Revolutionizing Risk Assessment: A Novel Machine Learning Approach For Motor Insurance Liability Prediction

Yogesh Selvaraj¹, Surendra Prabu R.V² ¹ Trivandrum, India ²Chennai India

Abstract- Accurately assessing insurance liability is crucial for insurance companies to maintain financial stability and minimize losses. Traditional manual liability prediction in the insurance industry is fraught with challenges such as subjective judgments, inconsistent data interpretation, and time-intensive processes. Human biases and the lack of realtime insights further impede accurate risk assessment, leading to delayed claims processing and potential inaccuracies in determining liability. Traditional rule-based methods often fail to adapt to the dynamic nature of insurance data. In this context, machine learning techniques offer a promising avenue to enhance accuracy and efficiency in predicting insurance liability, thus reducing losses. This research proposes an innovative solution that integrates text mining techniques with a machine learning classification model to enhance liability prediction accuracy while providing transparent model explanations. Additionally, the research explores the application of generative AI (Gen-AI) models to derive liability insights from claims descriptions provided at the First Notice of Loss (FNOL) stage. Leveraging a diverse imbalanced dataset containing historical claims information, policy details, claimant profiles, accident characteristics, and other relevant variables, the model employs advanced data preprocessing techniques, feature engineering, text mining, and state-of-the-art classification algorithms to learn complex patterns and relationships within the data. The solution encompasses multiple steps in building an ML-based system to accurately identify liability, including 1) Data Compilation and Preprocessing, 2) Text Mining and Feature Extraction, 3) Real-world Imbalanced Data, Handling 4) Model Development, 5) Training, Evaluation & Hyper-Parameter Optimization, 6) Performance Metrics and Explain ability Evaluation, and 7) Model Explain ability. By incorporating Gen-AI models to analyze the liability using the claims descriptions at the FNOL stage, this research aims to further enhance the early prediction of liability, providing a comprehensive and efficient approach to insurance liability assessment.

Keywords- Machine Learning, Text Mining, Imbalanced Data,

Light GBM.

I. INTRODUCTION

Managing time expectations is the key driver of satisfaction—meaning, a prompt claim settlement is still the best advertisable punch line for insurance firms. While a new era of claims settlement benchmarks are being set with AI, the industry is shifting their attitude towards embracing the real potential of intelligent technologies that can shave-off valuable time and money from the firm's bottom-line." The insurance claims process involves a series of procedures from the time the insurer is alerted to when a settlement is made in which First notice of loss(FNOL) starts the wheel of the claims cycle and is when the policyholder or any other person notifies the insurer of an unfortunate event. In the case of Motor insurance, a driver or Third Party informs the insurance company of a crash that occurred involving a vehicle.



Fig. 1. General end to end process flow of a Motor claim

Figure 1 shows the process flow of a Motor claim at which the Claims was notified to the insurance firm which is called as the First notice of loss stage and the claims handler whose role is to determine the Liability and the amount of settlement. The claims handler determines the nature and severity of the damage to the policyholder's car. His/her assessment relies on various information regarding the Incident by the reporter and other details regarding the incident.

The idea of this Research is to utilize the historical data of the claims notified and develop a liability prediction model which would enable the handlers to swiftly decide on Claims liability at FNOL Stage by deploying a Machine Learning Model.

II. RESEARCH DESIGN & METHODOLOGY

A. Objective of the Research

The objective of this Research is to utilize the historical data of the claims notified and develop a liability prediction model which would enable the handlers to swiftly decide on Claims liability at FNOL Stage. The idea is to develop a multi classifier model which predicts the Liability of the claim by using a trained model with historical data obtained at the FNOL stage and to predict the liability using Machine Learning Technique during the Final Stage of settlement.

The model is designed to predict in which Liability Group the claim will fall into as mentioned below,

FULLY LIABLE	SPLIT LIABLE	NOT LIABLE
--------------	--------------	------------

B. Data compiling and Pre-Processing

Claims notified for 3 years are collected and have extracted certain information of the claims at FNOL Stage which are relevant for the objective and have mapped certain variables in order to reduce the complexity of the data. The model is trained only using the claims which were settled to predict the Liability at the time of settlement.



Figure 2 represents the Input variables and the Target variable at which the model is predicting.

C. Text Mining and Feature Extraction

Text Mined the Description entered by handlers which is the free Text entered by the handlers about the event based on the information they receive as they are some vital information which can be fed into the model to identify the Liability.

The following Text Mining operations have been done on the claim handlers description to convert the free text

Count Vectorizer captures the presence of words but doesn't consider the significance of individual words within a document. This led us to try out some other enhanced bag of words models. Hence we tried other enhanced bag of words models.

The second model we evaluated is the term frequency-inverse document frequency (TF-IDF) bag of words model. In this model, documents are still represented as vectors, but instead of binary values (0s and 1s), each word in the document is assigned a score. These scores are computed by multiplying the term frequency (TF) and inverse document frequency (IDF) values for each word. Therefore, the score of any word in any document can be expressed using the following equation:

TFIDF(*word*, *doc*) = *TF*(*word*, *doc*) * *IDF*(*word*)

Hence, within this approach, two matrices must be computed: one encompassing the inverse document frequency for each word across the entire corpus of documents, and another encompassing the term frequency for each word within each individual document. The formulas for calculating both matrices are as follows:

$$TF(word, doc) = \frac{Frequency of word \in thedoc}{No. of words \in thedoc}$$
$$IDF(word) = log_e \left(1 + \frac{No. of docs}{No. of docswithword}\right)$$

The TF-IDF model performs effectively by assigning significance to less common words, as opposed to treating all words equally, as seen in the binary bag-of-words model.

Description example : "It is alleged that our insured collide with the rear of Third Party's vehicle"

Doc/	allege	Insure	rear	thirdparty
Words	Insure	collide		
D1	0.1	0.1	0.17	0.27
D2	0	0.09	0	0.01
D3	0.18	0	0.09	0
D4	0	0	0	0

Table 2. TFIDF Vector for the description

Approach Using Gen-AI

One possible approach to crafting the Claims Description involves leveraging Gen-AI. By utilizing Gen-AI models, it becomes feasible to analyze the accident details provided by the reporting party. These models can interpret the information and assist in generating informed decisions based on the described circumstances.

Claim Descriptions: The dataset consists of textual descriptions of accidents provided by claimants. Each entry may describe the event in detail, including parties involved, circumstances of the accident, and other relevant facts.

Labels for Liability: The dataset includes labels that classify the outcome of the liability decision:

Fully Liable – Insurance company assumes full responsibility for the damages.

Partially Liable – Insurance company is partially responsible based on fault distribution.

Not Liable – Insurance company has no liability. Sample of data look like:

Claim Description	Liability Label
"The Insured ran a red light and crashed into the other car."	Fully Liable
""A roundabout collision where both driver approached to overtake."	Partially Liable
"There was no collision; just a fender-bender with no injuries."	Not Liable

For this task, we can use a natural language processing (NLP) model, such as a transformer-based model (like BERT, GPT, or a fine-tuned version of these models), that can classify claims based on text. The classification labels would depend on extracting relevant features from the claim description and applying them to a trained classification model.

There are different prompting methods such as Zeroshot prompting, Few-shot-prompting, Prompt chaining etc.. Large language models (LLMs) today, such as GPT-3.5 Turbo, GPT-4, and Claude 3, are tuned to follow instructions and are trained on large amounts of data.

Large-scale training makes these models capable of performing some tasks in a "zero-shot" manner. Zero-shot prompting means that the prompt used to interact with the model won't contain examples or demonstrations. The zeroshot prompt directly instructs the model to perform a task without any additional examples to steer it.

While large-language models demonstrate remarkable zero-shot capabilities, they still fall short on more complex tasks when using the zero-shot setting. Few-shot prompting can be used as a technique to enable in-context learning where we provide demonstrations in the prompt to steer the model to better performance. The demonstrations serve as conditioning for subsequent examples where we would like the model to generate a response.

This approach makes use of the Gen-AI models to classify the Liability using the Liability using the Text Description which can be used as a feed to the Traditional Machine Learning Model to better improve the accuracy of the Classification.

D. Handling Real world Imbalanced Data

In the world of data analysis and machine learning, the quality of the dataset can make or break the success of your models. One common challenge that data scientists often face is data imbalance, where one class significantly outnumbers the others. This disparity can lead to biased model outcomes and reduced predictive power. In this article, we will discuss how we encountered a data imbalance issue and successfully addressed it using the Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE).

The Research involved building a Muti-classification model to predict the Liability group encountered a severe imbalance in Liability Groups.



Figure 3 represents the percentage of each Liability group in the data set.

The majority class (Fully Liable) dominated the dataset, accounting for around 44% of the samples, while the

minority class (Split Liable) represented only 20%. This skewed distribution could severely impact our model's ability to detect Liability Groups accurately.

The challenges faced due to the imbalance is as mentioned 1. Biased Model 2. Low Recall 3. Misleading Accuracy

In order to extract the best possible output from the Machine Learning algorithm the data needs to be balanced and have tried balancing techniques such as over sampling and under sampling and combined both over sampling and under sampling together on the classes and have finalized over sampling 20% of the minority class using the SMOTE Method which brought a better accuracy while training the model.

SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique) is an oversampling technique where the synthetic samples are generated for the minority class. This algorithm helps to overcome the overfitting problem posed by random oversampling. It focuses on the feature space to generate new instances with the help of interpolation between the positive instances that lie together.

It's crucial to apply SMOTE only to the training dataset, ensuring that the validation and test datasets remain unbiased and representative of real-world scenarios.

E. Model Development

Choosing the right algorithm for a multiclassification model is a critical decision that can have a profound impact on the success of the machine learning model and the overall Research. Selecting the right algorithm for a multi-classification Research is a pivotal decision that should be based on a deep understanding of the problem, the data, and the Research's requirements. A well-informed choice can lead to a more accurate, efficient, and interpretable model, contributing to the success of the Research and its ability to provide valuable insights and predictions.

The final prediction model is determined after several permutation and exploring different techniques,

- Compared 15 classifier model in Pycaret package
- Finalized Ridge Classifier, Gradient Boosting , LightGBM Models
- Built Ensembled models
- Tried One vs Others approach has been tried
- Tried grouping multiple groups into one class and rest as others

- Built 3 different models getting trained on each level of training data in order not to lose any data and combined the results of each model to get a better accuracy.

Also we have tried PyCaret which particularly helped in choosing the right algorithm which better suited for the condition of data.

PyCaret simplifies the process of algorithm selection by automating the training, evaluation, and comparison of various classification algorithms. It empowers data scientists to quickly identify the best-performing models for their specific datasets, making it a valuable tool for efficient and effective model development.

The algorithm that gives the best Accuracy score along with the best ROC & AUC score and brought better recall value on all the three classes is the Light Gradient Boosting

Classifier(LGBM).

Light Gradient boosting is a machine learning technique for regression and classification problems, which produces a prediction model in the form of an ensemble of weak prediction models, typically decision trees. It builds the model in a stage-wise fashion, and it generalizes them by allowing optimization of an arbitrary differentiable loss function. LGBM relies on the intuition that the best possible next model, when combined with previous models, minimizes the overall prediction error. Also the LGBM algorithm has a provision of providing class weights which can be better suited for an imbalanced dataset.

F. Training , Evaluation & Hyperparameter Optimization

Multiple Machine Learning model have been built and the best one chosen based on the different model validation matrices and after testing the model with real world unseen data.

Model is able to generate an Accuracy of 82%.

90% of data is used for training each model.

10% of data is used to test the prediction power of models.

Accuracy = Ability of model to predict the outcome correctly.

Recall - Ability of the model to correctly identify the True Positives.

Classification report:				
	precision	recall	f1-score	support
Fully_Liable	0.96	0.89	0.92	467
Not_Liable	0.76	0.81	0.79	388
Split_Liable	0.70	0.71	0.71	228
accuracy			0.83	1083
accaracy	0 01	0.01	0.05	1000
macro avg	0.01	0.01	0.01	1005
weighted avg	0.83	0.83	0.83	1083

The LGBM built has been validated by using different model validation matrices such as ,K-Fold cross validation has been used to understand whether the model is getting overfitted or underfitted.

[0.81717452 0.81440443 0.81440443 0.84487535 0.83379501 0.8199446 0.80886427 0.7700831 0.8033241 0.79501385] Accuracy: 81.22%

The Model is getting a better ROC AUC scores

One-vs-One ROC AUC scores: 0.906417 (macro), 0.922873 (weighted by prevalence) One-vs-Rest ROC AUC scores: 0.927940 (macro), 0.962679 (weighted by prevalence)

Tested the model with various un-seen data and figured out the model is performing consistent on the new test data.

In this Research we have fine tuned the model parameters using Bayesian Optimization which brought better results along with using the class weight parameters manually in the Light GBM model. Also tried optimizing the parameters using Randomized Search, However the parameters getting better results were obtained by doing the Bayesian Optimization.

Best classification report:				
	precision	recall	f1-score	support
Fully_Liable	1.00	0.84	0.91	467
Not_Liable	0.79	0.80	0.79	388
Split_Liable	0.62	0.80	0.70	228
accuracy			0.82	1083
macro avg	0.80	0.81	0.80	1083
weighted avg	0.84	0.82	0.83	1083

In machine learning, hyperparameter optimization or problem tuning is the of choosing а set of optimal hyperparameters for a learning algorithm. А hyperparameter is a parameter whose value is used to control the learning process. Bayesian Optimization builds a probability model of the objective function and uses it to select hyperparameter to evaluate in the true objective function.

G. Model Explainability and Interpretation

The finalised model is a Light gradient boosting classifier, which is not natively explainable due to its complexity, so instead, we rely on model Explainability frameworks to provide an explanation for a single prediction, showing the level of influence each individual output feature had on the model output. The model prediction is evaluated using an explainer, specifically SHAP, and was able to reproduce and explain a specific prediction, using the exact version of the model that was used for the original prediction.

The figure below illustrates the feature importance of the trained multi classifier model based on importance type as gain.



SHAP which stands for Shapley Additive explanations is a solution concept used in Game Theory that involves fairly distributing both gains and costs to several actors working in coalition. SHAP values interpret the impact of having a certain value for a given feature in comparison to the prediction we'd make if that feature took some baseline value. The summary plot combines feature importance with feature effects. Each point on the summary plot is a Shapley value for a feature and an instance. The position on the y-axis is determined by the feature and on the x-axis by the Shapley value.

The contribution of the Features in the dataset towards the predicting liability group can be analysed as mentioned below,



III. CONCLUSION

In this capstone research, we present an effective approach to building a multi-class classification model, emphasizing the importance of understanding the business context to determine the optimal metrics for evaluating model performance. A deep understanding of the dataset and selecting the most suitable class balancing method are crucial steps that can significantly enhance the model's effectiveness.

One of the primary challenges in developing this model is accurately predicting the 'Split Liable' class, which shares characteristics with both the 'Fully Liable' and 'Not Liable' classes. By employing the right class balancing technique and optimizing the 'class weight' parameter, we were able to improve model accuracy and other key performance metrics. We addressed the class imbalance issue effectively using the Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE).

Furthermore, incorporating generative AI (GenAI) models can enhance the model's performance by leveraging free text data. GenAI models can process and interpret unstructured text, providing valuable insights that can complement traditional models. This integration could lead to more accurate predictions and a deeper understanding of complex patterns within the data, ultimately resulting in better overall model performance.

REFERENCES

- Manisha Pravin Mali, Dr. Mohammad Atique, "Applications of Text Classification using Text Mining", pp. 1-4, 2014.
- [2] Ahsan, Md Manjurul, M. A. Parvez Mahmud, Pritom Kumar Saha, Kishor Datta Gupta, and Zahed Siddique. 2021. Effect of Data Scaling Methods on Machine

LearningAlgorithmsandModelPerformance. Technologies 9: 52.

- [3] H. He, and E. Garcia, "Learning from imbalanced data", IEEE Transactions on Knowledge & Data Engineering, vol. 9, pp. 1263-1284. 2008.
- [4] F. Provost, "Machine learning from imbalanced data sets 101", In Proceedings of the AAAI'2000 workshop on imbalanced data sets, vol. 68, pp. 1-3, 2000.