# Status And Diversity Of Fish Fauna In Shanthi Sagar Lake Of Davangere District, Karnataka

S.Thirumala<sup>1</sup>, B.R.Kiran<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept of Environmental Science
<sup>2</sup>Research & Teaching Assistant, Dept of Environmental Science
<sup>1</sup>Government First Grade College & P.G. Center,
Near Anubav Mantapa, Davanagere-577004, Karnataka.
<sup>2</sup>DDE, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta-577451, Karnataka

Abstract- The fish diversity of the Shanthisagar lake was studied by monthly samples taken from January to September 2015. This lake supported 16fish species belonging to 04 orders with 07 families and 13 genera. Among fish orders Cypriniformes was dominant with 52.94% followed by Perciformes with 23.53%. As far as biodiversity status (IUCN-1994) is concerned, 04 species is Not assessed (23.53%), 08 species as lower risk-near threatened (47.06%), vulnerable 01 species (5.88%), lower risk least concern is 03 (17.65%) and 01 species included under the category of Endangered with 5.88% respectively. Hence, proper management and utilization of this fish wealth is necessary to take up the sustainable steps to monitor and conserve fish health.

*Keywords*- Biodiversity status, Fish fauna, Shanthi Sagar lake, Davangere district

## I. INTRODUCTION

Biodiversity is absolutely an essential in order to have the stability of different ecosystems for proper functioning of their food chains. Therefore, the recent thrust on biodiversity conservation necessitates the documentation of fauna and flora and identification of biodiversity hot spots in India. Various workers have contributed their notable studies on fishes from Western Ghats areas and studies on the endemic fishes from various types of rivers as well as streams have been compiled by Ponniah & Gopalakrishnan (2000) and Venkateshwarlu (2009). Fish fauna from Shahnawaz and Northern Karnataka (Arunachalam et al., 1997); Cenrtal Western Ghats (Arunachalam, 2000), fish fauna of Bhadra and Tunga reservoirs (Venkateshwarlu et al,. 2002, 2005) have been reported.

Fishes are not only important indicators of ecological health and the abundance, but also maintain a balance in the food chain by consuming plankton and small animals and form food for many animals. This balance in food chain may be affected due to pollution in aquatic system. In addition, there are many threats to fish diversity such as construction of dam, which block the spawning migrations and introduction of exotic species and over fishing. Therefore, knowing the status of fish fauna is indispensible to prevent the loss of particular species (Ramanjaneya and Ganesh, 2016).

However, the study of fish diversity with respect to Shanthi Sagar lake in particular is lacking. Hence, the present investigation is a scientific documentation of fish fauna of Shanthi Sagar lake of Karnataka.

## **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# Study Area

Shanthi Sagara is the <u>Asia</u>'s second largest <u>irrigation</u> <u>water body</u>. It is located in Kerebilchi of <u>Channagiri</u> taluk (Jal effect swells Sulekere) of <u>Davanagere</u> in <u>Karnataka</u>, <u>India</u> (Figure 1).

Shanti Sagara lake, created by an embankment with <u>sluice outlets</u>, built in 1128, the lake has a history of 800 years. It took three years to construct the massive tank. The lake, which has a water spread of 6,550 acres (2,651 ha), has a <u>circumference</u> of 30 km (19 mi). It has a total <u>drainage basin</u> of 81,483 acres (32,975 ha). It <u>irrigates</u> 4,700 acres (1,900 ha) of land and more than 50 villages are benefited by it (A lake with a history of 800 years).

The lake receives the <u>drainage</u> of twenty square miles. All of the drainage pours into the <u>gorge</u> where it is built (the main stream bearing the name of Haridra, a tributary of the Tungabhadra). The <u>embankment</u> is constructed between two hills, and embankment is of no great length; it is around 950 ft (290 m), but it is of stupendous width (Max 120 ft (37 m),min 70 ft (21 m)), height and strength, though not quite straight. The main road connecting between <u>Channagiri</u> and <u>Davanagere</u> pass through on this embankment. It has resisted successfully the floods of centuries, but owing to the great pressure of the volume of the

#### IJSART - Volume 3 Issue 10 –OCTOBER 2017

water in tank (<u>Mysore: a gazetteer compiled for government,</u> Vol 2 Page No. 482).

The present study was conducted for a period of one year from January to September 2015 and fishes were collected with the help of fishermen by using a variety of fishing nets of varying mesh sizes- gillnets, cast nets and dragnets. The fishes were identified as per Jayaram (1999); Talwar and Jhingran, (1991) and Dutta Munshi and Shrivastava (1988).



Figure 1 : Location of the study area (Source: Karnataka agri portal; <u>www.google.co.in</u> ( Google map)

### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Among 17 species of fishes, the family Cyprinidae was the most dominant in the assemblage composition with 09 species followed by Bagridae and Channidae with 02 species each respectively. While, Siluridae, Mastacembalidae, Ambassidae, Cichlidae, each with 01 species respectively (Table 1). The present results get support from other workers like Wakid and Biswas( 2005) and Venkatshwarlu et al.(2007).

Figure 2 and 3 depicts biodiversity status and economic importance of fishes in Shanthi Sagar lake.

As far as biodiversity status (IUCN-1994) is concerned, 04 species is Not assessed (23.53 %), 08 species as lower risk-near threatened (47.06 %), vulnerable 01 species (5.88%), lower risk least concern is 03 (17.65%) and 01 species included under the category of Endangered with 5.88% respectively (Figure 2). Among the fish orders, Cypriniformes was dominant with 09 species (52.94%), followed by Perciformes and Siluriformes with 04 and 03 species (23.53% and 17.65%) and Cyprinodontiformes with one species each respectively (5.88%; Figure 4).

Besides exotic species, construction of water bodies, and over fishing are other detrimental factors

affecting the native fish population. *Puntius* species forms the dominant species followed by *Mystus cavasius* and *Channa* sp. *Ompok bimaculatus* is found to be endangered species (Kharat et al 2001).

The species of *Channa*, *Mastacembelus* have air breathing organs and fetch good market value as live fish. *Puntius* species have ornamental value due to small size and bright colors and are used as aquarium fishes. Though commercially important species are available they are not abundant to make fishery commercial and economical.

The present investigation of ichthyofauna in Shanthi Sagar lake showed that most of the fish species recorded were widely distributed in the streams and rivers of Western Ghats. The fish species like *Garra mullya*, *Cirrhinus* sp,*Salmostoma* and *Puntius* groups were more dominant. Therefore, the present study indicates that cyprinid fishes are found to be the more dominant group than others which is supported by other studies also (Singh et al., 2006).

Anish Dua and Prakash (2009) studied distribution and abundance of fish populations in Harike Wetland A. Ramsagar site in India. Pawar and Pandarkar (2011) studied on water quality in relation to pisciculture of Kelewadi lake, Maharashtra. Narasimha Ramulu and Benarjee (2013) recorded the fish fauna of Nagaram tank, Warangal (AP state) and they reported 30 species belonging to 13 families. Among them 13 species of Cypriniformes, order Siluriformes consists of 7 species, Channiformes consists of 03 species, Perciformes 05 species. Osteoglossiformes 01 and order Atheriniformes consists of 01 species. Thirumala and Kiran (2016) reported 18 fish species from Kudligere tank of Bhadravathi taluk, belonging to 04 orders, 07 families and 15 genera. Among fish families Cyprinidae was dominant with 08 species followed by Bagridae with 03 species, Siluridae and Channidae with 02 species. While, Clariidae, Notopteridae and Cichlidae each with single species respectively.

Introduction of *Oreochromis mossambica* is slowly gaining its phase. Due to least demand for this fish in local market, fishermen treat this fish as an unwanted catch. Scientifically, this fish is regarded as a hardy, territorial and a powerful competitor in nature. Ecologically, these fishes have adverse effect on the native fish species.

The present fish study has also shown that some of fish species recorded were predatory in nature. Sukumaran and Das (2005) have also made the same observation and stated that majority of the reservoirs of Karnataka state have a large population of predatory fish species. Habitat loss and environmental degradation has adversely affected the fish fauna. Human anthropogenic activities also contribute towards the disruption in the balance on aquatic ecosystem. This work will provide future strategies for development and conservation of fish species. Conservation measures require plantation in catchment area and information on illegal fishing. Shivashankar and Venkataramana (2012), Thirumala et al.(2011) and Thirumala and Kiran (2016 & 2017) have studied fish diversity in relation to physico-chemical variables.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

Conservation of fish diversity assumes top most priority under changing circumstances of steady habitat degradation. Knowledge of available resources and the biological characters of species serve the baseline information for further studies on resource protection and maintenance. The findings of this study are expected to benefit the planning and management towards sustainable fishery and conservation programmes of Shanthi Sagar lake .In addition, human anthropogenic activity and surface run off might also influence the fish diversity in the water body. Nevertheless, it is suggested to monitor the water regularly in this lake and appropriate control measures are required to conserve the fish diversity.

#### REFERENCES

- Arunachalam, M. 2000. Assemblage structure of stream fishes in the Western Ghats (India). Hydrobiologia, 430, 1-31.
- [2] Arunachalam, M., J.A. Johnson and A. Sankaranarayanan. 1997a. Fish diversity in rivers of Northern Karnataka. Int. J. Ecol. Env. Sci., 23: 327-333.
- [3] Datta Munshi, J.S. and Srivastava, M.P. (1988). Natural History of the fish and systematic of freshwater fishes of India, Narendra publishing House, Delhi, p. 403.
- [4] Dua Anish and Chander Prakash (2009): Distribution and abundance of fish populations in Harrike wetland – A, Ramsar site in India.J. Environ. Biol. 30(2), 247-251.
- [5] Jal effect swells Sulekere, Asia's second largest tank DNA- Diligent Media Corporation Ltd.<u>A lake with a history of 800 years...</u> The Hindu - Online edition of India's National Newspaper <u>Mysore: a gazetteer compiled for government, Vol 2 Page No. 482</u> Google Books Online.
- [6] Jayaram, K.C. 1999. The Freshwater Fishes of the Indian Region. Narendra Publishing House, Delhi, 551pp+18 pls.

- [7] Kharat, S. S., Dahanukar, N. and R. Raut. 2000-01. J. Ecol Soc, 13/14: 46-51.
- [8] Narasimha Ramulu, K and Benarjee, G.2013. Fish species diversity of Nagaram tank of Warangal, Andhra Pradesh.
  IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology And Food Technology Volume 3, Issue 4 : PP 14-18.
- [9] Pawar. B.A and Pandarkar A.K (2011) : Studies on water quality of Kelewadi lake in relation to Pisciculture, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh. J. Zool. 31(1) : 35-41.
- [10] Ponniah, A.G and Gopalakrishnan, A. 2000. Endemic Fish Diversity of Western Ghats. National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources. 35-53 pp.
- [11] Ramanjaneya and Ganesh C. B.2016. Fish faunal diversity in Tungabhadra Reservoir, Hosapete, Ballari District, Karnataka. International Journal of Research in Fisheries and Aquaculture 2016; 6(2): 21-25.
- [12] Shivashankar. P and G. V. Venkataramana. : Ichthyodiversity status with relation to water quality of Bhadra River, Western Ghats, Shimoga District, Karnataka. Annals of Biological Research, 2012, 3 (10):4893-4903. 2012.
- [13] Singh, S., Omprakash, M., S. Chari and Vardia, H. K. 2006. Diversity of fish fauna in catchment of Mahanadi River in Raipur District of Chhattishgarh. Environment & Ecology, 24 (1), 165-169.
- [14] Sukumaran, P.K. and A.K. Das. 2005.Limnology and fish production efficiencies of selected reservoirs of Karnataka. Indian J. Fish., 52(1): 47-53.
- [15] Shahnawaz, A and M. Venkateshwarlu.2009. A check list of fishes from Tunga and Bhadra rivers, Karnataka, India with special note on their biodiversity status. Current Biotica Vol 3(2):232-243.
- [16] Talwar, P. K and Jhingran, A. G. 1991. Indian Fishes of India and Adjacent Countries. Vol. 1 & 2. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. 1158 pp.
- [17] Thirumala ,S & B. R. Kiran.2017. Comparative Study on Fish Diversity in Two Lentic Water Bodies of Bhadravathi Taluk, Karnataka", International Journal of Computational Research and Development, Volume 2, Issue 1, Page Number 129-133.
- [18] Thirumala, S and B.R.Kiran.2016. Occurrence and distribution of cyprinid fishes in three lentic water bodies of Shivamogga district, Karnataka: A comparative study. International Journal for Innovative Research in Multidisciplinary Field Volume - 2, Issue – 11: 358-361.
- [19] Thirumala. S.,, Kiran. B.R. and Kantaraj.G.S. Fish diversity in relation to physico-chemical characteristics of Bhadra reservoir of Karnataka, India . Advances in Applied Science Research, 2011, 2 (5):34-47.
- [20] Venkateshwarlu M., Johiti Srigowri and Asha Shree H M.(2007). Proceedings on "Diversity and life processes from Ocean and Land". Goa. 156-159.

- [21] Venkateshwarlu, M., M. Shanmugham and H.R. Mallikarjun. 2002. The fish fauna of Bhadra reservoir, Western Ghats. J. Aquatic Biol., 17(1): 9-11.
- [22] Venkateshwarlu, M., D.S. Somashekar, Jothi Srigowri and H.M. Ashashree. 2005. Fish fauna of Tunga reservoir, Karnataka. In: Proceedings of State Level Seminar on Biodiversity and Conservation, Haveri, 22-25.
- [23] Wakid, A. and Biswas, S.P. 2005. Status and diversity of fish fauna in Dibru-Saikhowa National Park, Assam. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society 102(1): 50-55.

Scientific Name	Abundance	Biodiversity	Economic value
I. Order:Cypriniformes Family: Cyprinidae		Status	
Salmostoma untrahi	A-2	NA	Food fish
Salmostoma novacula	A-1	LR-lc	Food fish
Catla catla	A-(3-4)	VU	Food fish
Cirrhinus mrigala (Ham)	A-2	LR-nt	Food fish
Labeo rohita (Ham-Buch)	A-2	LR-nt	Food fish
Cyprinus carpio cummunis (Linnaeus)	A-2	LR-Ic	Food fish
Puntius ticto	A-2	LR-nt	Ornamental
Puntius sophore	A (3-4)	NA	Ornamental
Garra mullya	A-2	LR-nt	Ornamental
II.Order: Siluriformes Family: Bagridae			
Mystus cavasius (Ham-Buch)	A-(3-4)	LR-nt	Food fish
Mystus armatus	A-1	NA	Food fish
Family: Siluridae			
Ompok bimaculatus (Bloch)	A-2	EN	Food fish
III.Order: Perciformes Family: Cichlidae			
Oreochromis mossambica (Peters)	A-(3-4)	NA	Food fish
Family: Ambassidae			
Parambassis ranga	A-1	LR-lc	Ornamental
Family: Channidae			
Channa marulius (Ham-Buch)	A-2	LR-nt	Ornamental/ Food fish
Channa punctatus	A-2	LR-nt	Ornamental/ Food fish
IV. Order : Cyprinodontiformes			
Family: Mastacembelidae			
Mastacembalus armatus	A-(3-4)	LR-nt	Food fish

LR-nt= Lower risk Near threatened; NA-Not assessed, VU- Vulnerable, EN- Endangered; LR-lc- Lower risk least concern.



Figure 2: Biodiversity status (IUCN-1994) of fishes of Shanthi Sagar lake, Karnataka



Figure 3: Economic importance of fishes in Shanthi Sagar lake of Karnataka



Figure 4: Percentage of fish orders of Shanthi Sagar lake, Karnataka