooded with 8 to 10 ml of distilled water and conidia were scraped using sterile spatula. The spore density of each fungus was adjusted with spectrophotometer (A_{595nm}) to obtain a final concentration of approximately 10^5 spores / ml. *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus faecalis* were pre-cultured in nutrient broth overnight in a rotary shaker at 37°C, centrifuged at 10,000 rpm

for 5 min, pellet was suspended in double distilled water and the cell density was standardized spectrophotometrically (A_{610nm}). Each of the plates was homogenized to ensure uniform distribution of the inoculums and air- dried to remove surface moisture.

1.3. Preparation of impregnated paper discs

Standard size Whatman filter paper discs (5.0 mm in diameter) kept on a sterilized petriplates was sterilized in an oven at 140°C for one hour. The sterilized discs were saturated with the (20 mg/ ml) test extract, 5 µl/ disc of oil and (1 mg/ ml) for control. For enhancing the essential oil solubility , Tween-80, 0.5%(v/v) was added. Plates with Tween-80 but without any plant essential oil were used as control. Discs were then dried at room temperature to remove any residual solvent that might interface with the determination.

1.4. Assessment of antifungal activity

The antifungal activity was tested by discs diffusion method (Gould and Bowie, 1952). The inoculums of the test organism was evenly spread on the surface of the Sabouraud dextrose agar/ nutrient agar medium. The impregnated discs were then placed on the surface of sterilized medium inoculated with the test fungi. Blank disc impregnated with water, methanol, hexane and Tween 80 at 1 ml. Followed by drying off was used as negative control. After the colonies grew, the zones of inhibition around the discs were measured and recorded.

Different standard discs of nystatin (Dr Reddy Laboratories Ltd ketoconazole (Jhonson Jhonson Ltd.) and fluconazole (Cipla Pharmaceuticals Ltd.) for different fungi were used as positive control. Before incubation, all the test and control petriplates were kept at 5°C for one hour to allow the diffusion of the substance from the disc into the agar medium plate. All the experiments were done in three replicates to determine activity.

The antibiotics known to be effective against their respective test micro-organisms were used as reference for comparison of the antifungal activity of the test samples. Ketoconazole (1000 µg/ml) was used against *Trichophyton rubrum*, nystatin (1000 µg/ml) against *Candida albicans*, fluconazole (1000 µg/ml) against *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Aspergillus niger* and *Rhizopus microspores*. Streptomycin was used as positive control against *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. The filter discs (5mm diameter) impregnated with 20 mg/ml extracts, 5µl/ disc of oil and 1 mg/ml of control were for separate fungal

species seeded plates. These were incubated at 28°C for 7-24 days for *T. Rubrum*, *R. Microspores* and *A. Niger* 2 days for *Candida albicans* and *Cryptococcus neoformans* in an incubator and were looked for the development of clearance / inhibition zone around the disc. The diameters of the inhibition zones were measured in three replicates by using antibiotic zone scale.

1.5. Gas chromatography- mass spectrometry (GC-MS) of oil

The chemical constituents of *Cymbopogon citratus* leaf oil identified by GC-MS analysis was carried out on a Shimadzu 2010 Gas Chromatograph equipped with an FID and 25 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm WCOT column coated with diethylene glycol (AB-Innowax, 7031428, japan) at Indian institute of integrative Medicine (CSIR lab) Jammu. Both injector and detector (FID) temperatures were maintained at 260°C. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 3.0 ml/min at a column pressure of 152 kPa. Samples (0.2 μl) were injected into the column with a split ratio of 100 : 1. Component separation was achieved following in a linear temperature program of 60 - 260°C at 3°C/ minutes and then held at 260°C for 10 minutes, with a total run time of 20 minutes. The percentage composition was calculated using peak normalization method assuming equal detector response. The samples were then analysed on same Shimadzu instrument fitted with the same column and following the same temperature program as above. MS parameters used were; ionisation Voltage (EI) 70 eV, peak width 2 s, mass range 40-600 amu and detector voltage 1.5 volts. Results are based on GC-FID; MS acquisition started after 4 minutes. Peak identification was carried out by comparison of the mass spectra with mass spectra available on database of NIST05 and WILEY8 libraries and co-injection of available pure standards. The compound identification was finally confirmed by comparison of their relative retention indices with literature values (Davies, 1990).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of thirty four preparations used in the district for skin diseases fourteen preparations were active against fungal species. The presence of active ingredients in herbal medicines is directly linked to their ability to prevent or treat keratinophilic infections. Moreover, the anti-keratinophilic action of the plants on the tested fungi responsible for superficial mycoses, confirms their therapeutic potency and this appraisal may authenticate their use in traditional medicines by different tribes for certain skin infectious. Amongst the plant species investigated, oil of *C. Citrates* leaf

showed the most remarkable activity. This plant oil can be further subjected to isolation of the therapeutic antimicrobials and carry out further pharmacological evaluation. Data for ethno medicinal preparation extracted from different part of plants viz., *Annona squamosa* (fruit) + *Ricinus communis* (leaf), *Argemone Mexicana* (root), *Azadirachta indica* (leaf), *Azadirachta indica* (bark), *Cassia tora* (seed), *Centratherum anthelminticum* (seed), *Ocimum sanctum* (leaf), *Plumbago zeylanica* (root), *Pongamia pinnata* (fruit) + *Ipomoea pestigridis* (root) in the form of Hexane (20mg/ml), Methanol (20mg/ml) and water (20mg/ml) against keratinophilic fungi were transformed in square root and analysed using split plot design for two factors (Parts of plant and different plant extracts) and their interaction to study the variation against different inhibition zone (mm) namely *Aspergillus niger*, *Trichophyton rubrum*, *Rhizopus microspores*, *Candida albicans* and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (Table 1.1 to 5.2). The analysis of variance result shows significant variation in all the parts of plants (fruit, leaf, bark, seed, root collected from different medicinal plants at $p < 0.01$ level. The mean \pm standard error indicated in all tables 1.1 to 5.1 shows significant variation within and between factors at $p < 0.05$ critical difference. The original data collected from sample for the purpose in different inhibition zone depict the level of hexane extract, methanol extract and water against different parts of plant. The level of occurrence in Hexane and Methanol was found in most of the parts of plants specially in *Rhizopus microspores*, *Candida albicans* and *Cryptococcus neoformans* respectively. On the other hand oils (5 μl /disc) extracted from fruit, leaf, bark, seed, root of different medicinal plants indicated in table 6.1 and its one way analysis of variance result in table 6.2 shows significant different in all inhibition zone against keratinophilic fungi. The appearance of fluconazole was recorded against *A.niger*, *R.microsporus* and *C.neoformans*. Whereas Ketoconazole was found in *T.rubrum* and *Nystatin* in *C.albicans* only.

Table 1.1: Activity of plant extracts (20mg/ml) against keratinophilic fungi for *A.niger*

Plant Parts	Chemical extract			Mean
	Hexane extract (H)	Methanol extract (M)	Water (W)	
<i>Annona squamosa</i> (fruit) + <i>Ricinus communis</i> (leaf)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)
<i>Argemone Mexicana</i> (root)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	14.00 (3.806 ± 0.076)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	4.67 (1.740 ± 0.517)
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> (leaf)	11.00 (3.389 ± 0.085)	8.00 (2.915 ± 0.020)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	6.33 (2.337 ± 0.414)
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> (bark)	8.00 (2.915 ± 0.050)	11.00 (3.389 ± 0.085)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	6.33 (2.337 ± 0.414)
<i>Cassia tora</i> (seed)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.050)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.085)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)
<i>Centratherum anthelminticum</i> (seed)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.050)	12.00 (3.534 ± 0.082)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	4.00 (1.649 ± 0.472)
<i>Ocimum sanctum</i> (leaf)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.050)	10.00 (3.238 ± 0.089)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	3.33 (1.551 ± 0.423)
<i>Plumbago zeylanica</i> (root)	14.00 (3.806 ± 0.076)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.089)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	4.67 (1.740 ± 0.517)
<i>Pongamia pinnata</i> (fruit) + <i>Ipomoea pestigridis</i> (root)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.076)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.089)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)
Mean	3.67 (1.595 ± 0.250)	6.11 (2.190 ± 0.264)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	
CD at 5%	Plant Parts : 0.043, Chemical conc. : 0.039 , Plant x Chemical : 0.118			

- Parenthesis value (Mean ±SE) is based on Square Root Transformation of original data,
- CD: Critical difference at 5% calculated for transformed data

Table 1.2: Analysis of Variance for *A.niger*

Source of Variations	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F Ratio	Probability	h ²	hp ²	w ²
Replicates	2	0.0477	0.0239	13.08	0.0001 **	0.000	0.421	0.000
Plant parts (P)	8	30.8474	3.8559	2113.87	0.0000 **	0.251	0.998	0.201
Error (A)	16	0.0292	0.0018					
Chemical extract (C)	2	30.0738	15.0369	2939.21	0.0000 **	0.245	0.994	0.197
P x C	16	61.7209	3.8576	754.02	0.0000 **	0.502	0.997	0.334
Error (B)	36	0.1842	0.0051					
Total	80	122.9032	1.5362					

Table 2.1: Activity of plant extracts (20mg/ml) against keratinophilic fungi for *C.rubrum*

Plant Parts	Chemical concentration			Mean
	Hexane extract (H)	Methanol extract (M)	Water (W)	
<i>Annona squamosa</i> (fruit) + <i>Ricinus communis</i> (leaf)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)
<i>Argemone Mexicana</i> (root)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	14.00 (3.806 ± 0.038)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	4.67 (1.740 ± 0.517)

Azadirachta indica (leaf)	14.00 (3.808 ± 0.038)	8.00 (2.912 ± 0.099)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	7.33 (2.476 ± 0.462)
Azadirachta indica (bark)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.038)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.099)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)
Cassia tora (seed)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.038)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.099)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)
Centrathrum anthelminticum (seed)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.038)	8.00 (2.915 ± 0.050)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	2.67 (1.443 ± 0.368)
Plumbago zeylanica (root)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.038)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.099)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)
Pongamia pinnata (fruit) +Ipomoea pestigridis (root): P8	11.00 (3.391 ± 0.038)	7.00 (2.739 ± 0.099)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	6.00 (2.279 ± 0.404)
Mean	2.78 (1.350 ± 0.237)	5.00 (2.013 ± 0.236)	0.00 (0.707 ± 0.001)	
CD at 5%	Plant Parts : 0.046, Chemical conc. : 0.031 , Plant x Chemical : 0.094			

- Parenthesis value (Mean ±SE) is based on Square Root Transformation of original data
- CD: Critical difference at 5% calculated for transformed data

Table 2.2: Analysis of Variance for T.rubrum

Source of Variations	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F Ratio	Probability	h ²	hp ²	w ²
Replicates	2	0.0012	0.0006	0.28	0.7563	0.000	0.015	0.000
Plant parts (P)	8	35.5718	4.4465	2105.42	0.0000 **	0.351	0.998	0.259
Error (A)	16	0.0338	0.0021					
Chemical extract (C)	2	23.0100	11.5050	3599.11	0.0000 **	0.227	0.995	0.185
P x C	16	42.7186	2.6699	835.23	0.0000 **	0.421	0.997	0.296
Error (B)	36	0.1151	0.0032					
Total	80	101.4504	1.2681					

** - significant at p<0.01 level, DF: Degree of freedom

Table 3.1: Activity of plant extracts (20mg/ml) against keratinophilic fungi for R.microsporus

Plant Parts	Chemical concentration			Mean
	Hexane extract (H)	Methanol extract (M)	Water (W)	
Annona squamosa (fruit) + Ricinus communis (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)
Argemone Mexicana (root)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	9.00 (3.079±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	3.00 (1.498±0.396)
Azadirachta indica (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.094)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)
Azadirachta indica (bark)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.094)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)
Cassia tora (seed)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.094)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)
Centrathrum anthelminticum (seed)	7.00 (2.735±0.106)	0.00 (0.707±0.094)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	2.33 (1.383±0.339)

Ocimum sanctum (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.106)	0.00 (0.707±0.094)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)
Plumbago zeylanica (root)	0.00 (0.707±0.106)	0.00 (0.707±0.094)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)
Pongamia pinnata (fruit) +Ipomoea pestigridis (root)	0.00 (0.707±0.106)	0.00 (0.707±0.094)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)
Mean	0.79 (0.932±0.125)	1.00 (0.971±0.146)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	
CD at 5%	Plant Parts : 0.044, Chemical conc. : 0.026 , Plant x Chemical : 0.078			

- Parenthesis value (Mean ±SE) is based on Square Root Transformation of original data
- CD: Critical difference at 5% calculated for transformed data

Table 3.2: Analysis of Variance for R.microporus

Source of Variations	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F Ratio	Probability	h ²	hp ²	w ²
Replicates	2	0.0088	0.0044	2.28	0.1173	0.000	0.112	0.000
Plant parts (P)	8	7.5872	0.9484	488.15	0.0000 **	0.279	0.991	0.218
Error (A)	16	0.0311	0.0019					
Chemical extract (C)	2	1.0952	0.5476	246.87	0.0000 **	0.040	0.932	0.039
P x C	16	18.3808	1.1488	517.9	0.0000 **	0.676	0.996	0.403
Error (B)	36	0.0799	0.0022					
Total	80	27.1830	0.33978					

** - significant at p<0.01 level, DF: Degree of freedom

Table 4.1: Activity of plant extracts (20mg/ml) against keratinophilic fungi for C. Albicans

Plant Parts	Chemical concentration			Mean
	Hexane extract (H)	Methanol extract (M)	Water (W)	
Annona squamosa (fruit) + Ricinus communis (leaf)	6.00 (2.544±0.114)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	2.00 (1.320±0.308)
Argemone Mexicana (root)	0.00 (0.707±0.114)	8.00 (2.912±0.099)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	2.67 (1.442±0.369)
Azadirachta indica (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.114)	12.00 (3.534±0.082)	6.00 (2.548±0.057)	6.00 (2.263±0.415)
Azadirachta indica (bark)	10.00 (3.238±0.089)	8.00 (2.915±0.050)	0.00 (0.707±0.057)	6.00 (2.287±0.399)
Cassia tora (seed)	8.00 (2.912±0.099)	6.00 (2.544±0.114)	0.00 (0.707±0.057)	4.67 (2.055±0.344)
Centratherrum anthelminticum (seed)	9.00 (3.082±0.099)	0.00 (0.707±0.114)	0.00 (0.707±0.057)	3.00 (1.499±0.396)
Ocimum sanctum (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.099)	8.00 (2.912±0.099)	0.00 (0.707±0.057)	2.67 (1.442±0.369)
Plumbago zeylanica (root)	8.00 (2.912±0.099)	6.00 (2.550±0.099)	7.00 (2.739±0.057)	7.00 (2.733±0.060)
Pongamia pinnata (fruit)	8.00 (2.912±0.099)	9.00 (3.079±0.094)	0.00 (0.707±0.057)	5.67 (2.233±0.384)

+Ipomoea pestigridis (root)				
Mean	5.44 (2.191±0.210)	6.33 (2.429±0.190)	1.44 (1.137±0.158)	
CD at 5%	Plant Parts : 0.067, Chemical conc. : 0.061 , Plant x Chemical : 0.184			

- Parenthesis value (Mean ±SE) is based on Square Root Transformation of original data
- CD: Critical difference at 5% calculated for transformed data

Table 4.2: Analysis of Variance for *C.albicans*

Source of Variations	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F Ratio	Probability	h ²	hp ²	w ²
Replicates	2	0.1038	0.05190	10.91	0.0002 **	0.001	0.377	0.001
Plant parts (P)	8	18.2190	2.2774	478.77	0.0000 **	0.184	0.991	0.155
Error (A)	16	0.0761	0.0047					
Chemical extract (C)	2	25.51660	12.7583	1032.73	0.0000 **	0.257	0.983	0.204
P x C	16	54.7858	3.4241	277.17	0.0000 **	0.553	0.992	0.355
Error (B)	36	0.4447	0.0124					
Total	80	99.1459	1.2393					

** - significant at p<0.01 level, DF: Degree of freedom

Table 5.1: Activity of plant extracts (20mg/ml) against keratinophilic fungi for *C.neoformans*

Plant Parts	Chemical concentration			Mean
	Hexane extract (H)	Methanol extract (M)	Water (W)	
Annona squamosa (fruit) + Ricinus communis (leaf)	10.00 (3.240±0.045)	13.00 (3.673±0.079)	6.00 (2.546±0.091)	9.67 (3.153±0.168)
Argemone Mexicana (root)	7.00 (2.735±0.106)	13.00 (3.673±0.079)	0.00 (0.707±0.091)	6.67 (2.371±0.439)
Azadirachta indica (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.106)	7.00 (2.735±0.106)	0.00 (0.707±0.091)	2.33 (1.383±0.339)
Azadirachta indica (bark)	8.00 (2.912±0.099)	16.00 (4.062±0.043)	3.00 (1.858±0.156)	9.00 (2.944±0.323)
Cassia tora (seed)	10.00 (3.238±0.089)	7.00 (2.735±0.106)	0.00 (0.707±0.156)	5.67 (2.227±0.389)
Centratherum anthelminticum (seed)	6.00 (2.55±0.089)	0.00 (0.707±0.106)	0.00 (0.707±0.156)	2.00 (1.321±0.307)
Ocimum sanctum (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.089)	8.00 (2.915±0.050)	0.00 (0.707±0.156)	2.67 (1.443±0.368)
Plumbago zeylanica (root)	10.00 (3.24±0.045)	13.00 (3.673±0.079)	0.00 (0.707±0.156)	7.67 (2.54±0.463)
Pongamia pinnata (fruit) +Ipomoea pestigridis (root)	7.00 (2.739±0.045)	10.00 (3.238±0.089)	6.00 (2.550±0.156)	7.67 (2.842±0.106)
Mean	6.44 (2.452±0.189)	9.67 (3.045±0.185)	1.67 (1.244±0.154)	
CD at 5%	Plant Parts : 0.099, Chemical conc. : 0.068 , Plant x Chemical : 0.205			

- Parenthesis value (Mean ±SE) is based on Square Root Transformation of original data
- CD: Critical difference at 5% calculated for transformed data

Table 5.2: Analysis of Variance for C.neoformans

Source of Variations	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	F Ratio	Probability	h ²	hp ²	w ²
Replicates	2	0.0011	0.0006	0.06	0.9465	0.000	0.003	0.000
Plant parts (P)	8	36.1077	4.5135	451.10	0.0000 **	0.324	0.99	0.244
Error (A)	16	0.1601	0.0101					
Chemical extract (C)	2	45.5016	22.7508	1487.46	0.0000 **	0.408	0.988	0.29
P x C	16	29.1884	1.8243	119.27	0.0000 **	0.262	0.981	0.206
Error (B)	36	0.5506	0.01530					
Total	80	111.5096	1.3939					

** - significant at p<0.01 level, DF: Degree of freedom

Table 6.1: Activity of plant extract oils (5µl/disc) against keratinophilic fungi

Plant parts	Inhibition zone (mm)				
	A.niger	T.rubrum	R.microspours	albicans	C.neoformans
Cymbopogon citrates(leaf)	32.00 (0.570±0.047)	30.00 (0.522±0.048)	10.00 (3.239±0.063)	15.00 (3.937±0.026)	30.00 (5.522±0.059)
Cymbopogon nardus (leaf)	32.00 (0.570±0.060)	12.00 (3.533±0.077)	15.00 (3.933±0.103)	25.00 (5.049±0.040)	12.00 (3.526±0.150)
Mentha piperita (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	28.00 (5.337±0.074)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	25.00 (5.049±0.042)	20.00 (4.527±0.045)
Ocimum gra tissimum (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	32.00 (5.699±0.080)	10.00 (3.234±0.118)	30.00 (5.522±0.046)	20.00 (4.526±0.077)
Ocimum gra tissimum (leaf)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	25.00 (5.046±0.106)	0.00 (0.707±0.001)	20.00 (4.527±0.045)	20.00 (4.526±0.071)
CD at 5%	0.102	0.239	0.228	0.122	0.266

- Parenthesis value (Mean ±SE) is based on Square Root Transformation of original data
- CD: Critical difference at 5% calculated for transformed data

Table 6.3: Analysis of Variance for plant extract oils (5µl/disc) against keratinophilic fungi in different inhibition zone (mm)

Source of Variation	DF	Mean Sum of Square				
		A.niger	T.rubrum	R.microspours	albicans	C.neoformans
Plant extract oil from different parts	4	29.9162**	3.0255**	9.4736**	1.4640**	1.9915**
Error	15	0.0046	0.0251	0.0229	0.0066	0.03114
Total	19	6.3018	0.6568	2.0126	0.3134	0.4438

** - significant at p<0.01 level, DF: Degree of freedom

Table 7.1: Activity of Antifungal (1mg/ml) against keratinophilic fungi

Plant Parts	Inhibition zone (mm)				
	A.niger	T.rubrum	R. microsporus	C. albicans	C. neoformans
Fluconozole (F)	8.00	-	9.00	-	27.00
Ketoconozole (K)	-	25.00	-	-	-
Nystatin N)	-	-	-	20.00	-

IV. CONCLUSION

With the increasing interest and so many promising drug candidates in the current development pipeline that are of

natural origin, and with the lessening of technical drawbacks associated with natural product research, there are better opportunities to explore the biological activity of previously inaccessible sources of natural products. In addition, the

increasing acceptance that the chemical diversity of natural products is well suited to provide the core scaffolds for future drugs, there will be further developments in the use of novel natural products and chemical libraries based on natural products in drug discovery campaigns.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdel Fattah H.M., Moubasher A.N. and Maghazy S.M. (1982) Keratinophilic fungi in Egyptian soils. *Mycopathologia*, 79:49-53.
- [2] Ali Shatyeh M.S. (1988) Keratinophilic fungi isolated from children sand pits in the Nablus area, west bank of Jordan. *Mycopathologia*, 103:141-146.
- [3] Arun T., Saranya M.s. and Yappan P.I (2012) In vitro antibacterial activity and preliminary phytochemical analysis of leaf extracts of *Argemone Mexicana* Linn – a medicinal plant. *International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research*, 4 (3) : 85-87
- [4] Chitte R. R., Nalawade V.K. and Dey S. (1999) Keratinolytic activity from the broth of a feather – degrading thermophilic *Streptomyces thermoviolaceus* strain SD8. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 28:131-1316.
- [5] Chmel L., Hasililikova A., Narako J. And Vlacilikova A. (1972) The influence of some ecological factors on keratinophilic fungi in the soil. *Sabouraudia*, 10: 26-34.
- [6] Deshmukh S.K. and Agarwal S.C. (1983) Prevalence of dermatophytes and other keratinophilic fungi in soils of Madhya Pradesh (India). *Mykosen*, 26:574-577.
- [7] Deshmukh S.K. (2002) Incidence of keratinophilic fungi from selected soils of Kerala state (India). *Mycopathologia*, 156:177-181.
- [8] Deshmukh S.K. (2004) Keratinophilic fungi on feathers of pigeon in Maharashtra India. *Mycoses*, 47:213-215.
- [9] Devi S.t., Padmaja J.I., Sastry N.Y. and Nagamani A.(2012) A study on antidermatophytic potential of selected ethno-medicinal plants against *Trichophyton rubrum* common etiologic agent in and around Visakhapatnam region (India). *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 2:S 1874-1878.
- [10] Dixit A.K. and Kushwaha R.K.s. (1990) Keratinophilic fungi from Andaman Islands. *Indian Phytopath.* 30(3):349.
- [11] Ewansiha J.U., Garba S.A., Mawak J.D. and Oyewole O.A. (2012) Antimicrobial activity of *Cymbopogon Citratus* (Lemon Grass) and its phytochemical properties. *Frontiers in Science*, 2(6):214-220.
- [12] Fashanu S.O. and Efuntoye M.O. (2002) Occurrence of Keratinophilic fungi and dermatophytes in domestic birds in Nigeria. *Mycopathologia*. 153:87-89.
- [13] Filipello Marchisio V., Cujrretti D., Cassinelli C. And Bordense C. (1991) Keratinolytic and keratinophilic fungi in soils of Papua, New Guinea. *Mycopathologia*, 115 : 113-119.
- [14] Goyal S., Kumar S., Rawat R.P. and Dhaliwal N. (2013) Antifungal activity of *Calotropis procera* towards dermatophytes. *IJAPBC.*, 2(3): 470-472.
- [15] Inouye S., Takizawa T. And Yamaguchi H. (2001) Antibacterial activity of essential oils and their major constituents against respiratory tract pathogens by gaseous contact. *J Antimicrob Chemother.*, 47:565-573.
- [16] Jain S.K. (1971) Ethno-botany of central Indian tribals, *J. Indian Bot., Soc. Abstr.*, 1(6):63.
- [17] Jessup C.J., Warner J. And Ishan N. (2000) Antifungal susceptibility testing of dermatophytes establishing a medium for inducing conidial growth and evaluation of susceptibility of clinical isolates. *J Clin Microbiol.*, 38:341-344.
- [18] Kumar S.S., Sharma A., Sharma P. And Agrawal R.D. (2012) Antidermatophytic activities of different plant parts extract against *Trichophyton rubrum* and *Candida albicans* isolated from HIV+ve of Jaipur District Rajasthan. *Asian Journal of Biochemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 1(2):146-152.
- [19] Mahesh B. And Satish S. (2008) Antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plants against plant and human pathogen. *World Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4:839-843.
- [20] Nath V. And Khatri P.K. (2010) Traditional knowledge on ethno-medicinal uses prevailing in tribal pockets of Chhindwara and Betul district (Madhya Pradesh) India. *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, 4 (9) : 662-670.
- [21] Nejjad S.B. and Deokule S.S. (2009) Anti-dermatophytic activity of *Drynari quercifolia* (L.) J. Smith. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology*, 2(1) :25-30.

- [22] Rao S., Abdul R., Bhargavi and Prathusha R. (2013) Comparative in vitro study of different antifungal agents on selective pathogenic fungi. *Advanced Bio Tech.*, 13:131-181.
- [23] Sagar K. And Vidyasagar G.M. (2013) Antidermatophytic activity of some traditionally used medicinal plants of north Karnataka region. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, 3 (2) : 77-83.
- [24] Sandya S.G. and Sandya K. (2015) Ethnomedicinal plants used by Baiga tribes in Mandla district Madhya Pradesh (India). *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 4 (2):2017-2020.
- [25] Singatwadia A. And Katewa S.S. (2001) In vitro studies on antifungal activity of essential oil of *Cymbopogon martini* and *Cymbopogon citrates*. *Indian perfume.*, 45:53-55.