Literature Review on Maintenance Optimization In Manufacturing System

Shubham Tiwari[1], Rahul Jibkate[2], Swapnil Karade[3]
[1, 3] Dept of Mechanical Engineering
[2]Assistant Professor, Dept of Mechanical Engineering
[1, 2, 3] G.H Raisoni College of Engineering, Nagpur,

Abstract*- The objective of the support streamlining process is to choose the suitable upkeep method for each bit of gear inside a framework and recognizing the periodicity that the support procedure ought to be directed to accomplish administrative prerequisites, upkeep targets concerning wellbeing, hardware unwavering quality, framework accessibility and expenses. This paper displays a prescient upkeep show for a breaking down framework in an assembling industry. In the weakening procedure the essential parameters of the framework step by step compound, and if left unattended, the procedure prompts crumbling disappointment of the entire framework. In this examination we consider discrete stage decay, where the principal arrange is a decent stage and the last stage is the fizzled organize. Amid the life time of a machine the breakdown in the framework can be amended by prompt remedial upkeep programs and the decay of the framework can be fathomed by appropriate preventive support plan. The remedial and preventive systems of support bring the assembling framework into a decent and new phase of operation. Utilizing Markov chains, this examination presents shut frame investigative answers for the execution measures of the model. The investigation additionally exhibits calculations to locate the ideal model parameters that expand the framework accessibility. An ideal outline of the condition based models is fundamental for getting a shut frame arrangement*

Keywords- Breakdown Maintenance, Predictive maintenance, Deterioration failure.

I. INTRODUCTION

Upkeep can be characterized as all activities fitting for holding a thing/part/hardware in, or reestablishing it to a given condition. Upkeep is required to repair broken supplies, save hardware conditions and keep their disappointment, which at last diminishes creation misfortune and downtime and in addition th natural and the related security dangers [1]. The investigation of support models [2] for assembling frameworks finding the ideal upkeep designs that limit general upkeep cost or boost a framework execution measures. Because of the expanded request of these models, the vast majority of the prominent models are joined with upkeep administration programming, which are produced in view of the support hypothesis. The advancement of upkeep models has expanded with the development in the unpredictability of current frameworks [3], which thusly has expanded the multifaceted nature of the investigation and arrangement methods. Consequently specialists have swung to the recreation way to deal with take care of these issues. Be that as it may, by and large, reproduction requires more computational time to accomplish the coveted exactness. Since we can't get the shut shape arrangement with recreation, we can just utilize database administration framework advancement strategies to locate the ideal upkeep parameters. In this manner, it is critical to take care of the issue systematically at whatever point conceivable, and utilize different techniques, for example, recreation just when diagnostic arrangements are impractical or computationally costly. In genuine modern operation the outcomes in light of the quantitative acknowledges would not be precisely coordinating to the real outcomes as a result of the concealed parameters related in subjective acknowledges. So a subjective situated quantitative viewpoint is prescribed dependably to get a genuine arrangement

Review : In an assembling industry the real sorts of upkeep utilizing are breakdown support, preventive upkeep and prescient support.

Breakdown support implies the upkeep which is required when a thing has fizzled or exhausted, to take it back to working request. Restorative upkeep is done on all things where the outcomes of disappointment or destroying are not noteworthy.

Preventive support is upkeep performed trying to evade disappointments, superfluous generation misfortune and wellbeing and security building infringement. As gear can't be kept up constantly, some way is expected to choose when it is appropriate to perform upkeep. Ordinarily, this is finished by choosing some upkeep interims, and adhering to this interim pretty much influenced by what you find amid these exercises. Prescient or condition-based support, endeavors to assess the state of gear by performing occasional or nonstop hardware observing. A definitive objective of prescient upkeep is to perform support at a booked point in time when the support action is most financially savvy and before the gear loses execution inside a limit. This is as opposed to time and operation tally based support, where a bit of gear gets kept up whether it needs it or not. Time-based upkeep is work escalated, ineffectual in distinguishing issues that create between planned investigations, and isn't savvy. Most prescient examinations are performed while hardware is in benefit, along these lines limiting interruption of ordinary framework operations. Selection of prescient upkeep can bring about significant cost investment funds and higher framework dependability.

Ordinarily the apparatus deficiencies can be arranged into two primary classifications one is hard blames and the other is delicate issues [6]. Hard blames happen quickly in any decay organize and are by and large erratic, which implies that a disappointment can't be counteracted and the fizzled framework must certainty be reestablished by a restorative activity. By and large, these issues happen because of outside causes and are not caused by the state of the framework. However, delicate deficiencies develop slowly with time and prompt an anticipated circumstance that fits condition observing by investigation. For the most part, these flaws happen because of crumbling instruments.

The hard or delicate nature of a blame directly affects the start of a reclamation activity. Consider the accompanying cases specified as takes after.

Consider the instance of an optical detecting machine in a link width checking organization. An enlightening globule can wear out in any crumbling phase of the machine and stop its operation. The globule at that point can be substituted for a little cost and in a brief span, with no impact on the viable age or crumbling of the machine.

For another situation the absence of refrigerant in the gas compressor of a HVAC framework because of uncalled for review and preventive support can prompt genuine harm of the chilling framework and the compressor may must be supplanted.

II. SYSTEM ANALYSIS

The Markov chain corresponding to the condition based model is shown in the figure 1

5.1 State Space Description

S (i, 0) System is operating in the deterioration stage i, $1 \leq i \leq k$

S (i, 1) System is under inspection in the deterioration stage i, $1 \leq i \leq n$

S (k, 1) System is under inspection after the preventive maintenance threshold deterioration stage; ie, System is under inspection in a deterioration

stage i, where i ε [n + 1, k]

S (d, 1) System has failed due to deterioration, and it is under corrective maintenance

S (m, 1) System is under preventive maintenance

Availability Analysis

On the basis of the available data such as vibration, noise, temperature etc from the machine we can diagnose the condition of the machine. If we are properly monitoring the machine the scheduled maintenance can be planed as per requirement and the breakdown maintenance can be avoided to a certain extent. If we are applying the Markov chain it looks difficult to solve analytically even for this simplified condition based maintenance model. So we can we can use the decomposition rules [9] and then we can provide results directly, without solving corresponding steady-state frequency balance equations of Chapman-Kolmogorov explicitly.

Define —

$$f \equiv \frac{k\lambda_d}{\mu_d};\quad e \equiv \frac{\mu}{\mu_m};\quad h \equiv \frac{\mu}{\mu_m};\quad r \equiv \frac{k\lambda_d}{\mu_{in}};$$

$$e \equiv \frac{\lambda}{\mu_m};\quad a \equiv \frac{k\lambda_d}{k\lambda_d + \lambda_{in}};\quad b \equiv a^{k-n};\quad c \equiv a.\frac{1-b}{1-a};$$

After simplifications, we can find the following relationships between the state probabilities:

$$P(n,0) = \mathbf{L} = P(2,0) = P(1,0)$$

$$P(n,1) = \mathbf{L} = P(1,1) = e.P(1,0)$$

$$P(k,0) = \mathbf{L} = a^{k-n}.P(n,0) = b.P(1,0)$$

$$P(n+1,0) + \mathbf{L} + P(k,0) = \mathbf{L} = c.P(1,0)$$

$$P(d,1) = P(k,0).\frac{k.\lambda_d}{\mu_d} = b.f.P(1,0)$$

$$P(k,1) = c..P(1,0).\frac{\lambda}{\mu i_{in}} = c.e.P(1,0)$$

$$P(m,1) = .P(k,0).\frac{\mu}{\mu i_m} = c..e.g..P(1,0)$$

$$P(n,0) = \cdot = P(2,0) = P(1,0) \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots(2)$$

Because the sum of probabilities of all states is equivalent to unity, we have

$$P(1.0) = \frac{1}{(1+e).n + c + b.f + c.e + c.e.g} \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots(3)$$

System availability is the sum of the probabilities of all working states Therefore:

$$A = \sum^{k} P(i,0)\,\mathrm{A}$$

$$= \frac{n+c}{(1+e).n + c + b.f + c.e + c.e.g} \quad \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots(4)$$

Using equation (2) and (4), we can easily find other measures such as mean time between failures, frequency of failures, frequencies and probabilities of inspections, breakdown maintenances, and preventive maintenances.

Case: 1 With the help of a case study we can analyze the condition of wire drawing machine which is highly critical in the cable industry. The deterioration of the machine is identified at a maintenance facility using with predictive maintenance monitoring techniques that include emission and vibration analysis. The deterioration of the machine is classified into seven stages where the last stage is a failed stage. It is observed that the mean time between two successive deterioration stages is 1000 hours when no maintenances are performed. The mean time to inspect and analyze machine condition is about 2 hours. On average, the break-down (corrective) maintenance takes 100 hours and preventive maintenance takes 20 hours. Currently, condition monitoring is performed on average once in every 200 hours. Preventive maintenance is initiated when the condition monitoring identifies the machine deteriorated as beyond the fourth level which is more than 50 percentage of deterioration. Hence, the parameters of the system are as follows.

$k = 7$, $n = 4$, $\lambda_d = 0.001$, $\lambda_{in} = 0.005$, $\mu_d = 0.01$, $\mu_m = 0.05$, $\mu_{in} = 0.5$.

Therefore, from eqs (2), (3), and (4), we have A =0.944257, P(1, 0) = 0.184349, P(d, 1) =
0.025615, and P(m, 1) = 0.020686. It should be noted that availability without using predictive maintenance programme condition based maintenance i.e., with breakdown
μ_d
policy , is

Further:
$\lambda_d + \mu_d = 0.909$.

Frequency of CMs = P(d, 1) $\cdot$ μ_d = 0.000256

III. CONCLUSION

The paper discusses the need of predictive maintenance in the manufacturing companies which is imperative for the existence of the manufacturing system to avoid production loss due to the unexpected impact of machinery breakdown. A generalized model is presented with some case studies which is related to actual maintenance complaints generally happening in manufacturing industries. The solution formulated in this analysis is based on the data taken from the real problems from live industries and it can be applied in massive scale manufacturing organizations for maintenance operations.

REFERENCES

[1] Maxim Finkelstein (2008), “Failure Rate Modelling for Reliability and Risk” Springer, London.
[2] Toshio Nakagawa (2005), “Maintenance Theory of Reliability” Springer, London.
[3] Nakagawa (2007), “Advanced Reliability Models and Maintenance Policies”.
Springer, London
[4] Rausand M, Hoyland A (2004) System Reliability Theory J Wiley & Sons, Hoboken NJ.
[5] M.M. Hosseini, R.M. Kerr, R.B. Randall (2000), “An inspection model with minimal and major maintenance for a system with deterioration and Poisson failures”, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, vol. 49, pp. 88-98.
[6] F.K. Martin (1994), “A review by discussion of conditions monitoring and fault diagnosis in machine tools”, International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacturers, vol. 34, pp 527-551.
[7] S.H. Sim, J. Endrenyi (1993), “A failure-repair model with minimal and major maintenance”, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, vol. 42, pp. 134-140.
[8] Suprasad (1997), “Reliability, risk and fault-tolerance of complex systems”, PhD Dissertation, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur.
[9] Amari (2000), “A closed-form solution for an inspection model with minimal and major maintenance”, Relex Software Corporation, USA.
[10] A.N. Rao, B. Bhadury (2000), “Opportunistic maintenance of a multi-equipment system: a case study”, Quality and Reliability Engineering International, vol. 16, pp.487-500.
[11] C. Valdez-Flores, R.M. Feldman, “A survey of preventive maintenance models for stochastically deteriorating single-unit systems”, Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, vol. 36, pp. 419-446.
[12] Hongzhou Wang (2005), “Reliability and Optimal Maintenance”, Springer, Germany.