Study And Comparitive Analysis of AODV And DSR Routing Protocols In Wireless Adhoc Network Using Network Simulator

S.Malathi[1], S.Mythili[2]
Department of Computer Science
[1, 2]Siri PSG Arts and Science College for Women, Sankagiri, Salem.

Abstract-*A wireless Ad-hoc network is a collection on self configuring wireless nodes, without any central administration. Due to the mobility the nature of the nodes in dynamic. Routing in WANET has immense challenges due to dynamic network topology, limited bandwidth, and limited transmission range, and power constraints and then they can communicate with each other directly. Otherwise the nodes can't communicate other. Due to mobility nature in the network, we need routing protocols that can handle the numerous changes in the topology without any lose in communication. Here main aim of this paper is to simulate and analyze the dynamic performance of ad hoc routing protocols of AODV AND DSR. That performance is basis of Packet delivery ratio, packet dropped ratio, Average end-to-end delay, throughput, and false packets are measured as performance parameter for evaluating the performance of AODV and DSR protocols.*

Keywords: *WANET, AODV, DSR, Performance Matrices, NS 2 simulation.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In WANET Wireless nodes communicate with each other using multihop wireless links without infrastructure. Every node in the network act as a router as well as packet forwarding agency for other nodes. A central challenge in the design of WANET is the development of dynamic routing protocols that can efficiently find routes between two communicating nodes. In WANET nodes moves randomly, therefore the network may experience sudden and unpredictable change in topology. Nodes in WANET normally have limited transmission ranges, therefore some nodes cannot communicate directly to other nodes and those are beyond the limit of range of Wireless node. So many protocols have been proposed WANETs for achieving the efficient routing. Every protocol uses a new searching methodology for new route or modifying a known route, when hosts move. Energy consumptions in WANET are very critical issue. Because, Wireless devices have limited battery power and processing power. So routing protocols is very important for path selection and route recovery in WANET.

II. RELATED WORK

Romisha Arora, Noor Fatima Rizvi et al [1] they analyzed three types of protocols of AODV (Adhoc on-demand distance vector routing), DSR (dynamic source routing), and LAR (location aided Routing) using Glomosim simulator. The DSR was given a poor result based on end-to-end delay and throughput.

Preeti Gaharwar, Mr. Sunil R. Gupta et al [2]they analyzed three types' protocols of DSDV, DSR and AODV used the tools of network simulator 2 based the matrices of packet drop, routing overhead The AODV had given a better performance than DSDV and DSR.

Mandeep Kaur Gulati and Krishnan Kumar et al [3] They analyzed three types protocols of DSDV, DSR and AODV used the tool of network simulator 2 based the matrices of packet drop,, and jitter. The AODV had given a better performance than DSDV and DSR.

Dhakal, Kiran Gautam et al [4]they analyzed both of AODV and DSR reactive routing protocols used the real test bed of NS2, Qualnet; Opnet based the performance metrics of node delay, traffic, and packet drop. Then the Result is AODV better.

Narendra Mohan Mittal, Dr. Prem Chand Vashist et al [5] They analyzed three types protocols of DSDV, DSR and AODV used the tool of network simulator 2 based the matrices of packet drop,, end-to-end delay. The AODV had given the best performance of VANET.

Gagangeet Singh Aujla, Sandeep Singh Kang et al [6] they analyzed in AODV, DSR, TORA, and OLSR Protocols and used analyze the tools of Opnet simulator. Finally the AODV had given a best performance than DSR, TORA, OLSR protocols.

Ankit Sharma and Kamal Kumar et al [7]They analyzed in AODV DSDV and DSR routing Protocols based on traffic and used the test the performance Tool of Network

Simulator 2.finally they shown the result is DSDV and DSR good choices in WANET.

Pradeep Mittal and Anit Kumar et al [8] they analyzed the Performance of AODV and DSR used the Tool of Network Simulator 2.The DSR had given a Best Performance Based the Following matrics of node delay and packet loss.

Ambica Raina, Akhil Gupta et al [9] they analyzed the Performance of AODV and DSR Protocols in Static network with used the Test tool of Qualnet Network Simulator. The performances based on Route Discovery even The DSR given the Better Result.

III. PROPOSED SCHEME

In reactive routing protocols, a route is discovered only when needed. A source node initiates route discovery by broadcasting route query or request messages into the network. All nodes maintain the discovered routes in their routing tables. However, only valid routes are kept and old routes are deleted after an active route timeout. The scheme improves network routing efficiency preventing the use of stale routes. A serious issue for WANETs arises when link failure occur due to high mobility. At the same time new links may also be established between previously distant nodes. This significantly increases the network broadcast traffic with rapid link/break effect of intermediate nodes. Therefore, reactive routing protocols are subjected to an increase in network control overhead. The following sections discuss some of the reactive ad hoc routing protocols.

Dynamic Source Routing (DSR) Protocol:

DSR in an entirely on-demand ad hoc network routing protocols composed of two parts: route discovery and route maintenance. In DSR, when node has a packet to send some destination and does not currently have a route to that destination in its route cache, the node initiates route discovery to find a route. This node is known as the initiator of the route discovery. And the destination of the packet is known as the discovery's target. The initiator transmits a ROUTE REQUEST packet as a local broadcast, specifying the target and unique identifier from the initiator. Each node receiving the ROUTE REQUEST, if it has recently seen this request identifier from the initiator, discards the REQUEST .otherwise, it appends its own node address to a list in the REQUEST and rebroadcasts the REQUEST. When the ROUTE REQUEST reaches its target node, the target sends a ROUTE REPLY back to the initiator of the REQUEST, including a copy of the accumulated list of addresses from the

REQUEST. When the REPLY reaches the initiator of the REQUEST, it caches the new route in its route cache. Route maintenance is the mechanism by which a node sending a packet along a specified route to some destination detects if that route has broken, for example because of two nodes in it have moved too far apart.DSR is based on source routing: when sending a packet is to be forwarded. Each node along the route forwards the packet to the next hop indicated in the packets header, and attempts to confirm that the packet was received by that next node. A node may confirm this by means of a link-layer acknowledgement. If after a limited number of local retransmissions of the packet, a node in the route is unable to make this confirmation, it returns a ROUTE ERROR to the original source of the packet, identifying the link from itself to the next node as broken; the sender then removes this broken link from its route cache. For subsequent packets to this destination, the sender may use any other route to that destination in its cache, or it may attempt a new route discovery for that if necessary.

Ad Hoc on-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing Protocol:

The AODV routing protocol is an "on Demand "routing protocol, which means that routes are established when they are required. This routing protocol is based on transmitting route reply (RREP)packets back to the source node and routing data packets to their destination. Used algorithm consists of two steps: route discovery and route maintenance. Route discovery process begins when one of the nodes wants to send packets. That node sends route request (RREQ) packets to its neighbors. Neighbors return RREP packets if they have a corresponding route to destination. However, if they don't have a corresponding route, they forward RREQ packets to their neighbors, except the origin node. Also they use these packets to build reverse paths to the source node. These processes occur until a route has been found. Routing tables which only have information about the next hop and destination are used for routing information maintenance. When a route link disconnects, for example a Wireless node out of range, neighbor nodes will notice the absence of this link. If so neighbor nodes will check whether there is any route in their routing tables which uses a broken link. It exists all sources that send traffic over the broken link will be confirmed with route error(RRER)packet source node will generate a new RREQ packet, if there is still a need for packet transmission.

IV. NS-2 SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT

Simulation Model

Here we give the significance for the evaluation of performance of Ad hoc routing protocol with varying the number of Wireless nodes. The network simulations have been done using network simulator NS-2.the network simulator NS-2 discrete event simulation software for network simulations which means it simulates events such as sending, receiving, forwarding and dropping packets. The latest version, ns all-in one-2.35, supports simulation for routing protocols for ad hoc wireless networks such as AODV, DSR.NS2 is an object oriented simulator, written in C++, with an OTcl interpreter as a front-end. This means that most of the simulation scripts are created in Tcl (Tool Command language).If the components have to be developed for ns2, and then both tcl and C++ have to be used. To run simulation with NS-2.35, the user must write the OTCL simulation script. We get the simulation results in an output trace file and here we analyzed the experimental results by using the awk command. The performance parameters are graphically visualized in GRAPH.NS-2 also offers a visual representation of the simulated network by tracing nodes movements and events writing them in a network animator (NAM)

Simulation Parameters

In our work, the performance of routing protocols AODV and DSR is evaluated by varying the network size (number of nodes).here below mentioned table shows the simulation parameters used in NS 2 simulation.

PARAMETERS NAME	DSR	AODV
NS version	NS 2-34	NS 2-34
Channel type	Wireless channel	Wireless channel
Mac protocol	Mac/802.11	Mac/802.11
Radio propagation	Two ray ground	Two ray ground
Antenna type	Omni antenna	Omni antenna
Mobility model	Random way point	Random way point
Mobility	60 m/s	60 m/s
Ifq	Queue/drop tail/priqueue	Queue/drop tail/priqueue
Ifqlen	50	50
Packet size	512 bytes	512 bytes
Number of nodes	30,40,50.60.70	30,40,50.60.70

Routing protocol	DSR	AODV
Area	200*200	200*200
Transmission range	250 m	250 m
Simulation time	1500 sec	1500 sec
Topology	Hybrid topology	Hybrid topology
Traffic type	CBR(DSR)	CBR(AODV)
Link layer type	LL	LL

Table 1.Simulation Parameters

Performance Metrics

The performance is measured on the basis of some parameters which are described as follows

a) Packet delivery ratio

It is the percentage ratio between the number of packets sent by sources and the number of received packets at the sinks or destination.

$$PDR = \frac{\sum \text{No of packets received at destination}}{\sum \text{No of packets sent by source}} * 100$$

b) Packet Drop Ratio

The total number of packets dropped during the simulation.

Packet dropped ratio = Number of packet send – Number of packet received.

The lower value of the packet lost means the better performance of the protocol.

c) End –to-end delay

Average end –to –end delay signifies how long it will take a packet to travel from source to destination node. It includes delays due to route discovery. Propagation delay transfer time.

$$\text{Average end-to-end delay} = \frac{\sum e}{p}$$

d) Throughput

The average rate of successful packet delivery over a communication channel called throughput. The throughput is usually measured in bit/s or data packets/sec. It is the ratio of the total amount of data that reaches a receiver from a sender to the time it takes for the receiver to get the last packet. When comparing the routing throughput by each of the protocols, DSR has the high throughput. It measures of effectiveness of a routing protocol. The throughput value of AODV slowly increases initially and maintains its value when the time increases. AODV performs well than DSDV since AODV is an on-demand protocol. The throughput value of DSR increases at lower pause time and grows as the time increases. Hence, DSR shows better performance with respect to throughput among these two protocols.

It is mathematically defined

Throughput =No of Packets/Per Unit Time

N is defined into no of packets received in all Destinations.

e) False packet

The false packet in measurement of how much of packets dropped and except remaining packets are false packets.

False packet = total no of packets ─ total no dropped packets =no of remaining packets

V. ANALYSIS TABLE

a) Comparison table of AODV and DSR for 30 nodes

PARAMETERS	AODV	DSR
Packet Delivery Ratio	96.5825	91.0204
Throughput	96.5825	91.0204
Dropped packets	10	19
End-to-End delay	42.0624	70.9782
False packet Ratio	10	19

Table 2.Comprison of AODV and DSR for 30 nodes

b) Comparison table of AODV and DSR for 40 nodes

PARAMETERS	AODV	DSR
Packet Delivery Ratio	97.035	96.666
Throughput	97.035	96.666
Dropped packets	17	32
End-to-End delay	96.19	97.9226
False packet Ratio	17	32

Table 2.Comprison of AODV and DSR for 40 nodes

c) Comparison table of AODV and DSR for 50 nodes

PARAMETERS	AODV	DSR
Packet Delivery Ratio	98.6911	92.3947
Throughput	98.6911	92.3947
Dropped packets	-	5
End to End Delay	163.789	182.973
False packet	0	5

Table 3.Comprison of AODV and DSR for 50 nodes

d) Comparison table of AODV and DSR for 60 nodes

PARAMETERS	AODV	DSR
Packet delivery ratio	96.8677	87.4311
Throughput	96.8677	87.4311
Dropped packets	11	16
End-to-End delay	160.221	192.074
False packet Ratio	11	16

Table 4.Comprison of AODV and DSR for 60 nodes

e) Comparison table of AODV and DSR for 70 nodes

PARAMETERS	AODV	DSR
Packet delivery ratio	98.1379	96.6393
Throughput	98.1379	96.6393
Dropped packets	14	15
End-to-End delay	159.404	203.378
False packet Ratio	14	15

Table 5.Comprison of AODV and DSR for 70 nodes

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

a) Packet Delivery Ratio



Figure 1.Packet Delivery Ratio

b) Packet Drop Ratio

Figure 2.Packet Drop Ratio

C) Throughput

Figure 3.Throughput

d) Average End-to-End Delay

Figure 4.Ene-End Delay

e) False Packet

Figure 5.False Packet

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper performance comparison of DSR and AODV routing protocols for Wireless Ad hoc network is done as a function of number of nodes (network size).performance metrics such as Packet delivery ratio, packet dropped ratio, Average end-to-end delay, throughput, and false packets .In our assumed scenario AODV (ad hoc on-demand distance vector) shows best performance than DSR (dynamic source routing).

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

[1] Romisha Arora, Noor Fatima Rizvi Assistant Professor, "A Behavioral Comparison of LAR with AODV and DSR Routing Protocols" they published their research paper in" International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering on Vol. 2, Issue 1, January 2014.

[2] Preeti Gaharwar, Mr. Sunil R. Gupta" Performance Comparison of Routing Protocols" they published their research paper in "international Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering Vol. 2, Issue 4, April 2013.

[3] Mandeep Kaur Gulati and Krishnan Kumar " performance comparison of Wireless ad hoc network routing protocols" they published their research paper in "International journal of computer Networks & Communications" on march 2014.

[4] Dependra Dhakal, Kiran Gautam "Performance comparison of AODV and DSR routing protocols in WANET". They published their research paper in "international journal of Engineering science and innovative Technology" on May 2013.

[5] Narendra Mohan Mittal, Dr. Prem Chand Vashist, "Performance evaluation of AODV and DSR protocols in VANET".They published the their research paper in "International Journal of emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering "on June 2014

[6] Gagangeet Singh Aujla, Sandeep Singh Kang " Comprehensive Evaluation of AODV, DSR, GRP, OLSR and TORA Routing Protocols with varying number of nodes and traffic applications over WANETs" They published their research paper in "IOSR journal of computer engineering".

[7] Gurdeep Kaur, Vinay Bhatia, Dushyant Gupta," Comparative Study of the performance of existing

protocols of WANET with simulation and justification of an improved Routing Protocol "They Published their Research Paper in" International Journal of Advanced Research in Electronics and Communication Engineering (IJARECE) Volume 6, Issue 6, June 2017.

[8] Rakhi Purohit and Bright Keswani " Design and Validation of New Routing Protocol in WANET for Optimal Performance" They Published the Research Paper in "International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, VOL.17 No.2, February 2017.

[9] S.Alagamuthukrishnan, Dr.K.Geetha and A.Ancy Mary "Monitoring the Misbehaving Nodes in WANET using Audit-Based Misbehavior Detection (AMD) Method" They Published Their Research Paper in " Asian Journal of Applied Science and Technology (AJAST) Volume 1, Issue 3, April 2017

[10] J. Kaur, G.Singh "WANEt Routing Protocols: A Review" they published their research paper in international journal of computer sciences and engineering volume 5, issue 3 in March 2017